Chapter 43
The Nature of Cyber Bullying Behaviours

Lucy R. Betts
Nottingham Trent University, UK

ABSTRACT

Digital technology has provided many benefits for young people. However, some of these benefits are offset against potential risk factors. For example, digital technology can be used as a mechanism to direct aggression towards another person, and this may take the form of cyber bullying. This chapter will review some of the current debates surrounding which behaviors constitute cyber bullying. The chapter will also discuss the various forms of cyber bullying and some of the motives for engaging in such behaviors. Finally, the chapter will provide some recommendations for researchers undertaking studies in the area of cyber bullying.

INTRODUCTION

The continued and rapidly increasing digitalisation of society perpetuates our reliance on technology. There are many benefits associated with our increasing access to, and use of, digital technology and online resources. For example, technology and online resources can be used to: Develop and maintain social connectedness (e.g., Chayko, 2014), promote social responsibility (e.g., Cassidy, Jackson, & Brown., 2009), enhance wellbeing (e.g., Hill, Betts, & Gardner, 2015) and innovation (e.g., Oldham & Da Silva, 2015), prevent cognitive decline (e.g., Slegers, van Boxtel, & Jolles, 2012), facilitate knowledge acquisition (e.g., Thorpe et al., 2015) and knowledge transfer (e.g., Erickson & Johnson, 2011), and complete day-to-day activities such as monitoring health behaviours (e.g., Banchs, & Scher, 2015). However, this increasing access to, and reliance on, technology is not without risks. One such risk is that technology can be used as a mechanism to engage in antisocial behaviour directed towards specific others. For example, through threatening emails and images, and spreading rumours technology can be used to intimidate and victimize others (Dehue, 2013).

Cyber bullying represents a specific form of aggressive behaviour directed towards an individual that takes place using digital means (Law, Shapka, Hymel, Olson, & Waterhouse, 2012). Cyber victimisation

can be considered as the experiences of being the target of bullying behaviours. The current chapter will begin by exploring what acts constitute cyber bullying and the various forms that cyber bullying behaviour can take. The chapter will also explore why individuals engage in such behaviour. Finally, the chapter will make some recommendations that should be considered by researchers examining cyber bullying.

BACKGROUND

Interest in understanding victimisation experiences and bullying behaviours was initially prompted by Olweus' work in the 1970s and subsequently by the wealth of research evidence that has reported longitudinal relationships between experiences of bullying and wellbeing and adjustment (e.g., Fergusson, Boden, & Horwood, 2014; Ttofi, Bowes, Farrington, & Lösel, 2014; Wolke, Copeland, Angold, & Costello, 2013). Together, these studies have suggested that negative consequences may occur for those individuals who engage in bullying behaviour and those who experience victimisation.

Cyber bullying involves individuals using technology as a medium to bully others (Smith, 2009) and has been defined as "the use of the Internet or other digital communication devices to insult or threaten someone" (Juvonen & Gross, 2008, p. 498). Following a recent review and meta-analysis of existing cyber bullying literature, cyber bullying was defined as: "(a) intentional aggressive behaviour that is (b) carried out repeatedly, (c) occurs between a perpetrator and victim who are unequal in power, and (d) occurs through electronic technologies" (Kowalski, Giumetti, Schroeder, & Lattanner, 2014, p. 37). Understanding young people experiences of cyber bullying is important because it has been regarded as an "emerging international public health concern" (Nixon, 2014, p154).

FORMS OF CYBER BULLYING

Cyber bullying can occur in many forms and the variation, to some extent, represents the evolving nature of technology. Consequently, there is often little agreement among researchers, practitioners, and young people as to what constitutes cyber bullying. Some researchers, such as Mason (2008), have suggested that cyber bullying comprises both written and verbal acts which can be aligned to the more traditional face-to-face forms of bullying. Conversely, other researchers such as Tokunaga (2010) suggested that cyber bullying includes elements of aggressive, hostile, and harmful acts that are carried out through an electronic device. However, whilst different conceptualisations of cyber bullying have been proposed, when assessing cyber bullying behaviours and cyber victimisation experiences it is important to consider: (a) what technology individuals actually use and (b) how individuals use the technology. Therefore, it is likely that as new technologies emerge and current technologies evolve, new forms of cyber bullying will also continue to emerge and evolve (Slonje, Smith, & Frisén, 2013).

Parallels have also been drawn between cyber bullying and the various forms of face-to-face bullying. Mark and Ratliffe (2011) argued that cyber bullying is a form of relational bullying that uses technology, rather than face-to-face methods, as the medium to bully others. For example, technology can be used to victimise by calling others names, making threats, spreading rumours, disclosing another individual's private information, and purposefully socially isolating or excluding individuals. Similarly, Wang, Iannotti, and Luk (2012) argued that parallels could be drawn between face-to-face relational bullying and cyber bullying as both forms of bullying involve verbal bullying, social exclusion, and spreading ru-

9 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-nature-of-cyber-bullying-behaviours/213160

Related Content

Teaching Ancient Greek Theatre Through In-Game Exploration: The Case of ThimelEdu
Anastasios Kristofer Barianos, Ilias Logothetis, Michail Kalogiannakisand Nikolas Vidakis (2022). *The Digital Folklore of Cyberculture and Digital Humanities (pp. 186-205).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/teaching-ancient-greek-theatre-through-in-game-exploration/307093

Home Automation by Brain-Computer Interface
Eduardo G. Nieva, María F. Peraltaand Diego A. Beltramone (2014). *Advanced Research and Trends in New Technologies, Software, Human-Computer Interaction, and Communicability (pp. 502-510).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/home-automation-by-brain-computer-interface/94256

A Study of Detecting Individual to Mental Health of Fear of Falling (FOF) in Indian Cities
Bhavika Malik, Akshath Lilesh Kamath, Anusha Krishnan Iyer, Anuj Dinesh Ghag, S. Sneha, Nandini Shahand Arshkirat Gill (2023). *Advances in Artificial and Human Intelligence in the Modern Era (pp. 228-242).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-study-of-detecting-individual-to-mental-health-of-fear-of-falling-fof-in-indian-cities/330408

ChangeIt: Toward an App to Help Children With Autism Cope With Changes
Vivian Varnava, Aurora Constantinand Cristina Adriana Alexandru (2020). *Interactivity and the Future of the Human-Computer Interface (pp. 72-94).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/changeit/250746

A Bio-Inspired, Distributed Control Approach to the Design of Autonomous Cooperative Behaviors in Multiple Mobile Robot Systems
Gen'ichi Yasuda (2019). *Advanced Methodologies and Technologies in Artificial Intelligence, Computer Simulation, and Human-Computer Interaction (pp. 1058-1070).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-bio-inspired-distributed-control-approach-to-the-design-of-autonomous-cooperative-behaviors-in-multiple-mobile-robot-systems/213197