

## Chapter 14

# The Summers and Winters of Artificial Intelligence

**Tad Gonsalves**  
Sophia University, Japan

### ABSTRACT

*Artificial intelligence (AI) is a branch of computer science whose aim is to make computers intelligent. These “intelligent” activities include thinking, reasoning, receiving stimuli from the environment and responding to them, solving puzzles, speaking and understanding language, etc. It was John McCarthy who coined the word artificial intelligence at the conference on computers in Dartmouth in 1954, indicating that its goal was to achieve a digital equivalent of human-level intelligence. In the 1970s, AI entered a low-productive period known as the AI winter. During this period, scientific and notably commercial activities in AI dropped dramatically. The victory of IBM’s Deep Blue AI program over the reigning world chess champion in 1997 is probably hailed as the biggest achievement of AI. Yet another great AI achievement is the victory of IBM’s Watson over the world Jeopardy champions in 2011. This chapter is a brief outline of how, through numerous ups and downs, AI has come to be where it currently is, and where we might expect it to be heading in the next couple of decades.*

### INTRODUCTION

Humanity has toyed with the idea of building intelligent machines from antiquity. The ancient Egyptians, Greeks, and the Chinese are reported to have built mechanical devices that imitated human intelligence, although primitively. In the 16th century, it was Hobbes who stated that thinking was symbolic reasoning like working out an answer with pen and paper. This idea of thinking as symbolic reasoning was further developed by Descartes, Pascal, Spinoza, and Leibniz (Poole & Mackworth, 2010). The English mathematician Charles Babbage, who designed the Analytical Engine in 1837, is considered to be the inventor of the modern computers. Although the Analytical Engine was not built until 1991, it served as a prototype of the modern general-purpose computer. The first link to machines and intelligence is found in the 1950 paper published by Alan Turing, “Computing Machinery and Intelligence” (Turing, 1950). The “Turing test” proposed in this paper, is a simple pragmatic approach assuming that a computer that

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7368-5.ch014

## ***The Summers and Winters of Artificial Intelligence***

is indistinguishable from an intelligent human actually shows that machines can think. Its relevance to this day seems to indicate that it will be a goal for the AI field for many years to come (McGuire, 2006).

The term “Artificial Intelligence” was coined by John McCarthy in a conference organized in 1956 in Dartmouth (McCarthy, 1955). He defines *intelligence* as the computational part of the ability to achieve goals in the world and *Artificial Intelligence* (AI) as the science and engineering of making intelligent machines, especially intelligent computer programs (McCarthy, 2001).

AI has had a tumultuous history (McCorduck, 1979; Crevier, 1993). The early theorem proving and checkers playing programs astonished the public with their seemingly intelligent performance. The expert systems, too, drew great admiration from the general public as well as the specialists, since their competence and performance in consultation was in par with human experts. This chapter introduces DENDRAL and MYCIN, two of the most successful expert systems developed in the childhood of AI.

However, the hype and expectations raised by these early systems led to the downfall of AI in the ensuing years. Perceptrons, for example, were just picking up as promising machine learning mechanisms when they were nipped in the bud by other AI researchers owing to a premature evaluation. Their apparent inability in evaluating the XOR and the NXOR functions was later rectified by the introduction of an additional middle layer of neurons. However, this came much later, and enough harm had already been done to the Artificial Neural Network research.

The ALPAC report in 1966 and the Lighthill report in 1973 were responsible for the onset of an all-time low-fund and low-activity period, called the AI winter. The two fatal reports not only led to the cutting of funds, but a general distrust in AI research. The recent victories of AI programs over human champions in chess and in Jeopardy have restored faith in AI discipline. AI has run its course through summers and long winters. True, we are far from an overall theory or framework, explaining what thinking is and how to build intelligent machines (Lungarella, 2007). Nevertheless, AI has made great strides and, after overcoming the *trough of disillusionment* is marching on the *plateau of productivity*.

## **BACKGROUND**

As it happens to any new technology, the history of AI, too, ran through a hype curve (Menziez, 2003). The early AI programs like the ones elegantly proving theorems and skillfully playing board games aroused great interest and expectations. This was followed by the successful application of Expert Systems in business and academia. This early period in the development of AI is referred to as the “peak of inflated expectations” shown in the AI hype curve (Figure 1).

However, for the next ten years AI did not live up to its expectations. Not getting any substantial returns, the AI investors and stakeholders appointed special committees to inquire in the progress of AI. This period called the “trough of disillusionment” proved fatal to the development of AI. The summers and the winters experienced in the history of AI are tersely expressed in the words of Tim Menziez (Menziez, 2003):

*In the 21st century, AI has many reasons to be proud, but it wasn't always this way. New technologies such as AI typically follow the hype curve. By the mid-1980s, early successes with expert systems caused skyrocketing attendance at AI conferences and a huge boom in North American AI startups. Just like the dot-coms in the late 1990s, this AI boom was characterized by unrealistic expectations. When the boom*

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-summers-and-winters-of-artificial-intelligence/213126](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-summers-and-winters-of-artificial-intelligence/213126)

## Related Content

---

### Evaluating a Mobile and Online System for Apprentices' Learning Documentation in Vocational Education: Usability, Effectiveness and Satisfaction

Alberto A. P. Cattaneo, Elisa Motta and Jean-Luc Gurtner (2016). *Human-Computer Interaction: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1133-1153).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/evaluating-a-mobile-and-online-system-for-apprentices-learning-documentation-in-vocational-education/139084](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/evaluating-a-mobile-and-online-system-for-apprentices-learning-documentation-in-vocational-education/139084)

### Exploration of Faculty's Perceptions on Technology Change: Implications for Faculty Preparedness to Teach Online Courses

Veronica Outlaw, Margaret L. Rice and Vivian H. Wright (2018). *Technology Adoption and Social Issues: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 210-225).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/exploration-of-facultys-perceptions-on-technology-change/196678](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/exploration-of-facultys-perceptions-on-technology-change/196678)

### The Formation of Consensus in Iranian Online Communities

Ali Honari (2018). *Technology Adoption and Social Issues: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1353-1387).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-formation-of-consensus-in-iranian-online-communities/196733](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-formation-of-consensus-in-iranian-online-communities/196733)

### Prediction of Change-Prone Classes Using Machine Learning and Statistical Techniques

Lin Ruchika Malhotra and Ankita Jain Bansal (2014). *Advanced Research and Trends in New Technologies, Software, Human-Computer Interaction, and Communicability* (pp. 193-202).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/prediction-of-change-prone-classes-using-machine-learning-and-statistical-techniques/94230](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/prediction-of-change-prone-classes-using-machine-learning-and-statistical-techniques/94230)

### Digital Narrative as an Educational Tool for Cultural Heritage Teaching

Nikolaos Karantalis, Dimitrios Koukopoulos and George Koutromanos (2022). *The Digital Folklore of Cyberculture and Digital Humanities* (pp. 117-141).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/digital-narrative-as-an-educational-tool-for-cultural-heritage-teaching/307089](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/digital-narrative-as-an-educational-tool-for-cultural-heritage-teaching/307089)