

## Chapter 18

# Computational Thinking in Innovative Computational Environments and Coding

**Alberto Ferrari**

*University of Parma, Italy*

**Agostino Poggi**

*University of Parma, Italy*

**Michele Tomaiuolo**

*University of Parma., Italy*

### ABSTRACT

*The concept of computational thinking has been discussed for several decades and in recent years has been brought to the attention of the scientific community by Jeanette Wing. The importance of computational thinking places it among the basic skills for the 21<sup>st</sup> century, together with reading, writing, and calculation, that every person will have to master, so it is important to teach it in primary school. As the invention of printing facilitated the spread of the three Rs (reading, writing, and arithmetic), the technology must lead to the spread of computational thinking. This chapter will introduce the research on computational thinking and, in particular, the works on innovative computational environments, and will describe the situation of the education to CT in high school and in academic courses.*

### INTRODUCTION

The concept of Computational Thinking has been discussed for several decades and in recent years has been brought to the attention of the scientific community by Jeanette Wing. Her article presents Computational Thinking as “a way of solving problems, designing systems, and understanding human behavior that draws on concepts fundamental to computer science.” (Wing, 2006).

CT is a cognitive process involving logical reasoning that embraces the ability to think algorithmically using abstraction, decomposition and generalization.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7365-4.ch018

The importance of CT places it among the basic skills for 21st century, together with reading, writing and calculation, that every person will have to master, so it is important to teach it already in primary school. As the invention of printing facilitated the spread of the three Rs (reading, writing and arithmetic) technology must lead to the spread of CT.

In our high-technological society teaching CT concepts in all level of instruction allows individuals to participate more equitably in society overcoming the differences now present in mastering these skills. Everyone should be able to apply computational strategies in each domain and understand what problems may be treated automatically.

CT is drawing fundamentally on concepts from computer science, it is not programming, but programming, meant as analysis and solution of problems, allows to highlight all CT features. Easy-to-use computational environments foster students in their first approach to coding and their use are becoming more common in school and university.

This chapter will introduce the research on CT and, in particular, the works on innovative computational environments, and will describe the situation of the education to CT in high school and in academic courses.

## **BACKGROUND**

There is no single definition of CT and the following is the work of several authors who point out different aspects. A common feature is that CT represents a set of skills that are part of a cognitive process related to deal and seek solutions to problems. Abstraction, decomposition and generalization are common features of most of these works.

The term “Computational Thinking” was adopted for the first time by Seymour Papert, with reference to the LOGO programming language (Papert, 1996). According to his theory of “constructionism”, programming is a valuable educational tool that provides the cognitive artifacts necessary to the human mind to build a representation of the world with which it interacts.

“Think like a computer scientist” means being able to deal with a problem, to design a system and understand human behavior using the fundamental concepts and tools of Computer Science (CS). The power of our “mental” tools is amplified by the power of our “metal” tools (Wing, 2008).

There is still confusion over an acceptable definition for the term, in its essence, CT can be viewed as a re-foundation of “algorithmic thinking”. In the 1950s and 1960s, algorithmic thinking was understood as a mental attitude, enabling the description of a generic problem as a translation of some input data to output data, and the formalization of the required translation as an algorithm. CT is built on the same basis, with the inclusion of the ability to think about a complex problem at different levels of abstractions, to use mathematical methods, and to analyze data and the complexity of solutions, i.e., to study how a certain algorithm scales when the size of the problem grows.

CT can be defined as the ability to solve complex problems by applying the logic of computing paradigm; it is a set of cognitive skills, concepts and techniques of computer science related to problem solving.

In the 2010 workshop on “The Scope and Nature of Computational Thinking” participants developed a vivid discussion on what “CT for everyone” might mean. Wing describes CT as a bridge between science and engineering. Since it deals with thinking processes and abilities that can be applied to different disciplines, it represents a sort of meta-science. Moursund et al. (National Research Council,

9 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/computational-thinking-in-innovative-computational-environments-and-coding/212814](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/computational-thinking-in-innovative-computational-environments-and-coding/212814)

## Related Content

---

### Staff Reflections on Using E-Assessment Feedback in the Digital Age

Akrum Helfaya and James O'Neill (2019). *Handbook of Research on E-Assessment in Higher Education* (pp. 386-414).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/staff-reflections-on-using-e-assessment-feedback-in-the-digital-age/212292](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/staff-reflections-on-using-e-assessment-feedback-in-the-digital-age/212292)

### A New Model for Acceptance of Analytics in Learning Management Systems at Jordanian Universities (JLMS)

Abdeleh Bassam Al Amoush and Kamaljeet Sandhu (2019). *Modern Technologies for Teaching and Learning in Socio-Humanitarian Disciplines* (pp. 138-161).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-new-model-for-acceptance-of-analytics-in-learning-management-systems-at-jordanian-universities-jlms/222522](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-new-model-for-acceptance-of-analytics-in-learning-management-systems-at-jordanian-universities-jlms/222522)

### Leveraging AI for Nurturing Learners With Empathy, Ethics, and Social Responsibility

Rafeah Wahi, Kee-Man Chuah and Syahrul Nizam Junaini (2026). *Digital Transformation and Sustainability in Higher Education* (pp. 205-236).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/leveraging-ai-for-nurturing-learners-with-empathy-ethics-and-social-responsibility/383862](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/leveraging-ai-for-nurturing-learners-with-empathy-ethics-and-social-responsibility/383862)

### Public Policy Reforms: A Scholarly Perspective on Education 5.0 Primary and Secondary Education in Zimbabwe

Cleophas Gwakwara and Eric Blanco Niyitunga (2024). *International Journal of Technology-Enhanced Education* (pp. 1-18).

[www.irma-international.org/article/public-policy-reforms/338364](http://www.irma-international.org/article/public-policy-reforms/338364)

### Educational Innovations in Nigeria: Planning, Reasons for Failure, and Prospects

Oyewusi Lawunmi Molara, Egbedokun Adeola Oyebisi and Oyeniran Folasade Mardiyia (2014). *Handbook of Research on Education and Technology in a Changing Society* (pp. 1049-1067).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/educational-innovations-in-nigeria/111907](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/educational-innovations-in-nigeria/111907)