

Chapter 99

Empirical Verification of the Performance Measurement System

Aleksander Janeš
University of Primorska, Slovenia

ABSTRACT

In their endeavor to increase profits, companies usually become aware that this is predominantly the result of employee competence and satisfaction, excellent processes, and customer's satisfaction. Based on that assertion, companies integrate different managerial tools in the performance measurement system (PMS) and therefore in their management system. One of the most dominant managerial tools is the Kaplan and Norton's balanced scorecard (BSC). In this chapter, the approach that represents the basis for further work in the field of research in PMSs of companies, with the use of econometric tools, was empirically tested and developed.

INTRODUCTION

Ebm-papst Group is Germany's most sustainable company which has a global sales network and is world-wide innovation leader in electric fans and motors. The Ydria Motors LL Company (YM) is a member of the ebm-papst group and is organized as a competence center that produces and develops machines, appliances and AC and EC electric motors and exports as much as 98% of its production. The company is wholly owned by the ebm-papst group (Ydria Motors, 2015).

Practical experiences with the Performance Measurement System (PMS) show that it is difficult to determine transparent relations between the different perspectives. However, the implemented PMS doesn't enable the identification of all information on the relations (i.e. causalities) between process Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). In this manner company doesn't have transparent evaluation of resource inputs in efficiency of the implemented model in the management system (Janeš & Dolinšek, 2010; Waruhiu, 2014). In the light of its development, the company has to resolve the issues to outline its future organic growth. Thus, the YM Company has already faced the challenge of setting the KPIs, which are the central theme of represented research.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7362-3.ch099

Primarily, the represented research is focused on the layout of the balanced scorecard (BSC) i.e. it's causal relations, using qualitative and quantitative methods. In this case study, the company's business performance sustainability understanding, which is based on comprehensive data tests and semi-structured interviews with managers, contributed to the selection of Engle-Granger two-step method for assessment of the error correction mechanism between the KPIs.

BACKGROUND

One of the main purposes of implementing PMS is to communicate strategy throughout the organization. Among the number of PMS approaches, a dominant position was achieved by Kaplan and Norton's BSC; it has emerged as a new synthesis between the traditional financial-accounting system and efforts to achieve long-term competitive ability. The BSC system considers the traditional financial KPIs as well as leading KPIs of future performance. In this way, it provides key information about the activities of managers. The BSC is a PMS theorized by Kaplan and Norton which was first created as a performance measurement tool, which has later evolved into a PMS, and has subsequently become a comprehensive strategic management system (Barnabè, 2011; Janeš, 2014).

Measurement of the organization's performance represents a good practice and is an integral part of the organization's management in the accomplishment of its strategies and objectives. The BSC and associated KPIs are treated in practice as a process that supports the reviewing and changes of the measurement system in relation to changes in the business environment of the organization.

Given the framework of the strategy map, which consists of four perspectives, and within them a large number of related strategic objectives, the added value of the business processes is manifested in the form of chains of cause-effect relations ranging from nonfinancial and quantifiable KPIs in the learning and growth perspective via processes and customers to a finance perspective (Waruhiu, 2014; Kaplan, 2012, p. 543). Cause-effect relations can be understood as a set of hypotheses that are taken to meet the strategic objectives. A strategy map is a diagram that describes how an organization creates value by connecting strategic objectives that are in explicit cause-effect relations with each.

Approach of setting practical objectives is being performed through a series of approximations. Keeping the maximization of the rate of return as the stakeholder's long term value, companies usually develop a number of subsidiary objectives which contribute in different ways to improvement in the return and which are also measurable in business practice. A company which meets high performance in most of its objectives will enhance its long-term rate of return. But it cannot be proved that the result will be a maximum possible overall return. Namely the problem of reconciling claims of conflicting objectives is common across all sectors. In business practice company's objectives are what the company wants from its key stakeholders. Essential nature of company objectives makes a logical connection between what stakeholders want from a company, i.e. "strategic factors", and what the company wants from its stakeholders, i.e. "organization objectives". Both sides together provide real strategy framework. For example one of the stakeholder groups represents customers from which company wants to achieve profitable revenue. If an objective is an expression of what an organization wants from a key stakeholder, it must involve behavior by a stakeholder and, a desired behavioral outcome (Kenny, 2012, pp. 42-43). Once developed, strategic objectives will shape an organization's strategies effectively, leading to sustainable success. It's important to remember that targets on organization objectives shape strategies; they also

11 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/empirical-verification-of-the-performance-measurement-system/212201

Related Content

Ethics of Architecture or Ethical Architecture?

Hisham Abusaada (2019). *International Journal of Responsible Leadership and Ethical Decision-Making* (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/article/ethics-of-architecture-or-ethical-architecture/264436

Ethical Negotiations: A Trust-Building Approach to International Negotiations

Francisco A. Espinoza and Norma E. Velasco (2019). *International Journal of Responsible Leadership and Ethical Decision-Making* (pp. 13-24).

www.irma-international.org/article/ethical-negotiations/227743

How Can Accessibility for Deaf and Hearing-Impaired Players be Improved in Video Games?

Robert Costello, Murray Lambert and Florian Kern (2019). *International Journal of R&D Innovation Strategy* (pp. 16-32).

www.irma-international.org/article/how-can-accessibility-for-deaf-and-hearing-impaired-players-be-improved-in-video-games/234351

Outsourcing and Escalation Issues in VLITPs

Matthew Guah (2009). *Managing Very Large IT Projects in Businesses and Organizations* (pp. 172-187).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/outourcing-escalation-issues-vlitps/26050

Strategic Learning for Agile Maneuvering in High Technology SMEs

Charlotta A. Sirén and Marko Kohtamäki (2017). *Organizational Culture and Behavior: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 709-731).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/strategic-learning-for-agile-maneuvering-in-high-technology-smes/177599