

Chapter 18

Challenges of Meta Access Control Model Enforcement to an Increased Interoperability

Sérgio Luís Guerreiro
University of Lisbon, Portugal

ABSTRACT

When organizations are collaborating, their access control models need to interoperate. However, there are too many access control model variants, and the interoperability enforcement consumes extra effort. In this context, this chapter identifies the challenges of how to design and enforce a meta-access control model to facilitate the interoperability between the different access control mechanisms available. The problem is posed using an ontological approach. Then, the challenges are explained using a descriptive explanation of the meta access control enforcement. The core issues addressed are access models interoperability, standardization of storage for access data, and provisioning of access models.

INTRODUCTION

Today, countless access control models (ACM) solutions are available in the academy and industry. Nevertheless, the recognized development of ACM, in the majority of situations, these solutions specifies and implements the structural security access concerns of a single organizational silo (Sandhu *et al.*, 2000). Typically, ACM solutions are designed to fit and follow policies that are applied to a specific application layer of an organization. Early examples of such approach are the discretionary access control (DAC), mandatory access control (MAC), role-based access control (RBAC), time-role-based access control (TRBAC), Orcon or Chinese wall (Ferraiolo *et al.*, 2001; 2007).

Following this problem, Ferraiolo & Alturi (2008) raise the discussion about the feasibility of designing a meta access control model (MACM) fitting any specific ACM. So far, there are no bibliographic proofs that solve this posed problem. Moreover, Baker (2009) contributes to this discussion, stating the need to define a meta-ACM rather than specifying multiple instances in order to minimize the duplication of effort. Accordingly, to this author, the first goal to achieve in this endeavor is ACM conceptualization, and exemplifies it stating that RBAC is a particular instance of a MACM.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7362-3.ch018

Moreover, recent advances proposed by Korman *et al.* (2016) show that the myriad of ACM solutions available difficult the management of IT models. Therefore, these authors propose an ACM meta-model, designed in ArchiMate (The Open Group, 2013) to be used by the Enterprise Architects professionals. The meta-model is derived from the conceptual mapping of seventeen different ACM models. Then, ArchiMate relates the meta-model with enterprise concepts. In the end a unified meta-model for modeling authorization within enterprises is presented.

One the other hand, from a first sight, positioned in a different scientific field, interoperability is referred by Naudet *et al.* (2008) as “interoperability problem appears when two or more incompatible systems are put in relation”. In a broader sense, “Interoperability requirement is a statement that specifies a function, ability or characteristic, related to the capacity of a partner to ensure its partnership regarding compatibility, interoperation, autonomy, and reversibility, which it must satisfy” (Mallek *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, interoperability is considered as a key capacity to partners’ cooperation success (Patil *et al.*, 2007; Reul *et al.*, 2010). However, when two or more systems are interoperating, most of times, different ACM are in place and a barrier to the interoperation appears.

Therefore, given the context of ACM and interoperability, the following research question is logically raised: How to design and enforce a meta-access control model to facilitate the interoperability between different access control mechanisms?

For short, this paper assesses the possibility of using a meta-access control model to conceptualize and instantiate many access control models. In specific, this research explores the following challenges:

- **Access Models Interoperability:** The paper uses a MACM to abstract all the concepts and relations contained in the many ACMs, and therefore creating interoperability between them.
- **Standardization of Storage for Access Data:** Standardization is realized through a single repository (and unique) for the MACM. When needed the MACM is instantiated for a specific ACM.
- **Provisioning of Access Models:** The MACM and ACM relationship enables the dynamic creation, reading, updating and deleting of access models, in order to adapt to the evolving organizational access requirements.

This paper has two-fold contributions: technological and societal. On the one hand, technological benefits are identified because of easier ACM implementation in each organization. On the other hand, societal benefits are related with lowering financial investments to interoperate the different organizations (*e.g.*: adaptive software enterprise resource planning (ERP) solutions between two small or medium enterprises).

This paper is organized as follow. Firstly, the research background is presented. Then, meta-access control model (MACM) is formalized. After that, solutions and recommendations are identified for the MACM. Then, future research directions are discussed. Finally, the last section concludes the paper.

BACKGROUND

Generically, a control system offers the capability to react whenever any disturbance affects the behavior of the controlled system or whenever a new reference is established (Guerreiro *et al.*, 2016). Disturbance is assumed whenever the system is not producing the desired output for the imposed input. In these situations, the control system acts in the input, to change the controlled system’s state.

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/challenges-of-meta-access-control-model-enforcement-to-an-increased-interoperability/212113

Related Content

General Framework for Counter-Terrorism and Extremism Strategy: Administrative and Organizational Perspectives

Yacoub Adel Nasereddin (2019). *Strategic Thinking, Planning, and Management Practice in the Arab World* (pp. 30-45).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/general-framework-for-counter-terrorism-and-extremism-strategy/224513

Sustainable Communities for Knowledge Management Systems in the New Technological Era

Elham Mousavidinand Lakshmi Goel (2012). *Organizational Learning and Knowledge: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications* (pp. 157-177).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/sustainable-communities-knowledge-management-systems/58089

The Electricity System Improvement Canvas (ESIC): A New Tool for Business Model Innovation in the Energy Sector

Jordi Vinaixa, Winnie Vanrespailleand Hasan Muslemani (2023). *Journal of Business Ecosystems* (pp. 1-18).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-electricity-system-improvement-canvas-esic/321556

Role of ICT in Promoting Entrepreneurial Ecosystems in Pakistan

Muhammad Nawaz Tunio (2020). *Journal of Business Ecosystems* (pp. 1-21).

www.irma-international.org/article/role-of-ict-in-promoting-entrepreneurial-ecosystems-in-pakistan/262221

The Use of Employee Financial Participation in Lithuanian Companies

Jaroslav Dvorakand Remigijus Civinskas (2022). *Employee Share Ownership and Impacts on Organizational Value and Behavior* (pp. 35-54).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-use-of-employee-financial-participation-in-lithuanian-companies/291889