

Chapter VI

Evolutionary Multi-Objective Optimization in Finance

Carlos Artemio Coello Coello

Evolutionary Computation Group (EVOCINV), Mexico

ABSTRACT

This chapter provides a brief introduction of the use of evolutionary algorithms in the solution of multi-objective optimization problems (an area now called “evolutionary multi-objective optimization”). Besides providing some basic concepts and a brief description of the approaches that are more commonly used nowadays, the chapter also provides some of the current and future research trends in the area. In the final part of the chapter, we provide a short description of the sort of applications that multi-objective evolutionary algorithms have found in finance, identifying some possible paths for future research.

INTRODUCTION

Many real-world problems have two or more objective functions that we aim to minimize. Such problems are called multi-objective optimization problems and require an alternative definition of “optimality.” The most common notion of optimality normally adopted is the so-called *Pareto optimality*, which indicates that the best possible solutions are those representing the best trade-offs among the objective functions. In other words, the desirable solutions are those in which one objective cannot be improved without worsening another objective.

Evolutionary algorithms (EAs) are techniques based on the emulation of the mechanism of natural selection, which have been successfully used to solve problems during several years (Fogel, 1999; Goldberg, 1989). One of the problem domains in which EAs have been found to be particularly useful is in multi-objective optimization (Coello Coello, Van Veldhuizen, & Lamont, 2002). EAs are particularly suitable for solving multi-objective optimization problems because they deal simultaneously with a set of possible solutions (the so-called population) which allows us to find several members of the Pareto optimal set (i.e., the

Laboratory (TIK), Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH), Switzerland.

Zitzler, E., Laumanns, M., Thiele, L., Fonseca, C. M., & Grunert da Fonseca, V. (2002, July). Why quality assessment of multiobjective optimizers is difficult. In W. B. Langdon, E. Cantú-Paz, K. Mathias, R. Roy, D. Davis, R. Poli, et al. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO'2002)* (pp. 666-673). San Francisco: Morgan Kaufmann.

Zitzler, E., & Thiele, L. (1999). Multiobjective evolutionary algorithms: A comparative case study and the strength Pareto approach. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 3(4), 257-271.

Zitzler, E., Thiele, L., Laumanns, M., Fonseca, C. M., & Fonseca, V. G. (2003). Performance assessment of multiobjective optimizers: An analysis and review. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 7(2), 117-132.

Zwir, I. S., & Ruspini, E. H. (1999). Qualitative object description: Initial reports of the exploration of the frontier. *Proceedings of the Joint Eurofuse—SIC'99 International Conference*, Budapest, Hungary.

Zydallis, J. B., Lamont, G. B., & Veldhuizen, D. A. V. (2000, September). Messy genetic algorithm based multi-objective optimization: A comparative statistical analysis. *Proceedings of the PPSN/SAB Workshop on Multiobjective Problem Solving from Nature (MPSN)*, Paris, France.

13 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/evolutionary-multi-objective-optimization-finance/21121

Related Content

Combining Forecasts: A Genetic Programming Approach

Adriano S. Koshiyama, Tatiana Escovedo, Douglas M. Dias, Marley M. B. R. Vellascoand Marco A. C. Pacheco (2012). *International Journal of Natural Computing Research* (pp. 41-58).

www.irma-international.org/article/combining-forecasts-genetic-programming-approach/76376

Generating Efficient Techniques for Information Extraction and Processing Using Cellular Automata

Subrata Pauland Anirban Mitra (2017). *Bio-Inspired Computing for Information Retrieval Applications* (pp. 204-228).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/generating-efficient-techniques-for-information-extraction-and-processing-using-cellular-automata/177988

Optimizing Resource Management With Edge and Network Processing for Disaster Response Using Insect Robot Swarms

U. Vignesh, K. Gokul Ramand Abdulkareem Sh. Mahdi Al-Obaidi (2025). *Exploring the Micro World of Robotics Through Insect Robots* (pp. 39-60).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/optimizing-resource-management-with-edge-and-network-processing-for-disaster-response-using-insect-robot-swarms/359271

Stochastic Drought Forecasting Exploration for Water Resources Management in the Upper Tana River Basin, Kenya

Raphael M. Wambua, Benedict M. Mutuaand James M. Raude (2017). *Nature-Inspired Computing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1423-1455).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/stochastic-drought-forecasting-exploration-for-water-resources-management-in-the-upper-tana-river-basin-kenya/161077

Handling Constraints Using Penalty Functions in Materialized View Selection

Anjana Gosainand Kavita Sachdeva (2019). *International Journal of Natural Computing Research* (pp. 1-17).

www.irma-international.org/article/handling-constraints-using-penalty-functions-in-materialized-view-selection/225821