Chapter XVI Some Method Fragments for Agile Software Development

Q.N.N. Tran University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

B. Henderson-Sellers University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

I. Hawryszkiewycz University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

ABSTRACT

The use of a situational method engineering approach to create agile methodologies is demonstrated. Although existing method bases are shown to be deficient, we take one of these (that of the OPEN Process Framework) and propose additional method fragments specific to agile methodologies. These are derived from a study of several of the existing agile methods, each fragment being created from the relevant powertype pattern as standardized in the Australian Standard methodology metamodel of AS 4651.

INTRODUCTION

It is increasingly recognized that a universally applicable methodology (a.k.a. method) for software (and systems) development is not possible (Brooks, 1987; Avison & Wood-Harper, 1991; Fitzgerald, Russo, & O'Kane, 2003). One way to approach this is to eschew all attempts to create and promote a single methodology but instead to create a repository (or methodbase: Saeki, Iguchi, Wen-yin, & Shinohara, 1993) containing a large number of method fragments gleaned from a study of other methodologies, an evaluation of best industry practice, and so forth. Situational methods (Kumar & Welke, 1992; Odell, 1995) are then constructed by a method engineer "bottom up" from these fragments in such a way that they are "tailored" to the process requirements of the industry in question. This is the method engineering (ME) or situational method engineering (SME) approach to methodologies.

A second thread of relevance is the increasing interest, both in academe and industry, of agile

methods-methodological approaches to software development that tend to the minimalistic, focus on people rather than documented processes, and react well to rapidly changing requirements (Abrahamsson, Warsta, Siponen, & Ronkainen, 2003; Turk, France, & Rumpe, 2005). However, as published and often as practiced, these agile methods themselves may be overly rigid. To make them more flexible and possess so-called "dual agility" (Henderson-Sellers & Serour, 2005), a method engineering approach can be applied to agile methods as well as more traditional software development approaches. To do so, it is incumbent upon the method engineers who provide the method bases to ensure that these repositories of method fragments contain adequate fragments from which a range of agile methods can indeed be constructed.

In this chapter, we hypothesize that an agile method can be created from method fragments, once those fragments have been identified and appropriately documented. Following an introduction to the general characteristics of agile software development, we then examine an underpinning metamodel (AS4651). We then identify and document method fragments that conform to this metamodel and that support a range of agile methods including XP, Crystal, Scrum, ASD, SDSM, and FDD. We thus propose the addition of these newly document fragments to one extensive ME repository, that of the OPEN Process Framework (OPF) (Firesmith & Henderson-Sellers, 2002; http://www.opfro.org), chosen on the basis of it having the most extensive content in its methodbase. An important part of any such research is the validation phase. This is described in the complementary chapter (Tran, Henderson-Sellers, & Hawryszkiewycz, 2007), where we (re-)create four agile methods from the fragments in the newly enhanced OPF methodbase.

GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF AGILE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT

Although each agile development methodology is distinct, they do share some common characteris-

tics. Agile development adheres to the following fundamental values (Agile Manifesto, 2001):

- **Individuals and interactions** should be more important than processes and tools.
- Working software should be more important than comprehensive documentation.
- **Customer collaboration** should be more important than contract negotiation.
- **Responding to change** should be more important than following a plan.

Firstly, agile development emphasizes the relationship and communality of software developers, as opposed to institutionalized processes and development tools. Valuing people over processes allows for more creativity in solutions. In the existing agile practices, this value manifests itself in *close team relationships, close working environment arrangements*, and other *procedures boosting team spirit*. The importance of teamwork to agile development has been emphasized by agilists (Cockburn & Highsmith, 2001; Highsmith & Cockburn, 2001).

Secondly, an important objective of the software team is to continuously produce tested working software. It is argued that documentation, while valuable, takes time to write and maintain, and is less valuable than a working product. Some agile methodologies promote *prototyping* (e.g., ASD), while others encourage *building simple but completely functional products quickly* as possible (e.g., XP).

Thirdly, *customer involvement* is promoted in all agile methodologies. The relationship and cooperation between the developers and the clients are given the preference over strict contracts. The clients are encouraged to actively participate in the development effort.

Fourthly, the developers must be prepared to *make changes* in response to the emerging/changing needs during the development process. Any *plan must be lightweight* and easily modifiable. The "plan" might simply be a set of post-it notes on a whiteboard (e.g., as in Scrum: Schwaber, 1995). 18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/some-method-fragments-agile-software/21073

Related Content

Filter/Wrapper Methods for Gene Selection and Classification of Microarray Dataset

Norreddine Mekour, Reda Mohamed Hamouand Abdelmalek Amine (2019). International Journal of Software Innovation (pp. 65-80).

www.irma-international.org/article/filterwrapper-methods-for-gene-selection-and-classification-of-microarray-dataset/230924

From Frequent Features to Frequent Social Links

Erick Stattnerand Martine Collard (2013). *International Journal of Information System Modeling and Design* (pp. 76-98).

www.irma-international.org/article/from-frequent-features-to-frequent-social-links/80197

Prioritizing COVID-19 Vaccine Delivery for the Indian Population

Meet Singh, Subrata Modakand Dhrubasish Sarkar (2022). International Journal of Software Innovation (pp. 1-21).

www.irma-international.org/article/prioritizing-covid-19-vaccine-delivery-for-the-indian-population/301228

Requirement Management and Link with Architecture and Components

Jean-Louis Boulanger (2011). Knowledge Engineering for Software Development Life Cycles: Support Technologies and Applications (pp. 34-67).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/requirement-management-link-architecture-components1/52876

Organizational Patterns for Security and Dependability: From Design to Application

Yudis Asnar, Fabio Massacci, Ayda Saidane, Carlo Riccucci, Massimo Felici, Alessandra Tedeschi, Paul El-Khoury, Keqin Li, Magali Séguranand Nicola Zannone (2013). *Developing and Evaluating Security-Aware Software Systems (pp. 56-76).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/organizational-patterns-security-dependability/72198