

Chapter XVI

Some Method Fragments for Agile Software Development

Q.N.N. Tran

University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

B. Henderson-Sellers

University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

I. Hawryszkiewicz

University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

ABSTRACT

The use of a situational method engineering approach to create agile methodologies is demonstrated. Although existing method bases are shown to be deficient, we take one of these (that of the OPEN Process Framework) and propose additional method fragments specific to agile methodologies. These are derived from a study of several of the existing agile methods, each fragment being created from the relevant powertype pattern as standardized in the Australian Standard methodology metamodel of AS 4651.

INTRODUCTION

It is increasingly recognized that a universally applicable methodology (a.k.a. method) for software (and systems) development is not possible (Brooks, 1987; Avison & Wood-Harper, 1991; Fitzgerald, Russo, & O’Kane, 2003). One way to approach this is to eschew all attempts to create and promote a single methodology but instead to create a repository (or methodbase: Saeki, Iguchi, Wen-yin, & Shinohara, 1993) containing a large number of method frag-

ments gleaned from a study of other methodologies, an evaluation of best industry practice, and so forth. Situational methods (Kumar & Welke, 1992; Odell, 1995) are then constructed by a method engineer “bottom up” from these fragments in such a way that they are “tailored” to the process requirements of the industry in question. This is the method engineering (ME) or situational method engineering (SME) approach to methodologies.

A second thread of relevance is the increasing interest, both in academe and industry, of agile

methods—methodological approaches to software development that tend to the minimalistic, focus on people rather than documented processes, and react well to rapidly changing requirements (Abrahamsen, Warsta, Siponen, & Ronkainen, 2003; Turk, France, & Rumpe, 2005). However, as published and often as practiced, these agile methods themselves may be overly rigid. To make them more flexible and possess so-called “dual agility” (Henderson-Sellers & Serour, 2005), a method engineering approach can be applied to agile methods as well as more traditional software development approaches. To do so, it is incumbent upon the method engineers who provide the method bases to ensure that these repositories of method fragments contain adequate fragments from which a range of agile methods can indeed be constructed.

In this chapter, we hypothesize that an agile method can be created from method fragments, once those fragments have been identified and appropriately documented. Following an introduction to the general characteristics of agile software development, we then examine an underpinning metamodel (AS4651). We then identify and document method fragments that conform to this metamodel and that support a range of agile methods including XP, Crystal, Scrum, ASD, SDSM, and FDD. We thus propose the addition of these newly document fragments to one extensive ME repository, that of the OPEN Process Framework (OPF) (Firesmith & Henderson-Sellers, 2002; <http://www.opfro.org>), chosen on the basis of it having the most extensive content in its methodbase. An important part of any such research is the validation phase. This is described in the complementary chapter (Tran, Henderson-Sellers, & Hawryszkiewicz, 2007), where we (re-)create four agile methods from the fragments in the newly enhanced OPF methodbase.

GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF AGILE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT

Although each agile development methodology is distinct, they do share some common characteris-

tics. Agile development adheres to the following fundamental values (Agile Manifesto, 2001):

- **Individuals and interactions** should be more important than processes and tools.
- **Working software** should be more important than comprehensive documentation.
- **Customer collaboration** should be more important than contract negotiation.
- **Responding to change** should be more important than following a plan.

Firstly, agile development emphasizes the relationship and communality of software developers, as opposed to institutionalized processes and development tools. Valuing people over processes allows for more creativity in solutions. In the existing agile practices, this value manifests itself in *close team relationships, close working environment arrangements*, and other *procedures boosting team spirit*. The importance of teamwork to agile development has been emphasized by agilists (Cockburn & Highsmith, 2001; Highsmith & Cockburn, 2001).

Secondly, an important objective of the software team is to continuously produce tested working software. It is argued that documentation, while valuable, takes time to write and maintain, and is less valuable than a working product. Some agile methodologies promote *prototyping* (e.g., ASD), while others encourage *building simple but completely functional products quickly* as possible (e.g., XP).

Thirdly, *customer involvement* is promoted in all agile methodologies. The relationship and cooperation between the developers and the clients are given the preference over strict contracts. The clients are encouraged to actively participate in the development effort.

Fourthly, the developers must be prepared to *make changes* in response to the emerging/changing needs during the development process. Any *plan must be lightweight* and easily modifiable. The “plan” might simply be a set of post-it notes on a whiteboard (e.g., as in Scrum: Schwaber, 1995).

18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/some-method-fragments-agile-software/21073

Related Content

Software Design for Passing Sarbanes-Oxley in Cloud Computing

Solomon Lasluisa, Ivan Rodero and Manish Parashar (2013). *Integrated Information and Computing Systems for Natural, Spatial, and Social Sciences* (pp. 27-42).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/software-design-passing-sarbanes-oxley/70602

Enterprise Management Data Acquisition System Based on WoT

Kun Yan and Dongyan Li (2022). *International Journal of Information System Modeling and Design* (pp. 1-11).

www.irma-international.org/article/enterprise-management-data-acquisition-system-based-on-wot/313577

Enhanced Frequent Itemsets Based on Topic Modeling in Information Filtering

Than Than Wai and Sint Sint Aung (2017). *International Journal of Software Innovation* (pp. 33-43).

www.irma-international.org/article/enhanced-frequent-itemsets-based-on-topic-modeling-in-information-filtering/187170

Ensemble Deep Learning Intrusion Detection Model for Fog Computing Environments

Kalaivani K. and Chinnadurai M. (2022). *International Journal of Software Innovation* (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/article/ensemble-deep-learning-intrusion-detection-model-for-fog-computing-environments/303587

A Heuristic Approach to Use Behavioral Models to Design for Change: Refining and Validating the Persuasive and Motivational Design Method

Danny Oldenhave, Stijn Hoppenbrouwers and Theo P. van der Weide (2021). *International Journal of Information System Modeling and Design* (pp. 44-61).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-heuristic-approach-to-use-behavioral-models-to-design-for-change/285953