

Chapter VIII

Design and Analysis of Decision Support Systems

John Wang

Montclair State University, USA

James Yao

Montclair State University, USA

Qiyang Chen

Montclair State University, USA

Ruben Xing

Montclair State University, USA

ABSTRACT

Since their creation in the early 1960's, decision support systems (DSSs) have evolved over the past 4 decades and continue to do so today. Although DSSs have grown substantially since its inception, improvements still need to be made. New technology has emerged and will continue to do so and, consequently, DSSs need to keep pace with it. Also, knowledge needs to play a bigger role in the form of decision making. We first discuss design and analysis methods/techniques/issues related to DSSs. Then, the three possible ways to enhance DSSs will be explored.

INTRODUCTION

Over the 4 decades of its history, decision support systems (DSSs) have moved from a radical movement that changed the way information systems were perceived in business, to a mainstream commercial information technology movement that all organizations engage. This interactive, flexible, and adaptable computer based information system

derives from two main areas of research: the theoretical studies of organizational decision making done at the Carnegie Institute in the 1950's and early 1960's, as well as the technical work on interactive computer systems which was mainly performed by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Keen & Morton, 1978).

DSSs began due to the importance of formalizing a record of ideas, people, systems, and technolo-

gies implicated in this sector of applied information technology. But the history of this system is not precise due to the many individuals involved in different stages of DSSs and various industries while claiming to be pioneers of the system (Power, 2003; Arnott & Pervan, 2005). According to Arnott (2006), the DSS field began in the early 1970s as a radical alternative to large-scale management information systems (MIS). Over time, major changes in information technology have enabled new decision support movements. In the late 1980s and mid-1990s, multidimensional modeling, OLAP technology, and advances in storage technology and data modeling led to the deployment of large-scale executive information systems, data warehousing, and business intelligence. Now DSSs have become very sophisticated and stylish since the early pioneering research. Many new systems have expanded the frontiers established by these pioneers, yet the core and basis of the system remains the same. Today, DSSs are used in the finance, accounting, marketing, medical, as well as many other fields.

BACKGROUND

The basic ingredients of a DSS can be stated as follows: the data management system, the model management system, the knowledge engine, the user interface, and the users (Donciulescu, Filip, & Filip, 2002). The database is a collection of current or historical data from a number of application groups. Databases can range in size from storing it in a PC that contains corporate data that has been downloaded, to a massive data warehouse that is continuously updated by major organizational transaction processing systems (TPSs). When referring to the model management system, it is primarily a stand-alone system that uses some type of model to perform “what if” and other kinds of analysis. This model must be easy to use, and therefore the design of such model is based on a strong theory or model combined with a good user interface.

A major component of a DSS is the knowledge engine. To develop an expert system requires input

from one or more experts, this is where the knowledge engineers go to work, who can translate the knowledge as described by the expert into a set of rules. A knowledge engineer acts like a system analyst but has special expertise in eliciting information and expertise from other professionals (Laudon & Laudon, 2005).

The user interface is the part of the information system through which the end user interacts with the system, the type of hardware, and the series of on-screen command and responses required for a user to work with the system. An information system will be considered a failure if its design is not compatible with the structure, culture, and goals of the organization. Research must be conducted to design a close organizational fit, to create comfort and reliability between the system and user. In a DSS, the user is as much a part of the system as the hardware and software. The user can also take many roles such as decision maker, intermediary, maintainer, operator, and feeder. A DSS may be the best one in its industry but it still requires a user to make the final decision.

Power (2003) introduced a conceptual level of DSSs, which contains five different categories. These categories include model-driven DSS, communication-driven DSS, data-driven DSS, document-driven DSS, and knowledge-driven DSS. Defining DSS is not always an easy task due to the many definitions available. Much of this problem is attributed to the different ways a DSS can be classified. At the user level, a DSS can be classified as passive, active, or cooperative.

Essentially, DSS is a computer-based system that provides help in the decision making process. However, this is a broad way of defining the subject. A better way of describing DSS is to say it is a flexible and interactive computer-based system that is developed for solving non-structured management problems. Basically, the system uses information inputted from the decision maker (data and parameters) to produce an output from the model that ultimately assists the decision maker in analyzing a situation. In the following sections, we first discuss design and analysis methods/techniques/issues

9 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/design-analysis-decision-support-systems/21065

Related Content

SoFAR: An Agent Framework for Distributed Information Management

Luc Moreau, Norliza Zaini, Don Cruickshank and David De Roure (2003). *Intelligent Agent Software Engineering* (pp. 49-67).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/sofar-agent-framework-distributed-information/24144

Determinants of ERP Adoption, User Satisfaction, and User Engagement

Pankaj Kumar Mohanty, S. F. Chandra Sekhar and P. Shahaida (2022). *International Journal of Information System Modeling and Design* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/determinants-of-erp-adoption-user-satisfaction-and-user-engagement/297044

Fragmentation of Mobile Applications

Damith C. Rajapakse (2012). *Handbook of Research on Mobile Software Engineering: Design, Implementation, and Emergent Applications* (pp. 317-335).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/fragmentation-mobile-applications/66475

Object-Role Modeling: Principles and Benefits

Terry Halpin (2010). *International Journal of Information System Modeling and Design* (pp. 33-57).

www.irma-international.org/article/object-role-modeling/40952

Analysis of Existing Software Cognitive Complexity Measures

Sanjay Misra, Adewole Adewumi, Robertas Damasevicius and Rytis Maskeliunas (2017). *International Journal of Secure Software Engineering* (pp. 51-71).

www.irma-international.org/article/analysis-of-existing-software-cognitive-complexity-measures/204524