

## Chapter 18

# Application of Interactive Technologies in Engineering Education in the Research University

**Gennady Konstantinovich Baryshev**  
*Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Russia*

**Aleksandr Vasilyevich Berestov**  
*Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Russia*

**Yuri Valentinovich Bozhko**  
*Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Russia*

**Nadezhda Aleksandrovna Konashenkova**  
*Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Russia*

### ABSTRACT

*The chapter describes the problem of application of interactive technologies of engineering education in the contemporary world-class research university: National Research Nuclear University “MEPhI” (Moscow Engineering Physics Institute). The results of the ongoing process of transformation of engineering education in compliance with the CDIO (conceive-design-implement-operate) international and Russian federal national educational standards are discussed. The pilot projects on the modernization of engineering educational programs have demonstrated that interactive technologies are effective in fixing sustainable results of developing and monitoring the students’ project-implementation and other engineering skills.*

## **INTRODUCTION**

Engineering programs aimed at training qualified bachelors, specialists and masters capable of accomplishing personal goals, should be implemented by nuclear, are-earth and other high-tech industries. On the one hand, since its very establishment, the Moscow Engineering Physics Institute has a long-established tradition of training engineers through combining research and developmental activities. This was reflected in the way the educational process was formed. Along with strong fundamental academic training in Mathematics and Physics, it was obligatory for students to be involved in scientific research and development projects (R&D), carried out by the Institute's departments together with industry leaders. Students were also actively engaged in the designing of high-technology devices, appliances and systems that were well ahead of their time; the development and production of pilot models; the performance of technology intensive experiments, including, those in reactor conditions (high temperatures, ionizing radiation, mechanical loads).

## **BACKGROUND**

Science constituted the basis for educational process in the second-generation university model (research university) (Fedorov & Medvedev, 2011, Saprykin, 2012, Vladimirov, 2011). However, nowadays, the practical, innovative activity is becoming the key driver of changes in education. Generally, entrepreneurial universities are the leading trend in the world today (Altbach & Salmi, 2011, Clark, 2004, Delbanco, 2012, Grasso & Burkins, 2010, Simonyants, 2014). Considerable efforts are made in the National Research Nuclear University "MEPhI" to modernize basic educational program on nuclear physics and technologies, based on the international engineering educational standards by the Worldwide CDIO Initiative (CDIO, 2017) and other programs taking into account the project-implementation approach and the active role of innovations in the educational process of a modern research university (MEPhI MA Educational programs, 2017).

According to the requirements of the CDIO international standards (Crawley, 2001), relevant laboratory infrastructure is essential for the high-quality engineering education that provides future engineers with necessary knowledge and skills. The practice-oriented approach applied in engineering education cannot be pursued without developing skills of designing and setting up physical experiments in nuclear, aerospace and medical technologies. Such an approach has been historically pursued in MEPhI. It comprises fundamental training and mastering practical skills of working with technical devices and systems through laboratory works in combination with individual designing and development of constructions, devices, installations and systems (Yakovlev et al., 2015). The Department of Engineering Science and Technology of the National Research Nuclear University "MEPhI" employs the above-described approach too. Furthermore, let us examine the examples of the development of these principles of sustainable practice-oriented engineering education in a modern research university with the help of current interactive technologies.

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/application-of-interactive-technologies-in-engineering-education-in-the-research-university/210320](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/application-of-interactive-technologies-in-engineering-education-in-the-research-university/210320)

## Related Content

---

### Impacts of School Administration Autonomy Support on Students' Learning Motivation and Intentions to Drop out of Vocational School

Bui Thi Thuy Hang, Amrita Kaur and Arun Patil (2015). *International Journal of Quality Assurance in Engineering and Technology Education* (pp. 1-12).

[www.irma-international.org/article/impacts-of-school-administration-autonomy-support-on-students-learning-motivation-and-intentions-to-drop-out-of-vocational-school/134873](http://www.irma-international.org/article/impacts-of-school-administration-autonomy-support-on-students-learning-motivation-and-intentions-to-drop-out-of-vocational-school/134873)

### Peer Feedback in Software Engineering Courses

Damith C. Rajapakse (2014). *Overcoming Challenges in Software Engineering Education: Delivering Non-Technical Knowledge and Skills* (pp. 111-121).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/peer-feedback-in-software-engineering-courses/102324](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/peer-feedback-in-software-engineering-courses/102324)

### The Use of Active Learning in Biotechnical Engineering Education

Sergey I. Suyatinov (2019). *Handbook of Research on Engineering Education in a Global Context* (pp. 233-242).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-use-of-active-learning-in-biotechnical-engineering-education/210323](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-use-of-active-learning-in-biotechnical-engineering-education/210323)

### Architecting the CDIO Educational Framework Pursuant to Constructive Alignment Principles

Siegfried Rouvrais and Vanea Chiprianov (2012). *International Journal of Quality Assurance in Engineering and Technology Education* (pp. 80-92).

[www.irma-international.org/article/architecting-cdio-educational-framework-pursuant/67134](http://www.irma-international.org/article/architecting-cdio-educational-framework-pursuant/67134)

### Improving Quality Assurance with CDIO Self-Evaluation: Experiences From a Nordic Project

Juha Kontio, Janne Roslöf, Kristina Edström, Sara Naumann, Peter Munkebo Hussmann, Katriina Schrey-Niemenmaa and Markku Karhu (2012). *International Journal of Quality Assurance in Engineering and Technology Education* (pp. 55-66).

[www.irma-international.org/article/improving-quality-assurance-cdio-self/67132](http://www.irma-international.org/article/improving-quality-assurance-cdio-self/67132)