Chapter LVII Mining Frequent Closed

Intemsets for Association Rules

Anamika Gupta University of Delhi, India

Shikha Gupta University of Delhi, India

Naveen Kumar University of Delhi, India

INTRODUCTION

Association refers to correlations that exist among data. Association Rule Mining (ARM) is an important data-mining task. It refers to discovery of rules between different sets of attributes/items in very large databases (Agrawal R. & Srikant R. 1994). The discovered rules help in strategic decision making in both commercial and scientific domains.

Aclassical application of ARM is market basket analysis, an application of data mining in retail sales where associations between the different items are discovered to analyze the customer's buying habits in order to develop better marketing strategies. ARM has been extensively used in other applications like spatial-temporal, health care, bioinformatics, web data etc (Han J., Cheng H., Xin D., & Yan X. 2007).

Association Rule mining is decomposed in two steps 1) Generate all frequent itemsets (FI) 2) Generate confident rules using the frequent itemsets discovered in first step. The first step is computationally more expensive task and has attracted attention of most researchers. Many researchers have given different algorithms for mining FI (Han J., Cheng H., Xin D., & Yan X. 2007). However set of FI is often very large. Frequent Closed Itemsets (FCI) is a reduced, complete and loss less representation of FI and is often much less in number than FI. Closed itemset is an itemset whose support is not equal to support of any of its proper superset (Zaki M. J. & Hsiao C. J. 1999). Closed itemsets with support greater than the user specified support threshold (ms) are frequent closed itemsets (FCI). Thus mining FCI instead of FI in association rule discovery procedure saves computation and memory efforts.

In this article we discuss the importance of mining FCI instead of FI in association rule discovery procedure. We explain different approaches and techniques for mining FCI in datasets.

BACKGROUND

Let *D* denotes the database of *N* transactions and *I* denotes the set of *n* items in *D*. A set of one or more items belonging to set *I* is termed as an itemset. A k-itemset is an itemset of cardinality k. A transaction $T \in D$ contains an itemset and is associated with a unique identifier *TID*. The probability of an itemset *X* being contained in a transaction is termed as *support* of *X*.

Support(X) = P(X) =

 $\frac{No_of_transactions_containing_X}{N}$

An itemset having support greater than the user specified support threshold (*ms*) is termed as *frequent itemset (FI)*.

An association rule is an implication of the form $X \rightarrow Y$ where $X \subset I$, $Y \subset I$ and $X \cap Y = \emptyset$. Support and Confidence are rule evaluation metrics of an association rule. Support of a rule $X \rightarrow Y$ in D is 'sup' if sup% of transactions in D contain $X \cup Y$. It is computed as:

Support $(X \to Y) = P(X \cup Y) =$ No._of _transactions _containing _X $\cup Y$

Ν

Confidence of a rule $X \rightarrow Y$ in *D* is 'conf' if conf% of transactions in *D* that contain *X*, also contain *Y*. It is computed, as the conditional probability that *Y* occurs in a transaction given *X* is present in the same transaction, i.e.

 $Confidence(X \rightarrow Y) = P(\frac{Y}{X}) =$

 $\frac{P(X \cup Y)}{P(X)} = \frac{Support(X \cup Y)}{Support(X)}$

A rule generated from frequent itemsets is *strong* if its *confidence* is greater than the user specified confidence threshold (*mc*).

Three independent groups of researchers (Pasquier N., Bastide Y., Taouil R. & Lakhal L. 1999a), (Zaki M.J. & Hsiao C. J. 1999), (Stumme G., 1999) introduced the notion of mining FCI instead of FI and have given the following definitions of FCI:

Zaki et al. defines *closed itemset* as an itemset whose support is not equal to support of any of its proper superset (Closure Property) (Zaki M. J. & Hsiao C. J. 1999). In other words X is a closed itemset if there exists no proper superset X' of X such that support(X) = support(X'). Closed itemsets with support greater than the user specified support threshold (ms) are *frequent closed itemsets (FCI)*.

Pasquier et. al. defines *closed itemset* in terms of *Galois closure operator* (Pasquier N., Bastide Y., Taouil R. & Lakhal L. 1999a). *Galois closure operator* h(X) for some $X \subseteq I$ is defined as the intersection of transactions in *D* containing itemset *X*. An itemset *X* is a *closed itemset* if and only if h(X) = X.

Stumme G. (1999) defines closed itemset as the intent of formal concept where formal concept is a core structure in Formal Concept Analysis (FCA), a branch of mathematics based on concepts and concept hierarchies (Ganter B. & Wille R. 1999). A formal concept (A,B) is defined as a pair of set of objects A (known as extent) and set of attributes *B* (known as *intent*) such that set of all attributes belonging to *extent* A is same as B and set of all objects containing attributes of *intent B* is same as A. That is, no object other than objects of set A contains all attributes of *B* and no attribute other than attributes in set B is contained in all objects of set A. Stumme G. (1999) discovered that intent B of the *Formal Concept*(*A*,*B*) represents the closed itemset. Fig. 1 shows an example dataset (D) of five transactions with its itemset lattice and the list of frequent itemsets, frequent closed itemsets in the same example.

8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/mining-frequent-closed-itemsets-

association/20738

Related Content

Physical Modeling of Data Warehouses Using UML Component and Deployment Diagrams: Design and Implementation Issues

Sergio Lujan-Moraand Juan Trujillo (2006). *Journal of Database Management (pp. 12-42).* www.irma-international.org/article/physical-modeling-data-warehouses-using/3351

A Multiple-Bits Watermark for Relational Data

Yingjiu Li, Huiping Guoand Shuhong Wang (2010). *Principle Advancements in Database Management Technologies: New Applications and Frameworks (pp. 1-22).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/multiple-bits-watermark-relational-data/39348

Adaptive Modularized Recurrent Neural Networks for Electric Load Forecasting

Fangwan Huang, Shijie Zhuang, Zhiyong Yu, Yuzhong Chenand Kun Guo (2023). *Journal of Database Management (pp. 1-18).*

www.irma-international.org/article/adaptive-modularized-recurrent-neural-networks-for-electric-load-forecasting/323436

The Quality of Online Privacy Policies: A Resource-Dependency Perspective

Veda C. Storey, Gerald C. Kaneand Kathy Stewart Schwaig (2009). *Journal of Database Management (pp. 19-37).*

www.irma-international.org/article/quality-online-privacy-policies/3402

Reverse Engineering from an XML Document into an Extended DTD Graph

Herbert Shiuand Joseph Fong (2009). Database Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 2489-2509).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/reverse-engineering-xml-document-into/8048