Chapter XLIX Inconsistency, Logic Databases, and Ontologies

José A. Alonso-Jiménez

Departamento de Ciencias de la Computación e Inteligencia Artificial Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

Joaquín Borrego-Díaz

Departamento de Ciencias de la Computación e Inteligencia Artificial Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

Antonia M. Chávez-González

Departamento de Ciencias de la Computación e Inteligencia Artificial Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, data management on the World Wide Web needs to consider very large knowledge databases (KDB). The larger is a KDB, the smaller the possibility of being consistent. Consistency in checking algorithms and systems fails to analyse very large KDBs, and so many have to work every day with inconsistent information.

Database revision—transformation of the KDB into another, consistent database—is a solution to this inconsistency, but the task is computationally untractable. Paraconsistent logics are also a useful option to work with inconsistent databases. These logics work on inconsistent KDBs but prohibit non desired inferences. From a philosophical (logical) point of view, the paraconsistent reasoning is a need that the self human discourse practices. From a computational, logical point of view, we need to design logical formalisms that allow us to extract useful information from an inconsistent database, taking into account diverse aspects of the semantics that are "attached" to deductive databases reasoning (see Table 1). The arrival of the semantic web (SW) will force the database users to work with a KDB that is expressed by logic formulas with higher syntactic complexity than are classic logic databases.

BACKGROUND

Logic databases are based on the formalisms of first order logic (FOL); thus, they inherit a classical semantics that is based on models. Also, they can be interpreted within a proof-theoretic approach to logical consequence from the logic programming paradigm (Lloyd, 1987). The extended database semantics paradigm is developed to lay before the foundations of query-answering tasks and related questions (see Minker, 1999), but its aim is not to deal with inconsistencies. The data cleaning task may involve—in the framework of repairing logic databases—logical reasoning and automated theorem proving (Boskovitz, Goré, & Hegland, 2003).

On the other hand, new paradigms, such as SW, need new formalisms to reason about data. Description logics (DL) provide logic systems based on objects, concepts, and relationships, with which we can construct new concepts and relations for reasoning (Baader, Calvanese, McGuinnes, Nardi, & Patel- Schneider, 2003). Formally, DL are a subset of FOL, and the classical problems on consistency remains, but several sublogics of DL provide nice algorithms for reasoning services. The ontology web language (OWL; its DL-sublanguage) is a description logic language designed for automated reasoning, not only designed for the classical ask-tell paradigm. With languages such as OWL, ontologies exceed their traditional aspects (e.g., taxonomies and dictionaries) to be essential in frameworks as data integration.

The classical notion of inconsistency in databases mainly deals with the violation of integrity constraints. This notion must be expanded because of the new notion of logic databases in SW, in which ontologies and data both play the same role in knowledge management. Therefore, there are several sources of inconsistency (see Table 2). This role is not only limited to the database but also includes the verification and validation task of knowledge- based systems (Bench-Capon, 2001). Inconsistency arises in the initial steps of ontology building due to several reasons and not only by the updating of data. In general, the repair of a logic database involves the study of the soundness and perhaps completeness (i.e., the method output's only correct solutions and all the relevant solutions). Semantics would support reasoning services such as self-consistency, checking the relations between concepts (as subsumption), and classification of objects according to the ontology.

Systems exist in which both paradigms, classical and SW logic databases, are conciliated

Classical semantics for First Order Logic	
Extended semantics for databases	
Reiter's formalization of databases (Reiter, 1984). Closed World Assumption	
Relations among a KDB, queries and integrity constraints	
Expressive power of recursive definitions	
Consistency checking versus intentional part of the KDB	
Multivalued semantics	
Contextualized semantics for ontologies or data	

Table 1. Semantics aspects to consider in logic databases

6 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/inconsistency-logic-databases-ontologies/20730

Related Content

Parallel GPU-based Plane-Sweep Algorithm for Construction of iCPI-Trees

Witold Andrzejewskiand Pawel Boinski (2015). *Journal of Database Management (pp. 1-20).* www.irma-international.org/article/parallel-gpu-based-plane-sweep-algorithm-for-construction-of-icpi-trees/145868

Balancing Formalization and Representation in Cross-Domain Data Management for Sustainable Development

Paolo Diviaccoand Adam Leadbetter (2017). Oceanographic and Marine Cross-Domain Data Management for Sustainable Development (pp. 23-46).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/balancing-formalization-and-representation-in-cross-domain-data-management-forsustainable-development/166835

Oceanographic Data Management: Quills and Free Text to the Digital Age and "Big Data"

Justin J. H. Buckand Roy K. Lowry (2017). Oceanographic and Marine Cross-Domain Data Management for Sustainable Development (pp. 1-22).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/oceanographic-data-management/166834

Reengineering Probabilistic Relational Databases with Fuzzy Probability Measures into XML Model

Zongmin Ma, Chengwei Liand Li Yan (2017). *Journal of Database Management (pp. 26-47).* www.irma-international.org/article/reengineering-probabilistic-relational-databases-with-fuzzy-probability-measures-intoxml-model/189137

Incorporating Spatial Queries into Semantic Sensor Streams on the Internet of Things

Sungkwang Eomand Kyong-Ho Lee (2017). *Journal of Database Management (pp. 24-39).* www.irma-international.org/article/incorporating-spatial-queries-into-semantic-sensor-streams-on-the-internet-ofthings/194998