

Chapter 57

Do Bankers Use Managerial Discretion With Regard to CSR and Earnings Management to Rebuild Their Reputation in the Aftermath of the Financial Crisis?

Ada Sneekes

University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Georgios Georgakopoulos

University of Strathclyde, UK

Alexandros Sikalidis

University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Maria Rodosthenous

Piraeus University of Applied Sciences (TEI of Piraeus), Greece

ABSTRACT

In this paper the authors examine the relationship between CSR (Corporate Social Responsibility) performance and earning management in the banking industry from 2008 to 2012 having a specific focus on managerial behaviour and its impact on banks' reputation. They propose that when managers opportunistically engage in CSR, they will also engage in opportunistic earnings management but if they engage in CSR practices because it is the socially 'right thing to do' then earnings will better reflect banks' true economic performance. Finally, they expect that when bank managers recognize the importance of societal trust they use their discretion to regain trust and to rebuild reputation. Their results show that in general, banks that perform high on CSR indicators behave more transparently with regard to the presentation of earnings. Banks that engage in CSR activities to improve their reputation, use managerial discretion to show socially desirable earnings numbers. For banks that value their reputation, pursuing societal trust is more important than the fulfilling of self-interest.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-6192-7.ch057

1. INTRODUCTION

During the last two decades, corporate social responsibility has increasingly drawn the attention from business, society, media and academics (Malik, 2014). In the wake of large corporate scandals since the start of the millennium, public opinion leaders were ever more advocating that businesses should not merely be aimed towards profit at the expense of fulfilling their responsibilities to employees, society and the environment (Chih, Chih, & Chen, 2010; Chih, Shen, & Kang, 2008; Hong & Andersen, 2011). Investors, customers and other stakeholders demand greater transparency on corporate responsibilities in all aspects of business (Kim, Park, & Wier, 2012).

As the importance placed by society on socially responsible activities increased, CSR has attracted the attention of bank managers as well. Even before the arrival of the financial crisis, banks came up with the idea of socially responsible investments (O'Sullivan & O'Dwyer, 2009; Scholtens, 2009). These investments included the offering of microcredits to the poor and deprived (Hermes, Lensink, & Mehreteab, 2005; Morduch, 1999)¹. However, there are arguments which suggest that banks were significant contributors to the onset of the global financial crisis of 2007 and 2008 (hereafter 'financial crisis') (Barth & Landsman, 2010; Wu & Shen, 2013).

A great deal of commentary is attributed to the understanding of the financial crisis and the role banks played (e.g. André, Cazavan-Jeny, Dick, Richard, & Walton, 2009; Fiechter, 2011). Academics argue that at the core of the financial crisis lies a lack of transparency of information and an insufficient amount of disclosure for users of financial statements (Barth & Landsman, 2010; Laux & Leuz, 2009). As a result, users of financial statements were not properly informed and could not assess the values and riskiness of bank assets and liabilities (Mian & Sufi, 2009).

In contrast to the poor quality of information that banks delivered to users of financial statements, bank profitability and market optimism were very high before the beginning of the financial crisis. Bonus plans were composed in such a way that managers were encouraged to adopt risky strategies (Scott, 2011) and as a result, managers showed excessive risk-taking behaviour. Risky strategies were deemed very successful; banking was ranked second in profitability (after pharmaceuticals) among Fortune 500 firms in 2001 (Public Citizen, 2002) and third in return on revenues in 2005 (CNNMoney.com, 2006). However, success did not last and the recent financial crisis has shown that, in fact, bank managers took these risks at the expense of society at large (Chih et al., 2008). Here was a moral hazard situation²; bankers were fulfilling their opportunistic, self-interested goals and were failing to meet their social responsibility for economic stability (Decker & Sale, 2010); the banking industry has the exceptional ability to privatise gains and socialise losses (Wolf, 2008). In addition, when serious distress, governments are called to bail them out or take them over at the expense of taxpayers (Wu & Shen, 2013). To gain societal trust, or confidence (Decker & Sale, 2010), banks are required to provide feedback to the community relatively more than other industries do. The bank's reputation represents the degree to which trust is acquired (Schanz, 2006).

At the time that banks collapsed, some critics argued that there was a serious decay in business morality (e.g. Friedman, 2008). They stated that banks not only needed a financial bailout, they also needed an ethical bailout, as banks were preaching their CSR credentials at the same time as they engaged in reckless risk taking. Driven by opportunistic incentives, bank managers abused their inside information to show high income numbers. In an attempt to better understand bank managers' motivations to engage in CSR activities as well as earnings management since the onset of the financial crisis, this study empirically scrutinizes that relationship in the period between 2008 and 2012. Our research question examines the

30 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/do-bankers-use-managerial-discretion-with-regard-to-csr-and-earnings-management-to-rebuild-their-reputation-in-the-aftermath-of-the-financial-crisis/207005

Related Content

CSR on Financial Markets: Opportunities, Challenges, and Constraints

(2021). *Global Corporate Social Responsibility Initiatives for Reluctant Businesses* (pp. 74-100).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/csr-on-financial-markets/280396

When Sustainability Goes Wrong: A Critical Perspective About Consumer Behaviour, Greenwashing, and its Impact on Sustainability

Anna Carolina Boechat, Nuno Baptistaand Mariana dos Reis Torgal (2024). *Effective Digital Marketing for Improving Society Behavior Toward DEI and SDGs* (pp. 242-262).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/when-sustainability-goes-wrong/333945

The Antecedents of Consumer Engagement in CSR Communication in Social Media: A Study on Omani University Students

Mansour Alrajaand Mohamed Hamdoun (2022). *Future Advancements for CSR and the Sustainable Development Goals in a Post-COVID-19 World* (pp. 264-292).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-antecedents-of-consumer-engagement-in-csr-communication-in-social-media/287854

Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Information: Theoretical Approaches and Recent Developments

Irina Filipa Gavanchaand Inna Sousa Paiva (2022). *Research Anthology on Developing Socially Responsible Businesses* (pp. 263-282).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/corporate-social-responsibility-and-financial-information/302272

Investment Decision Process in Oil Economy for Major Oil Exporting and Importing Countries

Hasan Kurtar, Ayhan Kapusuzogluand Nildag Basak Ceylan (2019). *Handbook of Research on Global Issues in Financial Communication and Investment Decision Making* (pp. 236-255).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/investment-decision-process-in-oil-economy-for-major-oil-exporting-and-importing-countries/228062