

## Chapter 2

# Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in China

### A Contextual Exploration

**D. Kirk Davidson**

*Mount St. Mary's University, USA*

**Juelin Yin**

*Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University (XJTLU), China*

#### ABSTRACT

*Despite a growing recognition of national contexts in predicting the dynamics of corporate social responsibility (CSR), the limited prior research has failed to disaggregate national institutions to specify the mechanisms between aspects of national institutional contexts and elements of CSR practices. In this paper we offer a framework for analyzing the nature and status of CSR, which is made up of eight elements: history, religions/ideologies, social norms, geography, political structures, the level of economic development, civil society institutions, and the country's "safety net" provisions. We apply the framework to explain how and why China's understanding of CSR differs from that in Western countries.*

#### INTRODUCTION

We, in the West, simply do not know as much as we should about corporate social responsibility (CSR) in other countries and other cultures. It may well be true that over the past forty years the academic world in the West has done the lion's share of theory building in CSR and the related fields of business ethics, business and society, and stakeholder management. And too, managers in the West may well have more experience putting into practice the concepts emerging from those fields. Wang and Juslin (2009) go so far as to say that "...CSR is a term which originated in the West," and the reference lists in the scholarly work of many Asian researchers—for example Yin and Zhang (2012) and Baxi (2013)—would seem to confirm this.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-6192-7.ch002

## Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in China

However, we should not assume that all knowledge about CSR resides in North America and Europe. In India the Tata companies were developing their own brand of CSR in the 19<sup>th</sup> century. In China, although CSR per se is a relatively new phenomenon, as explained below, the historical and philosophical underpinnings for this new development have been in the making for many centuries (Wang & Juslin, 2009).

International trade has increased rapidly since the end of World War II, and business managers have developed increasingly sophisticated tools and management structures to facilitate this. “Globalization” has become a buzzword. The academic world has aligned itself with this development by offering courses in international marketing, international management, and global finance. Many leading business schools are incorporating a mandatory period of travel, work, and study abroad as part of their MBA programs. But the study and practice of CSR (or business ethics, or business and society) has not benefitted in the same way from globalization; there has been too little attention given to a cross-country or cross-cultural comparison of CSR.

This subject has not been ignored entirely; Visser and Tolhurst (2010) edited *The World Guide to CSR*, which is a collection of brief sketches of CSR practice and teaching in fifty-eight nations, but there is no unifying framework. And a number of European scholars have explored the differences of CSR among the countries of Western Europe (Fransen, 2013; Gjolberg, 2009). In this paper we provide what has been missing: a framework for comparative CSR analysis based on national contexts (see Table 1). We suggest that to understand the practice and teaching of CSR in any country or culture – developed or developing, large or small, North or South – one must take into consideration that country or culture’s context. And we break down that contextual framework into eight elements: history, religions/ideologies, geography, culture or social norms, political structures, level of economic development, civil society institutions, and the status of its “safety net.” We then use this contextual framework to explore and analyze the practice and teaching of CSR in China.

We have chosen China for some obvious reasons. First and foremost is the fact that China has become such a powerful force in the world’s economic, geopolitical, and military systems. China now ranks second only to the United States in gross domestic product. No country in the history of mankind has elevated

*Table 1. Contextual elements for understanding a country’s CSR*

<b>History</b>	1. Liberalism vs. State control 2. Historical involvement with capitalism
<b>Religion/Ideology</b>	1. Embedded beliefs and values
<b>Social Norms</b>	1. Individualism vs. Communitarianism 2. Importance of family structures
<b>Geography</b>	1. Influence of neighboring states 2. History of trade relationships
<b>Political Structures</b>	1. Democratic institutions vs. authoritarian rule 2. Federalist structure
<b>Level of Economic Development</b>	1. Importance of agricultural, industrial, and service 2. Middle class development 3. Overall size of economy influencing importance of international trade
<b>Civil Society Institutions</b>	1. Strength and importance of labor unions 2. Strength, number, and importance of advocacy groups 3. Power and freedom of independent media
<b>Safety Net</b>	1. Degree of government support of the needy

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/corporate-social-responsibility-csr-in-china/206947](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/corporate-social-responsibility-csr-in-china/206947)

## Related Content

---

### Cross-Country and Cross-Sector CSR Variations: A Comparative Analysis of CSR Reporting in the U.S., South Korea, and Turkey

Altay Dikeç, Victor Kaneand Nejat Çapar (2019). *Corporate Social Responsibility: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1471-1498).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/cross-country-and-cross-sector-csr-variations/207028](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/cross-country-and-cross-sector-csr-variations/207028)

### Corporate Social Responsibility in Tourism Industry: Issues and Challenges

Anu Jhamb (2019). *Corporate Social Responsibility: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 49-54).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/corporate-social-responsibility-in-tourism-industry/206948](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/corporate-social-responsibility-in-tourism-industry/206948)

### Health Schools in Spain: Health Promotion at the Regional Level to Advance Sustainable Development Goal 3

María Luisa Gracia-Pérez, Marta Gil-Lacruz, Arelys López-Concepción, Victor Bazán-Monasterio, Isabel Saz-Gil and Ana I. Gil-Lacruz (2022). *Future Advancements for CSR and the Sustainable Development Goals in a Post-COVID-19 World* (pp. 181-197).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/health-schools-in-spain/287850](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/health-schools-in-spain/287850)

### Etnika: A Model for the Cultural and Creative Identity of Ecuadorian Footwear

Sandra C. Varela, Marcelo Pilamunga and Jorge L. Santamaría (2020). *Management and Inter/Intra Organizational Relationships in the Textile and Apparel Industry* (pp. 219-240).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/etnika/245138](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/etnika/245138)

### A Literature Review of CEO Servant Leadership and Social Responsibility in American For-Profit Organizations

SookYoung S. Yoon, Jeff J. Darville and Charlene Stacey Spann (2022). *Research Anthology on Developing Socially Responsible Businesses* (pp. 2199-2214).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-literature-review-of-ceo-servant-leadership-and-social-responsibility-in-american-for-profit-organizations/302370](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-literature-review-of-ceo-servant-leadership-and-social-responsibility-in-american-for-profit-organizations/302370)