Chapter 6 Conclusions From Social Dynamics for Sustainable Educational Development

Gilbert Ahamer *Graz University, Austria*

ABSTRACT

The social and didactic dynamics produced by the negotiation-oriented and partly web-based game "Surfing Global Change" (SGC) were analyzed by independent experts after their observations in advanced interdisciplinary university courses. It could be empirically demonstrated that the intended didactics of SGC were successful, namely that they were grounded on "active, self-organized learning," training of "competence to act," and on responsibility for both practicable and sustainable solutions for the society of the future. The design of SGC succeeds in equilibrating competition vs. consensus, self-study vs. team work, sharpening the self-interest vs. readiness to compromise, reductionism vs. holism, and hence, mirrors professional realities. The conclusion is made that the game's rules act as a boundary condition for expected processes of social self-organization. The independent expert's opinions express the importance of self-responsibility. Hence, self-organization in SGC allows for self-responsibility.

1. THE ORGANIC MEANING OF THE FIVE PHASES IN SGC

The overall design of the game SGC (see descriptions in Duraković, et al., 2012; Öttl et al., 2014; Vogler, Ahamer, & Jekel, 2010; Bader, et al., 2013; Lehner & Wurzenberger, 2013; Altmann, et al., 2013; Ahamer, 2004a, b, 2005, 2006, 2008a,b, 2012a, b, 2013a, b, c, d, e; 2015; Ahamer & Schrei, 2006; Ahamer & Jekel, 2010)

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-5673-2.ch006

Conclusions From Social Dynamics for Sustainable Educational Development

sets out to train students for the vicissitudes of professional life. Consequently, a certain rhythm of fact-based analysis versus the social striving for acceptance of one's own convictions is what follows:

- The phases focusing on individual work (1, 2, 5) complement team-oriented phases (3, 4).
- The phases focusing on defending individual views (1, 3) alternate with phases where openness for other standpoints is a necessary attitude (2, 4).
- Phase 3, with its richness in differentiation and details as visualized in the matrix, is followed by phase 4 where formerly singular aspects intertwine and where details converge to a common action program.

The main dramaturgy of Surfing Global Change lies in *arguments serving as* tools for objectified interpersonal communication:

- First define and foster your own precise standpoint in order to ...
- ... *Then* become able to make it more flexible in the interest of greater equilibrium.

SGC builds on dialogic, self-responsible and game-based didactics as proposed in (Gierlinger-Czerny, 2003; Gierlinger-Czerny & Peuerböck, 2002; Peuerböck, 2003; Prensky, 2001; Rogers, 1974; Rauch, 2013, 2014, 2017; Klabbers, 2001; Jonas, 1979; Montessori, 1988; Ahamer & Kumpfmüller, 2013; Ahamer & Mayer, 2013).

In this light, SGC's set of rules could be seen as a facilitator for social and academic evolution inside a class and has several organic functionalities (right in Figure 1).

SGC sets out to allow an organic maturation of standpoints (left in Figure 1):

- 1. Small isolated packages of traditional content representing only one side.
- 2. A process of text-oriented critiques at a slow pace allowing deliberation on a one-to-one basis mediated via asynchronous virtual communication.
- 3. A quick process of situation-dependent need to present and defend individual arguments as a function of the adversary's behaviour and strategy on a group-to-group basis within a team in synchronous real-time communication.
- 4. A consolidation process with less pressing time restrictions in real-time communication on a many-in-one-boat basis requiring consensus in synchronous real-time communication.
- 5. A closing activity involving the creation of a view that integrates the many standpoints heard so far by creating an analysis outside severe time restrictions on an individual or freely-chosen team "we just for us" basis in web-mediated asynchronous communication.

34 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> <u>global.com/chapter/conclusions-from-social-dynamics-for-</u> sustainable-educational-development/206653

Related Content

Is the EU Moving Towards Sustainable Development?: Changes in the Social Exclusion Area in Some European Countries

Irina Gabriela Radulescu, Mirela Clementina Panait, Madalina Albuand Mihaela Ciopi Oprea (2015). *International Journal of Sustainable Economies Management (pp. 49-59).*

www.irma-international.org/article/is-the-eu-moving-towards-sustainable-development/138244

Sustainable Finance: Pathways to a Green Economy

Fariha Sami, Uzma Faizand Irfan Hussain Khan (2025). *Adaptive Strategies for Green Economy and Sustainability Policies (pp. 425-442).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/sustainable-finance/371836

Valorification of Waste for Sustainable Concrete Production

Nicoleta Cobirzan, Radu Munteanand Raluca-Andree Felseghi (2023). *Circular Economy Implementation for Sustainability in the Built Environment (pp. 159-172).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/valorification-of-waste-for-sustainable-concreteproduction/331787

Climate Change Risk and the Performance of South African Banks

Babatunde Samuel Lawrenceand Mishelle Doorasamy (2021). *Handbook of Research on Climate Change and the Sustainable Financial Sector (pp. 387-398).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/climate-change-risk-and-the-performance-of-south-african-banks/280978

COVID-19 Health Pandemic: A Turnaround in Economic Infrastructure

Saibal Kumar Saha, Bedanta Bora, Anindita Adhikaryand Sangita Saha (2022). Handbook of Research on Green, Circular, and Digital Economies as Tools for Recovery and Sustainability (pp. 329-342).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/covid-19-health-pandemic/296679