Chapter XVII Vendor vs. Client Risks in Outsourced IT Projects: An Agency Theory Perspective

Hazel Taylor University of Washington Seattle, USA

ABSTRACT

As outsourced and multinational IT projects become more common, managing risks for these projects is increasingly important. The research reported here examined key risks identified by Hong Kong vendor project managers working on both local and international package implementation projects. In addition to the typical risks that threaten project outcome success, respondents noted additional client-side and vendor-side risks, as well as location-specific risks on their multinational projects. They also distinguished threats to the satisfactory process of the project, and threats to their own firms from competitors and from potential damage to their reputation arising from customer dissatisfaction with either the outcomes or the process of the project. This broader risk focus of vendor project managers is contrasted with the client perspective through the lens of agency theory. Traditionally, agency theory has been used to predict risks to the client-principal related to vendors' profit goals in the outsourcing relationship. However, the findings of this study suggest that vendors' higher-level concerns for their future business and reputation mitigate the risk to the client of vendor opportunistic behavior.

INTRODUCTION

For over 30 years, reports about problems with IT projects have appeared regularly in the popular and academic literature including several well-publicized major failures (Drummond, 1996;

Lyytinen, Mathiassen, & Ropponen, 1998). During this period there has also been a steady flow of advice in both the academic and practitioner literature on IT project management, development methodologies, and risk management techniques. Risk management practice has been identified as

one critical factor of the success of IT development projects (Barki, Rivard, & Talbot, 2001; Boehm, 1991; Charette, 1996; Fairley, 1994; Heemstra & Kusters, 1996; Schmidt, Lyytinen, Keil, & Cule, 2001). A significant stream of research has focused on identifying risk factors for IT projects in order to aid managers in making decisions about risk mitigation in their software development projects (see, for example: Alter, 1996; Baccarini, Salm, & Love, 2004; Barki, Rivard, & Talbot, 1993; Barki et al., 2001; Boehm, 1991; Cooke-Davies, 2002; Keil, Cule, Lyytinen, & Schmidt, 1998; Moynihan, 1996; Schmidt et al., 2001). These studies have included surveys of managers from a variety of cultures, including Australia (Baccarini et al., 2004), Canada (Barki et al., 1993), Europe (Cooke-Davies, 2002), Ireland (Moynihan, 1996), and the US, Finland, and Hong Kong (Schmidt et al., 2001), and show substantial commonality of risk perspective across cultures.

While the body of work on risks in IT projects is extensive, the success rate for these projects continues to be poor (Standish Group, 2003). One increasingly popular risk mitigation option for organizations is the outsourcing of development and implementation of IT projects (Lacity & Willcocks, 1998; Levina & Ross, 2003; Willcocks, Lacity, & Kern, 1999), either by contracting specialist software development firms to build custom information systems, or by purchasing off-the-shelf software packages, typically with some customization to fit the client's needs (Lacity & Willcocks, 1998; McFarlan & Nolan, 1995; Natovich, 2003; Rao, 2004; Russo, 2000). Software package projects are especially interesting in the context of IT risk management practice, in that their use is claimed to ameliorate or avoid many of the risks to client organizations associated with custom developments (Lassila & Brancheau, 1999; Martin & McClure, 1983). Such outsourced projects, which can be within country or offshore (Rao, 2004), offer the benefits of risk transference, cost reduction and improved performance (Clark Jr., Zmud, & McCray, 1995; Lacity & Willcocks, 1998; Loh & Venkatraman, 1995; Natovich, 2003).

Recent research has focused on how client organizations can best manage the outsourcing relationship in order to maximize these benefits (Choudhury & Sabherwal, 2003; Foxman, 1994; Willcocks, Hindle, Feeny, & Lacity, 2004). While certain cross-cultural issues have been highlighted for off-shore sourcing arrangements (Kliem, 2004; Krishna, Sahay, & Walsham, 2004; Rao, 2004), there is a broad level of consensus in recommendations for both within country and cross-country outsourced projects (Choudhury & Sabherwal, 2003). In particular, managing risks during the implementation of these projects is critical and researchers have begun to investigate the client perspective on risks for outsourced projects (Parr, Shanks, & Darke, 1999; Scott & Vessey, 2002; Sumner, 2000). Some researchers have taken an agency theory view and warned of the risks to the client arising from vendor involvement in an outsourced system project (Loh & Venkatraman, 1995; Phelps, 1996). In contrast, other researchers have viewed the vendor-client relationship as a partnership and focused more on the need to establish and maintain trusting relationships in order to ensure project success (Gefen, 2002, 2004; Sabherwal, 1999). While there seems to be little consensus on the best way for *client* firms to approach risk management in outsourced projects, even less is known about the vendor perspective on managing risk in these projects (G. B. Davis, 1998; Gable, 1998; Goles, 2001; Levina & Ross, 2003).

The aim of the exploratory research reported here was to examine the vendor's perspective on risks that threaten outsourced IT projects and to identify key differences between risks attended to by vendor project managers and those identified by clients in prior research. An initial over-arching framework was developed, using the lens of agency theory, to guide the study. In the next section, I review the literature related to risk management of in-house and outsourced 22 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/vendor-client-risks-outsourced-projects/20627

Related Content

MACROS: Case Study of Knowledge Sharing System Development within New York State Government Agencies

Jing Zhang, Theresa A. Paroand Joseph Sarkis (2006). *Cases on Information Technology: Lessons Learned, Volume 7 (pp. 419-439).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/macros-case-study-knowledge-sharing/6402

An Ontology-Based Decision Support System for the Diagnosis of Plant Diseases

Katty Lagos-Ortiz, José Medina-Moreira, Mario Andrés Paredes-Valverde, Winston Espinoza-Moránand Rafael Valencia-García (2017). *Journal of Information Technology Research (pp. 42-55).* www.irma-international.org/article/an-ontology-based-decision-support-system-for-the-diagnosis-of-plantdiseases/188671

Research of Self-Attention in Image Segmentation

Fude Cao, Chunguang Zheng, Limin Huang, Aihua Wang, Jiong Zhang, Feng Zhou, Haoxue Ju, Haitao Guoand Yuxia Du (2022). *Journal of Information Technology Research (pp. 1-12).* www.irma-international.org/article/research-of-self-attention-in-image-segmentation/298619

An Overview on Strategic ICT Implementations Toward Developing Knowledge Societies

Hakikur Rahman (2008). Information Communication Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1-35).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/overview-strategic-ict-implementations-toward/22651

A Dynamic Strategy for Classifying Sentiment From Bengali Text by Utilizing Word2vector Model

Mafizur Rahman, Md. Rifayet Azam Talukder, Lima Akter Setuand Amit Kumar Das (2022). Journal of Information Technology Research (pp. 1-17).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-dynamic-strategy-for-classifying-sentiment-from-bengali-text-by-utilizingword2vector-model/299919