

## Chapter 12

# Is Modeling a Treatment for the Weakness of Software Engineering?

**Janis Osis**

*Riga Technical University, Latvia*

**Erika Asnina**

*Riga Technical University, Latvia*

### ABSTRACT

*Experts' opinions exist that the way software is built is primitive. The role of modeling as a treatment for Software Engineering (SE) became more important after the appearance of Model-Driven Architecture (MDA). The main advantage of MDA is architectural separation of concerns that showed the necessity of modeling and opened the way for Software Development (SD) to become engineering. However, this principle does not demonstrate its whole potential power in practice, because of a lack of mathematical accuracy in the initial steps of SD. The question about the sufficiency of modeling in SD is still open. The authors believe that SD, in general, and modeling, in particular, based on mathematical formalism in all its stages together with the implemented principle of architectural separation of concerns can become an important part of SE in its real sense. They introduce such mathematical formalism by means of topological modeling of system functioning.*

### INTRODUCTION

Software developers' community understands and forcedly accepts that software development in its current state is rather art than an engineering process. This means that qualitative software is a piece-work or a craftwork. Such an item usually is expensive, and cannot be stock-produced. However, in the modern world software users want to see and to use a qualitative and relatively cheap product. This means that software *development* must become software engineering. The word "engineering" intends a theory approved, completely realized and reused many times in practice that gives a qualitative and relatively inexpensive end product in accurately predictable timeframes.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-5643-5.ch012

## ***Is Modeling a Treatment for the Weakness of Software Engineering?***

Software development's way to software engineering is quite long. Things that make this way long are very different. From one viewpoint, software development lacks commonly accepted theoretical foundations. From another viewpoint, software developers do not want to use "hard" theory (especially mathematical) because in order to win on the market they must provide operating software as fast as possible and even faster, but a lack of theory just slower getting an operating product. From the third viewpoint, clients do not want to pay a powerful lot of money for a product that, first, exists only as a textual document, second, includes "intellectual" work that is hard to measure and to evaluate, and third, usually it is not the same as clients wanted. Clients cannot check how work proceeds, since they cannot see the product at whole before integration of its parts and cannot evaluate (or even understand) the size of introduced efforts.

The content of this chapter is our vision of how to shorten this long way. First, we discuss effectiveness and quality of software engineering, and then differences between traditional engineering disciplines and software engineering. Next, we consider a modeling process and discuss benefits and issues, which could and could not be solved by modeling. At the end, we discuss our vision on what must be done in order to get really revolutionary improvement of software development.

## **BACKGROUND**

### **Effectiveness and Quality of Software Engineering Is Low**

For better understanding of the motivation of this discussion, let us look at the effectiveness and quality of software engineering. Our discussion is grounded on the very important results of the research performed by Capers Jones and presented in (Jones, 2009). Currently, Capers Jones is a president of Capers Jones & Associates LLC. He is also a founder and a former chairman of Software Productivity Research LLC (SPR). Jones and his colleagues from SPR have collected historical data (since 1977 till 2007) from hundreds of corporations and more than 30 government organizations. This historical data is a key source for judging the effectiveness of software process improvement methods. This data is also widely cited in software litigation in cases where quality, productivity, and schedules are parts of the proceedings. Jones also frequently works as an expert witness in software litigation. In brief, Capers Jones is an authority in software engineering.

The main result obtained during analysis of this historical data can be expressed in one sentence - *"The way software is built remains surprisingly primitive"* (Jones, 2009, p. 1). This statement is based on the following data:

- *Budget and schedule overruns.* Even in 2008 majority of software applications are cancelled, overrun their budgets and schedules, and often have hazardously bad quality levels when released. As time passes, the global percentage of programmers performing maintenance on aging software has steadily risen, until it has become the dominant activity of the software world.
- *Product and process innovations.* *External product* innovations (new or improved products) and *internal process* innovations (new or improved methods for reducing development resources) are at different levels of sophistication. Even in 2008 very sophisticated and complex pieces of software are still constructed by manual methods with extraordinary labor content (jobs from the

16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/is-modeling-a-treatment-for-the-weakness-of-software-engineering/205789](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/is-modeling-a-treatment-for-the-weakness-of-software-engineering/205789)

## Related Content

---

### Extension of the TOPSIS for Multi-Attribute Group Decision Making under Atanassov IFS Environments

Deng-Feng Li and Jiang-Xia Nan (2011). *International Journal of Fuzzy System Applications* (pp. 47-61).  
[www.irma-international.org/article/extension-topsis-multi-attribute-group/60380](http://www.irma-international.org/article/extension-topsis-multi-attribute-group/60380)

### Prediction of User Interests for Providing Relevant Information Using Relevance Feedback and Re-ranking

L. Sai Ramesh, S. Ganapathy, R. Bhuvaneshwari, K. Kulothungan, V. Pandiyaraju and A. Kannan (2015). *International Journal of Intelligent Information Technologies* (pp. 55-71).  
[www.irma-international.org/article/prediction-of-user-interests-for-providing-relevant-information-using-relevance-feedback-and-re-ranking/139740](http://www.irma-international.org/article/prediction-of-user-interests-for-providing-relevant-information-using-relevance-feedback-and-re-ranking/139740)

### The Promotion of Women's Leisure Sports Behavior Based on Improved Decision Tree Algorithm

Huaping Luo (2024). *International Journal of Intelligent Information Technologies* (pp. 1-16).  
[www.irma-international.org/article/the-promotion-of-womens-leisure-sports-behavior-based-on-improved-decision-tree-algorithm/334709](http://www.irma-international.org/article/the-promotion-of-womens-leisure-sports-behavior-based-on-improved-decision-tree-algorithm/334709)

### Stochastic Approximation Monte Carlo for MLP Learning

Faming Liang (2009). *Encyclopedia of Artificial Intelligence* (pp. 1482-1489).  
[www.irma-international.org/chapter/stochastic-approximation-monte-carlo-mlp/10434](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/stochastic-approximation-monte-carlo-mlp/10434)

### On the Computational Character of Semantic Structures

Prakash Mondal (2014). *International Journal of Conceptual Structures and Smart Applications* (pp. 57-67).  
[www.irma-international.org/article/on-the-computational-character-of-semantic-structures/120234](http://www.irma-international.org/article/on-the-computational-character-of-semantic-structures/120234)