# Chapter 47 Cyber Security Centres for Threat Detection and Mitigation

**Marthie Grobler** 

Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, University of Johannesburg, South Africa

#### **Pierre Jacobs**

Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, University of Johannesburg, South Africa

### Brett van Niekerk

University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa

## ABSTRACT

With the continuing evolution of cyber threats, it is only a matter of time before an organisation will suffer a major breach or there is an incident of national significance. This necessitates monitoring to detect possible incidents and mechanisms to respond and recover from breaches. This chapter provides an overview of structures to aid in threat detection and incident recovery. Security Operation Centres (SOCs), Computer Security Incident Response Teams (CSIRTs), and Security Intelligence Centres (SICs) will be covered, and the differences, benefits and limitations will be discussed. Guidance for the implementation of these security capabilities within organisations will be provided.

## INTRODUCTION

Threats within the cyber domain are becoming more prominent. It is therefore imminent that threats need to be mitigated as far as possible. This chapter investigates how monitoring and incident response can be applied to assist cyber security centres to detect and mitigate threats. For the purpose of this publication, cyber security centres include the structures known as Security Operation Centres (SOCs), Computer Security Incident Response Teams (CSIRTs), and Security Intelligence Centres (SICs). This chapter indentifies the need for both monitoring and incident response, and the benefits that these actions bring about for organisations making use of the cyber security centre's services. The chapter further looks at the benefits and challenges faced by cyber security centres in threat mitigation, and provides some guidance in terms of setting up these centres. The aim of the chapter is to assist cyber security centres

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-5634-3.ch047

in improving their threat detection and mitigation capabilities. The chapter investigates the need for and benefits of monitoring and incident response, and looks into the structures required to facilitate monitoring and threat detection, structures to facilitate incident handling, and structures to facilitate advanced threat detection and mitigation. The chapter concludes by providing guidance in terms of cyber security threat detection and mitigation.

## THE NEED FOR MONITORING AND INCIDENT RESPONSE

Within the ever changing security environment, it is very important to adhere to constant monitoring. Not only is the security environment continuously changing, but new challenges arise daily in terms of new vulnerabilities and new attack types. Security attacks are now more likely to be targeted, purposeful and organised, posing a much more directed threat for an organisation. As a result of the increased level of connectivity between systems, sensitive data is faced with security, integrity and credibility issues (Gandotra, Singhal & Bedi, 2009).

In the view of the changing threat landscape, it is imperative that more attention be paid to system monitoring (Gandotra, Singhal & Bedi, 2009). In order to manage these aspects, all organisational systems should be monitored to such an extent that any incidents can be managed and appropriately responded to on a timeous basis. Thus, threat mitigation within an organisation needs to be planned and executed in an ordered way in order to enhance opportunities and reduce threats to the sensitive data within the organisation. As part of a holistic cyber security strategy, authorities will deploy security controls in supporting the improvement of their entity's cyber security posture. The SysAdmin, Audit, Network, Security institute (SANS) groups these controls into technical security controls, administrative security controls and physical security controls (Northcutt, 2009), while the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST, 2014) uses a grouping of Know, Prevent, Detect, Respond and Recover security controls.

In order to keep concepts simple, SANS taxonomy of technical, administrative and physical security controls is used. A technical security control could be anything from a firewall or an Intrusion Prevention System (IPS), to end-point protection in the form of anti-virus or anti-malware software. These technical controls need to be monitored to ensure that they work as intended, and to detect possible attacks and anomalies as they happen. Monitoring is expressed as a requirement by various authoritative documents (laws, acts, treaties and regulations) and normative documents (standards, frameworks, policies and best practices). Monitoring is typically done from a SOC or a SIC, and the primary technology used is the Security Incident and Event Monitoring (SIEM) tool (Zimmerman, 2014).

The process is simplified to comprise four steps, as shown in Figure 1 (MITRE, 2016).

In the threat mitigation and management process, the threats are firstly identified by means of monitoring events. All identified events are assessed according to probability and consequence. Consequences may include cost, schedule, technical performance and capability, amongst others. If the event or combination of events is elevated to an incident, the threat is identified. Secondly, the impact of threats is assessed. Thirdly, an analysis is conducted of the threat prioritisation. All threat events assessed as medium or high criticality is monitored and, if required, moved to the incident response queue. Low critical threats may be further monitored. Lastly, the threat is mitigated. During this step, incident response is actioned. Throughout the threat mitigation and management process, monitoring needs to be conducted to ensure that the status of the threats is known to the organisation (MITRE, 2016). As such, the identification of

22 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/cyber-security-centres-threat-detection/203543

## **Related Content**

Enhanced Formal Verification Flow for Circuits Integrating Debugging and Coverage Analysis Daniel Große, Görschwin Feyand Rolf Drechsler (2011). *Design and Test Technology for Dependable Systems-on-Chip (pp. 119-131).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/enhanced-formal-verification-flow-circuits/51398

#### Reduction of the Transferred Test Data Amount

Ondrej Novák (2011). *Design and Test Technology for Dependable Systems-on-Chip (pp. 460-475).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/reduction-transferred-test-data-amount/51414

## Assimilating and Optimizing Software Assurance in the SDLC: A Framework and Step-Wise Approach

Aderemi O. Adenijiand Seok-Won Lee (2012). *Computer Engineering: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications (pp. 639-657).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/assimilating-optimizing-software-assurance-sdlc/62469

#### Recent Developments in Cryptography: A Survey

Kannan Balasubramanian (2018). *Cyber Security and Threats: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1272-1293).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/recent-developments-in-cryptography/203560

#### Advances in Data Processing for Airlines Revenue Management

Félix Mora-Caminoand Luiz Gustavo Zelaya Cruz (2012). *Computer Engineering: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications (pp. 1952-1965).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/advances-data-processing-airlines-revenue/62555