

Chapter XXXVI

Constructivist Online Learning Environment Survey

Jason D. Baker
Regent University, USA

BACKGROUND

Understanding the psychosocial classroom environment has been important in both traditional face-to-face courses and online education. Trickett and Moos (1974) pioneered the use of postcourse self-report instruments to measure the classroom environment through the classroom environment scale. More recently, Taylor and Maor (2000) developed the Constructivist Online Learning Environment Survey (COLLES) to examine the students' perceptions of the online learning environment in light of social constructivist pedagogical principles.

The 24-item, Likert-type COLLES instrument is a popular measure for examining online learning environments for a least two reasons. First, it measures the online learning environment along constructivist categories, which makes it in line with the dominant pedagogical philosophy for online instruction. Second, the COLLES instrument is freely included in the survey module of Moodle, the most popular open source course management system available. This makes it particularly convenient for online instructors to use COLLES in their teaching and research.

COLLES assesses the learning environment using six scales:

- **Relevance:** How relevant is online learning to students' professional practices?
- **Reflection:** Does online learning stimulate students' critical reflective thinking?
- **Interactivity:** To what extent do students engage online in rich educative dialogue?
- **Tutor Support:** How well do tutors enable students to participate in online learning?
- **Peer Support:** Is sensitive and encouraging support provided online by fellow students?
- **Interpretation:** Do students and tutors make good sense of each other's online communications? (<http://surveylearning.moodle.com/colles/>)

Each of these scales is represented by four items. In filling out the form, an individual indicates the relative frequency (ranging from almost never to almost always) that different activities occur in the online course.

An individual's score on the COLLES is determined by summing responses across all six

scales (24 items). In addition, six scale scores can be calculated (4 items each). Thus, an overall constructivist learning environment score and a separate score for relevance, reflection, interactivity, tutor (instructor) support, peer support, and interpretation.

The COLLES instrument is self-report and takes about 10-15 minutes to complete. There are preferred and actual forms of the instrument available. According to the authors:

Which form of the COLLES to administer depends largely on timing and purpose. Typically, we administer the preferred form early in the teaching semester, after allowing a couple of weeks to pass while students become familiar with our online learning requirements. Then, in the final week of semester, we administer the combined form (preferred and actual) (<http://surveylearning.moodle.com/colles/>).

COMMENTARY

The COLLES is a significant instrument to support online learning within a constructivist pedagogical framework. The constructs that it measures are useful for the teacher and researcher alike. Furthermore, the simplicity of the instrument, the availability of both preferred and actual versions, and the ease of access make the COLLES an extremely attractive instrument for online learning research. The lack of detailed validity and reliability testing bundled with the instrument itself is disconcerting, although perhaps explained by the relative newness of the instrument and the extensive validation of similar learning environment instruments. Nevertheless, the popularity and accessibility of the COLLES warrants additional research into this instrument to support its continued usage in academic research and practice.

COST

The COLLES is free to take and use online.

LOCATION

The preferred and actual forms of the COLLES are available online at

<http://surveylearning.moodle.com/colles/>

The COLLES is also bundled with the Moodle course management system, available at: <http://www.moodle.org>

REFERENCES

- Byer, J. L. (2000). Measuring the positive effects of students' perceptions of classroom social climate on academic self-concept. *Journal of Social Studies Research*, 24(1), 25-34.
- Fraser, B. J. (1998). Classroom environment instruments: Development, validity and applications. *Learning Environments Research: An International Journal*, 1(1), 7-33.
- Dougiamas, M., & Taylor, P. C. (2002). Interpretive analysis of an Internet-based course constructed using a new courseware tool called Moodle. *Proceedings from the Higher Education Research and Development Society of Australasia 2002 Conference*. Perth: HERDSA. Retrieved from <http://www.ecu.edu.au/conferences/herdsa/main/papers/nonref/pdf/MartinDougiamas.pdf>
- Taylor, P. & Maor, D. (2000, February 2-4). Assessing the efficacy of online teaching with the Constructivist On-Line Learning Environment survey. In A. Herrmann & M. M. Kulski (Eds.), *Flexible futures in tertiary teaching. Proceedings of the 9th Annual Teaching Learning Forum*. Perth: Curtin University of Technology. Retrieved

1 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/constructivist-online-learning-environment-survey/20247

Related Content

Revenue Efficiency of Fuzzy Sample Decision Making Unit

Nazila Aghayand Samira Salehpour (2015). *International Journal of Measurement Technologies and Instrumentation Engineering* (pp. 14-27).

www.irma-international.org/article/revenue-efficiency-of-fuzzy-sample-decision-making-unit/176407

Assessing Creativity Using the Consensual Assessment Technique

John Baerand Sharon S. McKool (2009). *Handbook of Research on Assessment Technologies, Methods, and Applications in Higher Education* (pp. 65-77).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/assessing-creativity-using-consensual-assessment/19664

Case Study: Material Additions, Ratings, and Comments in a Course Setting

Juha Leino (2012). *Educational Recommender Systems and Technologies: Practices and Challenges* (pp. 258-280).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/case-study-material-additions-ratings/60626

Learning by Doing: Four Years of Online Assessments in Engineering Education Research

John C. Wise, Sang Ha Leeand Sarah E. Rzasa Zappe (2006). *Online Assessment, Measurement and Evaluation: Emerging Practices* (pp. 306-315).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/learning-doing-four-years-online/27713

Holography: The Evolution and Its Correlation With 5G and IoT

Aprajita Shriwastawaand Satyajee Srivastava (2021). *International Journal of Electronics, Communications, and Measurement Engineering* (pp. 22-32).

www.irma-international.org/article/holography/271459