

Chapter XIII

Engineering for Interdisciplinary Collaboration

John D. Murphy

University of Nebraska at Omaha, USA

Alanah J. Davis

University of Nebraska at Omaha, USA

Justin M. Yurkovich

University of Nebraska at Omaha, USA

ABSTRACT

This chapter introduces Collaboration Engineering as an approach to developing more effective collaborative sessions for interdisciplinary teams. Collaboration is the foundation for success for many academic teams; however, the benefits of collaborative sessions can be lost when group processes are not well understood and the needs of interdisciplinary teams are not met. As such, this chapter will identify key facets of how interdisciplinary teams develop and evaluate potential solutions. Groupthink and disciplinary ethnocentrism are also presented, as these factors can negatively impact interdisciplinary teams, and techniques are proposed that can help teams avoid these potentially negative effects. The central position of this chapter is that Collaboration Engineering based on proven group processes and guided by design recommendations specific for interdisciplinary team collaboration can result in session designs that improve outcomes for interdisciplinary teams.

INTRODUCTION

Many interdisciplinary teams rely on group processes, and collaboration in particular, as a foundation for success. However, disagreements

over a team's purpose and goals, lack of reliable information to base decisions upon, and poor communication are just a few of the challenges that collaborative teams face. These challenges are exacerbated when a team is composed of

people from diverse academic disciplines. Despite these drawbacks, interdisciplinary collaboration is commonly used in academia as the problems under study demand the skillful blending of the perspectives, concepts, and methodologies from diverse academic fields. As such, the goal of this chapter is to identify and examine issues that impact interdisciplinary collaboration in order to better understand how to design collaborative sessions for interdisciplinary teams. Blending this better understanding with the advanced capabilities of electronic Group Support Systems can help teams avoid potential pitfalls in interdisciplinary collaboration and lead to more synergistic solutions.

The chapter begins with a background of group processes, interdisciplinary teams, and Collaboration Engineering. An analysis of this background information then provides a theoretical basis for recommendations on ways to design better interdisciplinary collaboration sessions. Next, the chapter presents a discussion of possible research issues and future trends which when explored may offer potential for improving these results. The chapter concludes with an example of the approach presented.

BACKGROUND

A deeper understanding of the core processes that underpin collaborative initiatives can improve the process of designing successful interdisciplinary collaboration. This section will describe general group processes, aspects specific to interdisciplinary teams, and the emerging discipline of Collaboration Engineering.

Group Processes

Teams employ a number of processes and strategies to produce solutions to problems they face. Of specific interest here are the processes of brainstorming and evaluation of the ideas from a

brainstorming session. The basic concept behind brainstorming is that when a group works together to generate ideas, each new idea contributed can trigger additional ideas in the minds of the participants. Osborn (1957), the father of the brainstorming technique, called this synergistic effect the “two-way current” of group collaboration and described a significant boost in the number and quality of ideas a group could generate. However, academic study revealed problems with the practice and showed that group participation could actually inhibit creative thinking, particularly when group size increased (Diehl & Stroebe, 1987; Taylor, Berry, & Block, 1958). Table 1 lists and defines some of the potential drawbacks that have been associated with traditional verbal brainstorming sessions.

Examination of the drawbacks identified in these studies and others showed that computer-assisted ideation techniques could be used to overcome several of these problems (Gallupe, Dennis, Cooper, Valacich, Bastianutti, & Nunamaker, 1992; Pinsonneault, Barki, Gallupe, & Hoppen, 1999). Specifically, research has shown that the use of computer-assisted ideation techniques in the design of a collaborative session can improve the results of brainstorming activities for the group (Gallupe et al., 1992). As a result, specific tools that embodied those computer-assisted ideation techniques were built into a class of computer applications referred to as Group Support Systems (Nunamaker, Briggs, Mittleman, Vogel, & Balthazard, 1997).

Once the brainstorming process has provided a collection of potential solutions, teams naturally turn to evaluating those options. At this point a potential pitfall termed “groupthink” emerges. First coined by Janis (1971), groupthink describes the tendency for a group to avoid negatively-perceived social consequences when evaluating contributions. For example, someone may choose to not question or criticize a possible solution for fear of being perceived as “not being a team player.” Teams that experience groupthink will seek to

11 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/engineering-interdisciplinary-collaboration/20174

Related Content

The Launch of Web-based Collaborative Decision Support at NASA

Irma Becerra-Fernandez, Matha Del Altoand Helen Stewart (2008). *E-Collaboration in Modern Organizations: Initiating and Managing Distributed Projects* (pp. 113-125).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/launch-web-based-collaborative-decision/8761

Effects of the Drowlite CSCL Platform on Students' Learning Outcomes

Omid Noroozi, Harm Biemans, Maria C. Busstra, Martin Mulder, Vitaliy Popovand Mohammad Chizari (2012). *Collaborative and Distributed E-Research: Innovations in Technologies, Strategies and Applications* (pp. 276-289).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/effects-drowlite-cscl-platform-students/63513

Enterprise Content Management Systems as a Knowledge Infrastructure: The Knowledge-Based Content Management Framework

Thang Le Dinh, Tim A. Rickenberg, Hans-Georg Filland Michael H. Breitner (2015). *International Journal of e-Collaboration* (pp. 49-70).

www.irma-international.org/article/enterprise-content-management-systems-as-a-knowledge-infrastructure/128391

Supporting Secure Information Flow: An Engineering Approach

Shane Bracherand Padmanabhan Krishnan (2012). *International Journal of e-Collaboration* (pp. 17-35).

www.irma-international.org/article/supporting-secure-information-flow/61403

A Framework Describing the Relationships among Social Technologies and Social Capital Formation in Electronic Entrepreneurial Networking

Kelly Burkeand Jerry M. Calton (2009). *International Journal of e-Collaboration* (pp. 25-38).

www.irma-international.org/article/framework-describing-relationships-among-social/3932