

Chapter VII

Qualitative and Quantitative Methods as Complementary Assessment Tools

Sheila S. Thompson

Metropolitan State College of Denver, USA

Annemarie Vaccaro

University of Rhode Island, USA

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this chapter is to address epistemological and methodological approaches to assessing assessment. The authors' intent is to show how moving beyond paradigm wars and using multiple methods makes for good assessment. The authors explore ways qualitative and quantitative methods are complementary, as opposed to competing concepts, arguing that these methodologies in collaboration provide a much richer form of higher education assessment. The chapter begins with a review of the literature on qualitative, quantitative, and mixed method designs, which includes an overview of the history and contemporary landscape of the qualitative-quantitative debate. The chapter also highlights successful examples of mixed-method assessment at a mid-sized, private university, presented in general frameworks which can be used on any campus. The chapter concludes with recommendations for practitioners and future trends.

INTRODUCTION

This chapter addresses epistemological and methodological approaches to assessment, with the intent to show how moving beyond paradigm wars and using multiple methods makes for good assessment. Higher education institutions are complex entities and thus require equally complex and comprehensive forms of assessing student learning and development. In this chapter, the authors explore the ways qualitative and quantitative methods are complementary, as opposed to competing concepts. Using both methodologies in collaboration provides a much richer form of assessment. Our approach to assessing assessment considers epistemological perspectives and presents practical mixed method examples.

The chapter is comprised of three main sections. The first section is a review of the literature on qualitative, quantitative, and mixed method designs, which includes an overview of the history and contemporary landscape of the qualitative-quantitative debate. The second section of this chapter highlights successful examples of mixed-method assessment at a mid-sized university. The examples provide not only specific instances, but also general frameworks which can be used on any campus. Finally, the chapter concludes with future trends and recommendations for practitioners. Specific strategies for maximizing the benefits of both assessment methods in any higher education setting are offered.

Given its location within the assessing assessment section of this text, the objectives of this chapter include:

1. Encouraging readers to consider multiple epistemological perspectives as they select assessment methods.
2. Encouraging readers to critically evaluate the potential effectiveness of quantitative and qualitative assessment techniques for their projects and carefully select rigorous techniques which can stand up to scrutiny.

3. Presenting a variety of specific mixed-method assessment examples within a series of frameworks which can be adapted for use in any higher education environment.
4. Offering practical suggestions for readers to consider prior to implementing their assessment projects, which are often high stakes activities.

The authors fully acknowledge the challenges of addressing the vast epistemological perspectives and language usage issues related to qualitative and quantitative methods. While a brief overview of these issues is included here, the intent of this chapter is not to provide an in-depth philosophical analysis. Instead, we strive to provide useful insight and recommendations for practitioners who are faced with assessment decisions on a daily basis.

BACKGROUND

Over the past twenty-five years, assessment has become a standard practice in higher education. Ideas of research and scholarship have historically been associated with the professorate, but they are rarely used for program planning and assessment purposes. Both research and assessment are a reality in higher education and have many overlapping procedures and functions (Erwin, 1991). Both require the systematic, rigorous collection and analysis of data to answer a question or solve a problem. Pike (2002) reminds us that there are “five elements of research that are essential for effective assessment: asking good questions, identifying appropriate methods, using appropriate measures, selecting representative participants, and communicating results effectively” (pp. 131-132). A major difference between assessment and research, however, is that research, especially quantitative research methods, are typically used to generate theory or conclusions applicable to many settings. Conversely, the primary intent of assessment is to

12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/qualitative-quantitative-methods-complementary-assessment/19667

Related Content

Design, Development and Testing of a Semi Cylindrical Capacitive Array Type Liquid Interface Level Sensor

Sagarika Pal, Ramtanu Mukherjee and Sharmi Ganguly (2011). *International Journal of Measurement Technologies and Instrumentation Engineering* (pp. 1-11).

www.irma-international.org/article/design-development-testing-semi-cylindrical/68154

Measurement in Public Relations

R. Botta (2007). *Handbook of Research on Electronic Surveys and Measurements* (pp. 153-169).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/measurement-public-relations/20228

Efficient Artifact Elimination in Cardiac Signals using Variable Step Size Adaptive Noise Cancellers

S. Yasmin Fathima, G. V. S. Karthik, M. Zia Ur Rahman and A. Lay-Ekuakille (2012). *International Journal of Measurement Technologies and Instrumentation Engineering* (pp. 35-51).

www.irma-international.org/article/efficient-artifact-elimination-cardiac-signals/72700

Dynamic Characteristics of Tiny Ultrasonic Linear Actuators

Hermes Hernández and Ramiro Velázquez (2011). *International Journal of Measurement Technologies and Instrumentation Engineering* (pp. 12-23).

www.irma-international.org/article/dynamic-characteristics-tiny-ultrasonic-linear/53358

Exigency of OSHA Standards Concerning Indian Telecom Riggers

Malla Satya Prasad and K. S. Srinivas (2021). *International Journal of Electronics, Communications, and Measurement Engineering* (pp. 33-50).

www.irma-international.org/article/exigency-of-osh-a-standards-concerning-indian-telecom-riggers/281140