The Scholarship of Learning and Teaching in the Dynamic Discipline of Pharmacology and Chemistry

Abdullah Karaksha Griffith University, Australia

ABSTRACT

The scholarship of learning and teaching (SoLT) involves research into practices of teaching, learning, and curriculum. SoLT's main principle is that effective teachers in higher education should engage in scholarly teaching practices as a matter of course by staying in touch with the latest research developments in their discipline, integrating these developments into their curriculum, and routinely gathering and using student feedback to guide curriculum review and improvement. SoLT research focuses on understanding student learning in order to improve the teaching and learning experience for participants. SoLT principles are particularly important in pharmacology and chemistry education because they entail rich content that is rapidly changing. Over the years, the discipline of pharmacology has undergone rapid expansion and advancement: the number of United States Food and Drug Administration-approved drugs has increased exponentially, patients have become more educated, and our knowledge of the mechanisms underlying many adverse drug events and interactions has evolved.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-3850-9.ch002

INTRODUCTION

The scholarship of learning and teaching (SoLT) involves research into practices of teaching, learning and curriculum. SoLT's main principle is that effective teachers in higher education should engage in scholarly teaching practices as a matter of course, by staying in touch with the latest research developments in their discipline, integrating these developments into their curriculum, and routinely gathering and $using student feedback to guide {\it curriculum review} and {\it improvement}. So LT research$ focuses on understanding student learning in order to improve the teaching and learning experience for participants (Boyer, 1991; Buckridge, Krause, & Alexander, 2010; Grauerholz & Zipp, 2008). SoLT principles are particularly important in pharmacology and chemistry education, because they entail rich content that is rapidly changing (Gilbert & Treagust, 2009; Michel, Bischoff, & Jakobs, 2002). Over the years, the discipline of pharmacology has undergone rapid expansion and advancement - the number of United States Food and Drug Administrationapproved drugs has increased exponentially, patients have be come more educated and demanding, and our knowledge of the mechanisms underlying many adverse drug events and interactions have evolved (Zgheib, Simaan, & Sabra, 2011). Likewise, chemistryeducationhasbeenintensivelyengagedinpurechemicaleducationresearch -advancing fundamental and generic pedagogical knowledge. The advances have not been translated to the classroom, and chemistry education seems unsure of its direction (Bucat, 2004).

This expansion in our knowledge of the discipline has placed more pressure on academics to continuously incorporate new facts and principles and update their curricula (Bucat, 2004; Hughes, 2003). Moreover, students perceive pharmacology and chemistry as a more "difficult" learning area than other subjects in the undergraduate health curriculum (D. K. Badyal, S. Bala, & P. Kathuria, 2010; Sirhan, 2007; Wang, Hu, & Xi, 2012). Consequently, teaching pharmacology and chemistry curricula to students has been a challenge (D. Badyal, S. Bala, & P. Kathuria, 2010; Halliday, Devonshire, Greenfield, & Dommett, 2010) and up-to-date teaching methods, such as e-learning tools, have been proposed to keep the students engaged and up-to-date with the content (Beetham & Sharpe, 2013; McGil, 2011; Michel et al., 2002).

The objectives of this chapter were divided into two main streams:

- Objective One: To review and evaluate the implementation of commercially available e-learning tools in higher education.
- Objective Two: To identify the required educational theories and principles to design effective self-designed e-learning to ols and the software needed to produce those tools.

23 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/the-scholarship-of-learning-and-teachingin-the-dynamic-discipline-of-pharmacology-andchemistry/195968

Related Content

Learning Through Assessment in Anthrogogic Contexts: Wash-Forward

Shree Deepaand Geetha Durairajan (2023). *Emerging Practices for Online Language Assessment, Exams, Evaluation, and Feedback (pp. 99-118).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/learning-through-assessment-in-anthrogogic-contexts/325666

Professional Skill Enrichment in Higher Education Institutions: A Challenge for Educational Leadership

Siran Mukerji, Purnendu Tripathiand Anjana (2019). *International Journal of Technology-Enabled Student Support Services (pp. 14-27).*

www.irma-international.org/article/professional-skill-enrichment-in-higher-education-institutions/244208

Infants, Toddlers, and Technology in Early Childhood Settings: Putting the Pieces Together

Ross Glen Chandler Nunamakerand William Arthur Mosier (2023). Research Anthology on Early Childhood Development and School Transition in the Digital Era (pp. 195-215).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/infants-toddlers-and-technology-in-early-childhood-settings/315680

A Systematic Review of the Potential Influencing Factors for ChatGPT-Assisted Education

Chuhan Xu (2024). International Journal of Technology-Enhanced Education (pp. 1-19).

 $\frac{\text{www.irma-international.org/article/a-systematic-review-of-the-potential-influencing-factors-for-chatgpt-assisted-education/339189}{}$

Are Wearables Good or Bad for Society?: An Exploration of Societal Benefits, Risks, and Consequences of Augmented Reality Smart Glasses Daniel W. E. Hein, Jennah L. Jodoin, Philipp A. Rauschnabeland Björn S. Ivens (2017). *Mobile Technologies and Augmented Reality in Open Education (pp. 1-25).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/are-wearables-good-or-bad-for-society/178234