

Chapter 4

The Four-Color Theorem and the Geometry of Nature

Jean Constant
Hermay.org., USA

ABSTRACT

The four-color theorem stands at the intersection of Science and Art. The mathematical reasoning used to solve the theorem lead to many practical applications in mathematics, graph theory, and computer science. One aspect of the four-color theorem, which was seldom covered and relevant to the field of visual communication, is the actual effectiveness of the distinct 4 colors scheme chosen to define its mapping. This chapter briefly reviews the historical and scientific background of the theorem, puts in perspective contemporary scientific and technical data pertaining to the perception of color, and studies the theorem in a particular color scheme on a fluorite crystal, which was chosen because of its colorless appearance and the mathematically symmetrical nature of its structure.

INTRODUCTION

The four-color theorem states that any map in a plane can be colored using four colors in such a way that regions sharing a common boundary (other than a single point) do not share the same color. – Eric Weisstein, “The Four-Color Theorem.” Wolfram Mathematica. Wolfram MathWorld (2017).

Eric Weisstein (2017a) discussed this theory with respect to the map coloring. Although German mathematician Klein briefly referred to the concept earlier in a public lecture, most agree that the student at the London University College London Francis Guthrie originated the question that became the four-color problem in 1852 (O’Connor & Robertson, 1996). His conjecture quickly caught the attention of many prominent mathematicians of the time: Augustus De Morgan, Arthur Cayley in 1878, Alfred Kempe in 1879, Tait in 1880, and Percy John Heawood among many. It was finally solved by Karel Appel and Wolfgang Haken in 1977. They wrote a computer-assisted proof that demonstrated that four colors were sufficient. The proof required a thousand hours of computer time, a hundred pages of summary, massive amount of detailed instructions, and seven hundred pages of backup work. The computer printouts

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-5332-8.ch004

for it stacked to four feet high (Wilson, 2003). A year later, using a separate procedure F. Allaire of the University of Waterloo, Ontario, CA, demonstrated the theorem in just 50 hours of human-computer dialogue (Popescu, & Mastorakis, 2009). In 1996, Robertson et al. proposed a shorter, independent proof that G. Gonthier and B. Werner confirmed in 2004. However, because part of the proof consisted of an exhaustive analysis of many discrete cases by a computer, some mathematicians do not accept it (Weisstein, 2017b). The original finding proposed 1,936 configurations. Later 102 of them were found redundant, thus reducing the number of configurations to 1,834, a number later reduced to 1,482. Verifying a computer program may be as challenging as checking a mathematical proof of the same length.

The theorem reasoning has benefited many mathematical fields of research: problem-solving, graph theory, networking; computer science, and communications networks among other. Guthrie's conjecture was also anchored on a practical issue having to do with visualization best practices – the procedures that carry a visual signal most effectively. The practical representation of the theorem was initially based on a unique four-color scheme (red, yellow, blue, and green) that has been used consistently since (Constantinou & Ampatzoglou, 2007). Even today, we know very little of the process that led to this particular choice of color. The mathematical proof of theorem relied on the concept of reducibility. What lead the mathematicians involved in solving the problem to choose green over any other color of the spectrum? In that context, was green the most effective choice for a four color scheme and if so, can the field of visual communication benefit from the theorem in the same manner the mathematical field did? All these significant questions legitimate the dialogue between the science and art disciplines and could help progress both further in their own research.

Color Theory in Science

Aristotle, in the fourth century BC considered blue and yellow to be the true primary colors. He developed a linear color system that ranged from the white light of midday through to the black of midnight (McLeod, 2016). In the mid-1600s, Isaac Newton discovered in a succession of experiments with a prism that red, green, and blue would generate white light. He called this arrangement primary colors and defined as secondary colors any two combinations of them (Color theory – MIT, 2017). It led to his creation of the first logical and accurate color wheel (Figure 1).

The color wheel was used to describe how complementary colors cancel each other's hue to produce an achromatic (white, gray or black) light mixture. Newton offered as a conjecture that colors exactly opposite one another on the hue circle cancel out each other's hue. This hypothesis was later substantiated by the clinical observation of physician Thomas Young and later by the work of German physician and physicist Hermann von Helmholtz.

The Young–Helmholtz theory defines a theory of trichromatic color vision and how the photoreceptor cells in the eye work to enable color vision. In 1802, Young postulated the existence of three types of photoreceptors (now known as cone cells) in the eye sensitive to a particular range of visible light. Von Helmholtz developed the theory further and according to the relative strengths of the signals detected by the three types of cones interpreted by the brain as a visible color, he proposed in 1850 that the three types of photoreceptors should be classified as short-preferring (blue), middle-preferring (green), and long-preferring (red), according to their response to the wavelengths of light striking the retina (von Helmholtz, 2005).

11 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-four-color-theorem-and-the-geometry-of-nature/195060

Related Content

Relationships Between Teacher Presence and Learning Outcomes, Learning Perceptions, and Visual Attention Distribution in Videotaped Lectures

Qinghong Zhang, Xianglan Chen, Yachao Duan and Xiaoying Yan (2022). *International Journal of Technology-Enhanced Education* (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/article/relationships-between-teacher-presence-and-learning-outcomes-learning-perceptions-and-visual-attention-distribution-in-videotaped-lectures/304079

Liberating Educational Technology Through the Socratic Method

Frank G. Giuseffi (2019). *Advanced Methodologies and Technologies in Modern Education Delivery* (pp. 424-434).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/liberating-educational-technology-through-the-socratic-method/212830

The Impact of Language Use and Academic Integration for International Students: A Comparative Exploration Among Three Universities in the United States and Western Switzerland

Michelle L. Amos and Rachel C. Plews (2019). *International Journal of Technology-Enabled Student Support Services* (pp. 1-13).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-impact-of-language-use-and-academic-integration-for-international-students/244207

Edu-ACoCM: Automatic Co-existing Concept Mining from Educational Content

Maitri Maulik Jhaveri and Jyoti Pareek (2019). *International Journal of Technology-Enabled Student Support Services* (pp. 16-40).

www.irma-international.org/article/edu-acocm/236072

Evolving Dietetics Education to Respond to Emerging Technologies in Nutritional Genomics

Natalie Jane Colson and Melissa Adamski (2018). *Emerging Technologies and Work-Integrated Learning Experiences in Allied Health Education* (pp. 66-92).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/evolving-dietetics-education-to-respond-to-emerging-technologies-in-nutritional-genomics/195970