



Chapter VII

Do the Philosophical Foundations of Online Learning Disadvantage Non-Western Students?

David Catterick
University of Dundee, Scotland

Abstract

A product of its historical origins, online learning is firmly rooted in the educational values that dominate post-secondary education in Britain, Australasia, and North America. With the increasing numbers of international students studying degree programs online, this chapter asks whether students from diverse educational cultures are disadvantaged in their learning by the teaching approaches implemented within online teaching environments. Active learning, reflective practice, and collaborative learning are all based on a cognitive, constructivist tradition (Fox, 2001), one which is evidently not shared by much of the rest of the world (Kim & Bonk, 2002; Wright & Lander, 2003). Employing evidence from the field of cross-cultural psychology (Allik & McCrae, 2004) and taking Chinese students as an example (Cheung, Leung, Zhang, Sun, Gan, Song, & Xie, 2001; Lin, 2004; Matthews, 2001), the author suggests that there may be some cause for concern within online instructional practices. The chapter concludes with three possible responses to the issue, two of which might go some way towards ensuring that international students find themselves on a more even playing field in their online degree program of study.

Introduction

The online delivery of degree programmes is a relatively new phenomenon in the field of higher education. Like so many technologies applied to the field of education, the arrival of the virtual learning environment (VLE) or learning management systems (LMS) seemed to precede the underlying educational philosophy needed to give it both support and credibility within the academic community. This meant there was something of a need to play catch-up, and the result was what the author terms the “Magpie Effect,” a process of appropriating a variety of educational philosophies in order to justify the pedagogical value of the emerging technology. This is evidenced by the number of educational technologists who still post to discussion lists like the *Distance Education Online Symposium Listserv* (DEOS-L) asking the list for help in justifying the rationale of VLE use to university policy makers. This may seem like an overly cynical opening for a chapter on distance learning, but even if the reader views things in a slightly different way, there are two notions which would not seem so contentious:

1. It is higher education institutions in Britain, Australasia and North America (BANA) which have been at the forefront of online degree programme development and delivery.
2. The philosophical foundations of online distance learning have arisen out of Western (particularly BANA) educational paradigms.

In this chapter, the author argues (from a theoretical rather than an empirical perspective) that in spite of the supposed global reach of online distance learning, the Western philosophical “software” that runs on the technology might disadvantage students who do not share the same constituent values. In exploring this hypothesis, the example of ethnic Chinese international students studying within an online degree program will be used. This choice stems from a combination of the author’s six years’ experience of teaching in universities in the People’s Republic of China, his eight years’ experience of teaching Chinese students in UK higher education, and the fact that many Chinese students joining online distance learning programs in BANA countries are of ethnic Chinese origin.

Exploring the Historical Context of the Prevailing Educational Philosophy

By the time online learning became a feature of higher education in the mid-1990s, the landscape of educational philosophy had already long since been radically transformed as a consequence of the shift from behaviourist beliefs about the nature of learning to cognitivist ones (Mayer, 1996). Essentially, the change was the result of a growing conviction among educational philosophers and theorists that the mental processes

12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/philosophical-foundations-online-learning-disadvantage/19297

Related Content

A Follow-Up Study of the Indicators of Teaching Presence Critical to Students in Online Courses

Kathleen Sheridan, Melissa A. Kelly and David T. Bentz (2013). *Educational Communities of Inquiry: Theoretical Framework, Research and Practice* (pp. 67-83). www.irma-international.org/chapter/follow-study-indicators-teaching-presence/69549

An Agent-Based Framework for Personalized Learning in Continuous Professional Development

Apple W.P. Fok and Horace H.S. Ip (2009). *Strategic Applications of Distance Learning Technologies* (pp. 96-110). www.irma-international.org/chapter/agent-based-framework-personalized-learning/29724

From the Physical to the Virtual: Bringing Free-Choice Science Education Online

Steven Allison-Bunnell and David T. Schaller (2005). *E-Learning and Virtual Science Centers* (pp. 163-189). www.irma-international.org/chapter/physical-virtual-bringing-free-choice/9083

Postscript

Lorna Uden and Chris Beaumont (2006). *Technology and Problem-Based Learning* (pp. 289-293). www.irma-international.org/chapter/postscript/30163

Self-Directed Learning as the Foundation for Student Success in Open-Distance Education Context in South Africa

Nduduzo Brian Gcabashe (2026). *Advancing Access, Self-Directed Learning, and Ethics in Open Distance E-Learning* (pp. 187-198). www.irma-international.org/chapter/self-directed-learning-as-the-foundation-for-student-success-in-open-distance-education-context-in-south-africa/410056