

## Chapter 89

# The Geography of Digital Literacy: Mapping Communications Technology Training Programs in Austin, Texas

**Stuart Davis**

*Texas A&M International University, USA*

**Lucia Palmer**

*University of Texas at Austin, USA*

**Julian Etienne**

*University of Texas at Austin, USA*

### ABSTRACT

*Building off of Straubhaar, Spence, Tufekci, and Lentz's Inequity in the Technopolis: Race, Class, Gender, and the Digital Divide in Austin, TX (2013), a ten-year study of how social, cultural, and economic tensions in Austin have been buried under the city's highly lauded model of technology-led development, this chapter discusses three programs that attempt to promote different forms of media and technology training within the city. Adapting a definition of "digital literacy" theorized by Jenkins (2009) that emphasizes competency, capacity, and empowerment, we examine how these programs present disparate yet potentially compatible approaches for harnessing the transformational potential of information and communication technologies (ICTs) within underrepresented, marginalized, or at-risk populations.*

### THE GEOGRAPHY OF DIGITAL LITERACY: MAPPING COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY TRAINING PROGRAMS IN AUSTIN, TEXAS

Since the mid-1980s, the city of Austin, TX, has received copious attention for providing the blueprint for what University of Texas business professor George Kozmetsky called "the technopolis model" of technology-based local economic development (Gibson & Butler, 2013). This model, whose name

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-3417-4.ch089

comes from a portmanteau of “technology” and “polis” [Greek for “city”], proposes a combination of aggressive public subsidization of technology research at the state and national levels, the creation of university-based business and technology incubators that provide seed money and training in business model generation for individuals involved in small start-ups, and coordination with municipal governments to provide tax breaks, small business loans, and other tools for incentivizing entrepreneurship (Hartenberger, Tufekci, & Davis, 2013). The logic behind these public-private partnerships argues that public support could help foster the growth of a large number of tech-focused start-ups that either already existed (e.g. Southwest Airlines; Dell Computers) or were in the inchoate stage. This technopolis model, centered on the University of Texas’ Innovation, Creativity and Capital Institute (IC2), would be exported to over 30 countries ranging from the usual suspects (e.g. Russia, South Korea, Japan) to unlikely areas like Israel, Kenya, Malta, Ghana, and Poland (Butler & Gibson, 2013).

To give total credit for the success of the technopolis model to any one actor, from the University of Texas tech industry giants like Texas Instruments or Dell, would be to miss the unique conceptualization of *the local* in this model. For the architects of the technopolis, the glue holding together the various different actors was the hospitable climate for creative business development in the city of Austin. The famous/infamous urban studies theorist Richard Florida has labeled this element the “creativity index” of an area. Though an elusive and difficult to measure facet, Florida and collaborators have argued that an area’s creativity index can be linked to a tolerance for LGBTQ communities, a thriving arts, theater, and music scene, and a high degree of multicultural and multi-ethnic cultural events and cuisine (Florida, 2002; Lee, Florida, & Acs, 2004). The combination of these factors produces a location’s creativity index.

Even though the technopolis model convincingly narrativizes the relationships between industry, university, and city as a symbiotic process, many critics argue that this story fails to address the class background of technopolis leaders who generally tend to come from higher socio-economic backgrounds (Markusen, 2006), the largely Caucasian ethnicity of most creative class workers, and related issues. Among the critiques of the techno-utopian predilections of Austin’s tech industry, Straubhaar et al.’s (2013) work offers the most sustained investigation of how the growth of a technopolis model in Austin explicitly and inadvertently reproduced patterns of economic and political disenfranchisement within the African-American, immigrant, and other communities. In the concluding section of that text, Straubhaar reflects on the difficulty of operationalizing information and communications technologies (ICTs) for promoting social and economic transformations within historically marginalized or underserved populations before finally arguing that the promotion of public access internet centers offers the most feasible strategy for overcoming the divisions implicit in the creative industries model (Straubhaar et al., 2013, p. 282).

Starting from the difficult and potentially irresolvable question of how to draw on ICTs to promote changes within these communities, this chapter attempts to track a few programs that have developed in Austin in the past twelve years that focus on *digital media training* directed at youth populations from underserved areas. Our analysis of these programs attempts to extend the conversation started by Straubhaar and colleagues by looking explicitly at the training strategies developed by individual projects. While the creation of readily available internet access points certainly filled an important need in the late 1990s/early 2000s for readily available public access, a large number of projects in Austin have developed trainings focused on much more specific uses or functions of ICTs. This area of training, what media sociologist Ezther Hargattai called the “second digital divide” (2002), frames access in terms of digital literacy. This reformulation of information access from a question of increasing availability

13 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-geography-of-digital-literacy/189024](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-geography-of-digital-literacy/189024)

## Related Content

---

### Digital Divide: How Do Women in South Asia Respond?

Alka Rai (2019). *International Journal of Digital Literacy and Digital Competence* (pp. 1-14).

[www.irma-international.org/article/digital-divide/227654](http://www.irma-international.org/article/digital-divide/227654)

### Physics Students' Social Media Learning Behaviours and Connectedness

Rachel F. Moll, Wendy Nielsen and Cedric Linder (2015). *International Journal of Digital Literacy and Digital Competence* (pp. 16-35).

[www.irma-international.org/article/physics-students-social-media-learning-behaviours-and-connectedness/137147](http://www.irma-international.org/article/physics-students-social-media-learning-behaviours-and-connectedness/137147)

### Creating a Digital Support Center: Foregrounding Multiliteracy

Sara Littlejohn and Kimberly M. Cuny (2018). *Information and Technology Literacy: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 491-505).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/creating-a-digital-support-center/188959](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/creating-a-digital-support-center/188959)

### Popular Media and Grade 6-12 Literacy: A Review of Practitioner Literature

Kelli Bippert (2021). *Connecting Disciplinary Literacy and Digital Storytelling in K-12 Education* (pp. 1-23).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/popular-media-and-grade-6-12-literacy/268209](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/popular-media-and-grade-6-12-literacy/268209)

### Transformation of Individual Learning Through Informatics and Information Technology Activities in Primary School

Valentina Dagienė and Vaiva Grabauskienė (2011). *International Journal of Digital Literacy and Digital Competence* (pp. 1-14).

[www.irma-international.org/article/transformation-individual-learning-through-informatics/58358](http://www.irma-international.org/article/transformation-individual-learning-through-informatics/58358)