

Advancement and Application of Scientometric Indicators for Evaluation of Research Content

R**Tazeem Zainab***University of Kashmir, India***Zahid Ashraf Wani***University of Kashmir, India*

INTRODUCTION

The 20th century may be designated as the century of the growth and development of metric sciences (Kumar et al., 2009). There has been a tremendous development of metric based fields like Bibliometrics, Scientometrics, Librametrics, Technometrics, Sociometrics, Econometrics, Biometrics, Cybermetrics or Webometrics in this epoch.

Scientometrics can be considered as an analogous conception to bibliometrics. Scientometrics is a novel scientific field joining science and technology with information science and expending numerous mathematical, statistical, data mining techniques and procedures to measure and quantify scientific information. It can be perceived as a discipline of science that encompasses bibliometrics, informetrics, webometrics, librametrics and other metric sciences. As matter of fact, today when the phase of scientific and technological revolution is massive but funding resources are trifling, the measurement of eminence of research productivity is essential and acknowledged by many. The basic requirement is to endorse the progression of science as competently as possible, i.e. to in the best way upsurge the power/prize degree from the financing science (Fiala, 2013). The term scientometrics was introduced by Vassily V. Nalimov & Z. M. Mulchenko in 1969 as Naukometriya in Russian, meaning the study of the evolution of science through the measure-

ment of scientific information (Glanzel, n.d.). As per Tague-Sutcliffe (1992) Scientometrics is concerned with the quantitative facets of science. The focus of scientometrics as a discipline is the literature of science and technology. Price (1961, 1963) defines Scientometrics is a science about science. It offers numerous perceptions, representations, and practices to researchers that when functional in an academic field helps to understand its fundamentals, position, knowledgeable core, and probable forthcoming progress. Wilson (1999) indicated that everything that encompasses quantitative features of science of science, science communiqué and science policy are in the content of scientometrics. While defining the term Van Raan (1997) also accentuated the quantitative learning of science and technology. Vinkler (2010) defined that Scientometrics cannot be circumscribed within the circle of a scientific discipline. He widened the description as quantitative study of people, sets, materials and phenomena in science and their relationships. Further, he adds that scientometrics also covers various other aspects like practices of researchers, socio-organizational arrangements, administration, procedures, national economy. He also specified that Scientometrics could be foundation of statistics and also can indicate the policy in science like performance checking, research precedence assortment, science-society or science-economics relative scholarships. Wilson (1999) considers scientometrics as an organized

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2255-3.ch583

method to assess the past, present, and future progression of science as he believes that its origin is from the interest of trivial group of scholars in the subtleties of science.

BACKGROUND

The term “Scientometrics” was not noticed in Western scientific circles until it was translated into English. The roots of Scientometrics lie in the survey piloted by Galton in 1874 among 180 prominent scientists in Britain in order to measure, comprehend, and define the eminence of significant scholars and their potentials (Godin, 2006). Then in 1900’s James McKeen Cattell, the Psychologist and Editor of Science, enthused by Galton measured scientific growth by observation and classification. He offered two important facets of scientific output: quality (i.e. worth as adjudicated by peers) and quantity (i.e. production). After that, in 1926 Lotka’s mathematical model to estimate the frequency of author’s publication in a field, in 1934, Bradford’s distribution law for articles through a set of journals.. Further the outline put forth by Price (1961, 1963) regarding the historical evolution of science and Bernal’s (1939) theory of the social function of science, idea of sociology of science by and Merton (1968, 1973) provided a back bone to the development of the field. Finally in 1969 the field was given a comprehensive name “Scientometrics” by the Russian mathematician Vasilii Nalimov. In 1977, this area took a great leap when a maiden issue of Scientometrics journal by T. Braun was available, and the term received an academic acknowledgement. And today, there are numerous journals dedicated to scientometrics and its related fields like, Research Policy, Journal of Informetrics, Social Studies of Science, Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, etc.

SCIENTOMETRIC INDICATORS

Bibliometric indicators aka Scientometric indicators (when referred in the field of Science) provide mathematical measures that are envisioned to quantitatively define the worth of scientific research and the scholarly publication in a particular domain. Scientometrics indicators are valuable assets for determining the capacity in terms of quality and quantity of scientific research of researchers, establishments or nations. El-Maamiry and Gauri (2013) define bibliometric indicator as a maneuver founded on bibliographic facts and figures employed to quantify and evaluate scientific scholarly output of an individual, institution, nation and so on. According to Vinkler (2001) Scientometric indicator is a scientometric measure which is accredited to scientometric organizations. To illustrate he has put forth the examples like Garfield Factor for a journal in a given year; Publication Productivity of an institution. Durieux and Gevenois (2010) emphasize that scientometric indicators are specifically essential for investigators and research institutions as these dimensions are frequently used in research funding, activities, and performance of researchers. They further elaborate that with increasing advances in scientific developments novel research is continuously asserted by new researchers thus making bibliometric indicators more significant. Scientometrics does not exist without the practice of quantitative information and indicators. According to him indicators are the spirit of scientometrics as they illustrate the communication process in science. Scientometric indicators are key parameters for the purpose of assessment and evaluation of scientific research output as they allow us to understand the prospective competitive aptitude of scientific research output and provide arithmetic measures to quantitatively define the worth of scholarly and scientific research, the impact and degree of scientific works

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/advancement-and-application-of-scientometric-indicators-for-evaluation-of-research-content/184369

Related Content

A Survey on Supervised Convolutional Neural Network and Its Major Applications

D. T. Mane and U. V. Kulkarni (2017). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 71-82).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-survey-on-supervised-convolutional-neural-network-and-its-major-applications/182292

Artificial Neural Networks and Their Applications in Business

Trevor J. Bihl, William A. Young II and Gary R. Weckman (2018). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fourth Edition* (pp. 6642-6657).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/artificial-neural-networks-and-their-applications-in-business/184359

FLANN + BHO: A Novel Approach for Handling Nonlinearity in System Identification

Bighnaraj Naik, Janmenjoy Nayak and H.S. Behera (2018). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 13-33).

www.irma-international.org/article/flann--bho/190888

Reasoning on vague ontologies using rough set theory

(). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 0-0).

www.irma-international.org/article//288522

Constrained Nonlinear Optimization in Information Science

William P. Fox (2018). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fourth Edition* (pp. 4594-4606).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/constrained-nonlinear-optimization-in-information-science/184167