Technology Assessment of Information and Communication Technologies

Armin Grunwald
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany

Carsten Orwat
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany

INTRODUCTION

Technology Assessment (TA) has developed over the past more than forty years against the background of challenging experiences concerning unintended and often undesirable side effects of science and technology. Development, production, social use, and disposal of technology have often resulted not only in more welfare, employment, health, and other positive achievements, but also in negative or at least ambivalent consequences, including risks to human health, society, and the natural environment. The aim of TA from the very beginning was to contribute to shaping scientific and technological progress and its transformation into innovations according to societal values and goals by investigating and assessing possible impacts and consequences *in advance,* and by transforming this knowledge into advice to decision-makers.

TA has taken up the field of Information Science and Technology (IST) as a subject of study from the 1970s on. Nowadays, this field is of central relevance to TA in a triple respect: (a) as research field *per se*, e.g. with regard to impact dimensions such as privacy, data protection, increasing use of autonomous agents, safety and security, sustainable development, intellectual property rights, regulation, societal vulnerability, *et cetera*. It is (b) also of major and even increasing importance by entering and influencing other fields of technology, e.g. energy supply, military, robotics, logistics, nanotechnology, cognitive sci-

ence, neuroscience, *et cetera*. Finally (c), several new services made available by IST developments are of high utility in TA practice of scientific projects and policy advice, e.g. in the fields of e-participation (Nentwich & König 2012). This article will provide a brief overview of TA with respect to its origin, its development, its objectives, and its current situation in general, followed by a more specific consideration of TA themes and activities in the IST field.

BACKGROUND

Technology Assessment has its roots in specific historical circumstances in the 1960s and 1970s. Activities and concerns in the U.S. political system, in particular in the U.S. Congress, led to the creation of the Office of Technology Assessment (OTA) in 1972 (Bimber, 1996). This origin of TA found a lot of successors in Europe which succeeded in establishing the European Parliamentary Technology Assessment network (EPTA, see www.eptanetwork.org).

Parallel to this development in the political system, far-ranging intellectual changes were taking place. The optimistic belief in scientific and technical progress, which had predominated in the post-Second World War period, came under pressure. Western societies were deeply unsettled by the "Limits of Growth" published by the Club of Rome in 1972, which addressed the limitedness of natural resources. In many fields, problems

with unintended side effects of technology such as pollution and severe accidents became a matter of public debate on further scientific and technological progress. In many countries, social conflicts arose on the occasion of controversial technologies such as nuclear power (from the 1970s on) and genetically modified organisms (from the 1990s on). Ethical questions led to conflicts on the development and use of new technology, in particular in the field of health and human reproduction. Issues of privacy and data protection became a field of controversy, in particular following measures of homeland protection and surveillance strategies after the 9/11 attacks. The challenges led to a complex and multi-dimensional set of objectives and rationales of TA (Grunwald, 2009).

Nowadays, the term "technology assessment" is widely used to designate a broad range of systematic approaches and methods to investigate the conditions for and the consequences of technology and to assess and evaluate them. Its task is to provide knowledge, orientation, and procedures on how to cope with challenges at the interface between technology and society *in both directions*. TA explores and assesses possible impacts and consequences of technology in a prospective manner on the one hand (technology push), and attempts to understand and take up society's expectations and needs regarding a new technology and direct them to the relevant decision-making processes on the other hand (demand pull). The mission of TA is, thus, to contribute to "a better technology in a better society" (Rip et al., 1995), including reflecting about what the "better" could or should mean in detail and how the respective meaning of the "better" could be determined. There are three partially overlapping branches of TA addressing different targets in the overall technology governance:

1. TA has initially been conceptualized as *policy advice* (Bimber, 1996, Grunwald, 2009), which is still a strong motivation of large parts of TA. The objective is to support policy makers with advice concerning politi-

cal measures which could either influence the further development and use of technology or which themselves could be influenced by new technological developments and achievements. Frequently, policy advice in this sense is about *adequate regulation* (e.g. environmental or safety standards), changes in *research and development funding* (e.g. in the field of new and emerging sciences and technologies such as nanotechnology and synthetic biology), and *political strategies towards sustainable development* involving appropriate technologies. *Parliamentary* TA is an important sub-category of policy-advising TA, showing a high variety of institutional configurations (Cruz-Castro & Sanz-Menendez, 2006).

2. *Participatory TA* has developed approaches to involve citizens, consumers and users, actors of civil society, stakeholders, the media, and the public in different roles at different stages in technology governance (Joss & Belucci 2002, Hennen 2012). According to normative ideas of deliberative democracy, the assessment of technology should be left neither to the scientific experts (expertocracy) nor to the political deciders alone (decisionism) (Habermas 1970). Participative TA procedures are deemed to improve the practical and political legitimacy of decisions on technology. They should make it possible for decisions on technology to be accepted by a larger spectrum of society despite of remaining divergent normative convictions. Several methods have been developed and applied in recent years, such as consensus conferences, citizens' juries, and focus groups (Joss/Belucci 2002).

3. Building on empirical research on the genesis of technology and on the theoretical framework of social constructivism (Bijker et al., 1987), the idea of *shaping technology* according to social expectations and values emerged. It motivated the development of several approaches, with Constructive TA

Related Content

Mobile Testing System for Developing Language Skills
Svetlana Titova (2018). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fourth Edition (pp. 5116-5126).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/mobile-testing-system-for-developing-language-skills/184215

Approaches for Semantic Association Mining and Hidden Entities Extraction in Knowledge Base
Thabet Slimani, Boutheina Ben Yaghlaneand Khaled Mellouli (2010). *Ontology Theory, Management and Design: Advanced Tools and Models (pp. 119-141).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/approaches-semantic-association-mining-hidden/42887

Social Media and Social Movements: Strengths, Challenges, and Implications for the Future
Sheldondra J. Brown, Grace M. Babcockand Monica Bixby Radu (2021). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fifth Edition (pp. 1096-1105).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/social-media-and-social-movements/260252

Mobile Game-Based Learning
Boaventura DaCosta, Soonhwa Seokand Carolyn Kinsell (2018). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fourth Edition (pp. 6361-6375).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/mobile-game-based-learning/184333

Component Based Model Driven Development: An Approach for Creating Mobile Web Applications from Design Models
Pablo Martin Vera (2015). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 80-100).*
www.irma-international.org/article/component-based-model-driven-development/128829