

# Affect–Sensitive Computer Systems

**Nik Thompson**

*Curtin University, Australia*

**Tanya McGill**

*Murdoch University, Australia*

**David Murray**

*Murdoch University, Australia*

## INTRODUCTION

Affective computing is the broad domain encompassing all of the hardware, software and underlying theoretical models underpinning the development of affect sensitive computer systems. Such systems facilitate more intuitive, natural computer interfaces by enabling the communication of the user's emotional state. Despite rapid growth in recent years, affective computing is still an under-explored field, which holds promise to be a valuable direction for future software development. Human-computer interaction has traditionally been dominated by the information processing metaphor and as a result, interaction between the computer and the user is generally unidirectional and asymmetric. The next generation of computer interfaces aim to address this gap in communication and create interaction environments that support the motivational and affective goals of the user.

This chapter will introduce and elaborate on the field of affective computing. First the background and origins of the field will be discussed. Next the elements of affective computing and affective human-computer interaction will be discussed along with associated concerns and issues. Next, examples of the diverse range of affective computing applications in current and recent development will be provided. Finally, the chapter will present a discussion of future directions for this promising technology, followed by some concluding remarks.

## BACKGROUND

Computer usage has traditionally been regarded as a rational activity in which emotions are not involved. This view, however, has been changing as the importance of emotions in all aspects of human thinking, activity and interaction is becoming more apparent. Human interactions do not just include those with other people, but also with their surroundings, including inanimate objects. One such object that has a big role in the day to day life of many people is the computer.

It is not uncommon for a person to spend more hours in a day interacting with a computer than face to face with other people. For this reason it is important to design computers that are user-friendly and easy to use (Preece et al., 1994). One important aspect of this drive towards user-friendliness is that the user should be able to use his or her natural way of interacting rather than having to learn new ways of working (Norman, 1988). The goal of improving the interaction between users and computers requires that emotions be taken into account in this interaction.

The field of HCI has greatly matured over the last several decades since the first conference on human factors in computing systems was held in the early 1980's. Since this time the emphasis within HCI has shifted from a focus on trained systems operators, to analyzing how technology influences the general user. To this end, there has been a substantial amount of attention devoted to

the concept of usability, as well as the role of the user in the development of successful interfaces. Usability is simply defined as “the extent to which a product can be used by specified users to achieve specific goals with effectiveness, efficiency, and satisfaction in a specified context of use” (International Organization for Standardization, 2010). This broad definition sets the stage for the fact that usability is a complex construct that can be influenced by a large number of external factors including context or environment.

In the early 80s, the role of a HCI specialist would be to evaluate interface components such as menus or terminology. As the field progressed, and the specialists came to realize the broader applicability of their work, new directions and specializations were created. The term “user-centered” is extensively used in the field of HCI (Karat & Karat, 2003) when describing approaches to building usable systems. For user-centered design, the main focus is that the needs of the user are used as a way to inform design (Vredenburg, Isensee, & Righi, 2001). This perspective is also sometimes referred to as human-centered design, or human centered computing (HCC). HCC broadly describes the methodology that would be applied to any field that uses computers in any form where users directly interact with them (Jaimes, Sebe, & Gatica-Perez, 2006). Thus HCC aims to integrate human sciences (such as cognitive and affective) into the existing body of computer science and HCI knowledge with a human focus throughout the lifecycle. HCC is said to incorporate social and cognitive sciences more closely than traditional HCI (Foley, 2006).

The recognition that interaction is not limited to simple interface modalities gives support to the development of new technologies. The ISO 9241 standard encapsulates this view in the following high level goal for user-centered design: “the design addresses the whole user experience” (International Organization for Standardization, 2010). This acknowledges that the HCC principles of cognitive and affective design are important

when developing usable software and systems. To this end, a successful user interface would have an understanding of what emotions are, how they can be identified and what the implications of various emotional patterns are for a given interaction situation.

The term “affective computing” was coined as long ago as 1997 by Rosalind Picard, who defined it as “computing that relates to, arises from, or deliberately influences emotions” (Picard, 1997a, p. x). This is the most comprehensive and widely used definition and is often cited. Picard, a pioneer in this field, reports that the initial response to the very concept of emotion-sensitive machines was somewhat lackluster (Picard, 2010), and it is interesting to observe the dramatic rise in interest from both developers and the research community in recent years.

Affective computer interfaces improve human-computer interaction by enabling the communication of the user’s emotional state. The growing interest in affective computing arises from findings in psychology and physiology which demonstrate the importance of emotional state in human behaviour (Partala & Surakka, 2004).

Emotion and cognition are linked and there is evidence of emotion influencing aspects of cognitive performance and decision making (Cytowic 1989; Eysenck et al. 2007). The interaction between affect and cognition is bi-directional, thus the underlying affective state of the individual will also influence the outcome of various cognitive processes. This, predictably, has far ranging implications. There is evidence that emotion has an impact on the speed at which information is processed (Öhman, 2001) and whether it is attended to (Anderson, 2001; Vuilleumier, 2001). Emotion also has a relation to motivation in that evaluations or feelings regarding the current situation will largely determine the action that is taken in response. Therefore, emotions are often precursors of motivations (Oatley, 1992). Memory is also impacted by emotional state, and again there are many mechanisms by which this

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/affect-sensitive-computer-systems/184120](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/affect-sensitive-computer-systems/184120)

## Related Content

---

**Computer Vision-Based Intelligent Analysis System for Higher Education Classroom Behavior**  
Fei Wang and Sam Luo (2026). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach* (pp. 1-19).

[www.irma-international.org/article/computer-vision-based-intelligent-analysis-system-for-higher-education-classroom-behavior/401374](http://www.irma-international.org/article/computer-vision-based-intelligent-analysis-system-for-higher-education-classroom-behavior/401374)

**Facilitating Inclusive Teaching and Learning Spaces Through Digital Education Technology: Teaching and Learning Through Digital Technology**

Tsediso Michael Michael Makoelle and Michelle Irene Somerton (2019). *Educational and Social Dimensions of Digital Transformation in Organizations* (pp. 43-64).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/facilitating-inclusive-teaching-and-learning-spaces-through-digital-education-technology/215135](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/facilitating-inclusive-teaching-and-learning-spaces-through-digital-education-technology/215135)

**Representation of Geographic Phenomena**

Claudio E.C. Campelo and Brandon Bennett (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 3169-3177).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/representation-of-geographic-phenomena/112745](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/representation-of-geographic-phenomena/112745)

**Cyberbullying Among Malaysian Children Based on Research Evidence**

Sarina Yusuf, Md. Salleh Hj. Hassan and Adamkolo Mohammed Mohammed Ibrahim (2018). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fourth Edition* (pp. 1704-1722).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/cyberbullying-among-malaysian-children-based-on-research-evidence/183887](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/cyberbullying-among-malaysian-children-based-on-research-evidence/183887)

**Design of Library Archives Information Management Systems Based on Artificial Intelligence and Multimedia Technology**

Ying Li (2023). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach* (pp. 1-17).

[www.irma-international.org/article/design-of-library-archives-information-management-systems-based-on-artificial-intelligence-and-multimedia-technology/320234](http://www.irma-international.org/article/design-of-library-archives-information-management-systems-based-on-artificial-intelligence-and-multimedia-technology/320234)