

Cloud Computing

Eduardo Correia

Christchurch Polytechnic Institute of Technology (CPIT), New Zealand

INTRODUCTION

In some ways it is easy to see the impact cloud computing has had on the way people interact with technology. Users need not depend on a single device, but can instead access their data from a range of devices. Someone may for instance begin working on a presentation on a PC, continue working on it on a tablet, and decide to do some light editing on a smart phone. When people purchase a new phone these days, they need only to link it to the appropriate provider in order to access their contacts, calendar and other data, whether it be documents, photographs or financial statements, and they can exchange one device for another seamlessly and still be able to do what they wish to do. If an individual device fails, it may be inconvenient, but ultimately it does not destroy data or prevent access to it from another device, as one or more third-party providers hold an up to date copy of the data somewhere. Everyone can see these changes, what is not clear are the underlying enabling technologies that make this all possible and how organizations can best leverage them.

BACKGROUND

A common view of the cloud is that it is nothing new, that people have been using centralized computing in the form of mainframes for instance. While this sheds light on some of the similarities between mainframes and the cloud, it does not reflect the differences between them, differences that are significant. One useful analogy is to compare the cloud and earlier technologies, with the smart phone and the traditional telephone. While the telephone as such is not new and the smart phone

can do the same kinds of things as the traditional phone, it is much more flexible and powerful, and this is down to, in large part, how mobile devices take advantage of the cloud, making them more functional and helping extend battery life (Liu, Chen, Ma, & Xie, 2016). As a term “cloud computing” is problematic because it does not mean one thing, but is “an umbrella term” (Missbach, Stelzel, Gardiner, Anderson & Tempes 2013) that refers not just to a set of technologies but a new model of delivering a wide range of computing resources, services and solutions.

Fortunately, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has made a deliberate effort to define cloud computing and done this well, so much so that its three-page description of this term is now considered the standard for the industry. NIST defines cloud computing as “a model for enabling ubiquitous, convenient, on-demand network access to a shared pool of configurable computing resources (e.g., networks, servers, storage, applications, and services) that can be rapidly provisioned and released with minimal management effort or service provider interaction” (Mell & Grance, 2011). This definition is comparable to the four key characteristics of cloud computing as “pay-per-use”, “elastic capacity and the illusion of infinite resources”, a “self-service interface” and “resources that are abstracted or virtualised” (Voorsluys, Broberg, & Buyya, 2011). The cloud is “ubiquitous”, “convenient”, and “on-demand” in the sense that users can access applications and data from anywhere and at any time, and because organizations (or “customers” as the literature often calls them) can, without having to talk to anyone, provision computing resources and services from a “shared pool of configurable computing resources,” a busi-

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2255-3.ch089

ness model that involves renting multi-tenanted infrastructure.

SERVICE MODELS

Cloud providers, through the use of virtualization, essentially abstract the software, whether it be a server, a database or a network from the underlying physical infrastructure, and offer customers logical software-defined computing resources and services that they can customize, secure, provision and manage in a way that meets their needs and requirements (Buyya, Vecchiola, & Thamarai Selvi, 2013). The service models in cloud computing all reflect this principle of abstraction and represent different sets of responsibility depending how much or how little customers wish to outsource. Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS), Platform as a Service (PaaS) and Software as a Service (SaaS) therefore represent progressively lower levels of engagement on the part of customers, as they cede more of their responsibilities to the cloud provider.

With IaaS, customers no longer need to purchase physical equipment and associated infrastructure, and manage it, whether it is a server in the case of a small business or a large datacenter in the case of a large business. They can instead utilize the provider's resources presented to them in the form of *virtual* infrastructure such as virtual machines (VMs), networks, storage, firewalls, and load balancers, all on a granular pay-as-you-go basis, so that the customer pays for example for each hour of use of a small VM, which will be cheaper than a more powerful VM. While the benefits outweigh the constraints, customers need to know not just what the provider can but also what it cannot do and work within the limitations of a particular cloud. For instance, in Microsoft Azure once a virtual network has been created it is not possible to add a virtual machine to it. There are workarounds, for instance keeping the disk with the data and connecting them to newly created VMs in the existing virtual network.

In the case of PaaS, customers have no access to or control over not just to the underlying physical but also virtual infrastructure, such as servers, networks, storage and operating systems. PaaS involves the provision of resources and services, such as a development environment, database, and integration and development tools that can be used in a matter of minutes, all provided so as to reduce the effort and cost for SaaS vendors (Brown & Nyarko, 2013). Customers can instead focus on the functionality and logic of applications. It is the responsibility of the provider to ensure that a database for instance can cope with the increasing demands made on it and that the underlying operating system is properly patched and secure. Well-known examples of PaaS are AWS Elastic Beanstalk and Force.com (part of Salesforce.com). In terms of IaaS and PaaS, Amazon Web Services (AWS) is currently the largest public cloud provider and Microsoft the second largest public cloud provider, with 57% and 20% of enterprises making use of them respectively (RightScale, 2016). This is in line with the Gartner magic quadrant (Leong, Toombs, & Gill, 2015).

SaaS is a fully-built software solution that customers can use immediately. It is an application that is owned by the provider, who takes responsibility for all aspects of its development, deployment and operation (Carstensen, Morgenthal, Golden, 2012) as well as for security, performance and availability. The application is accessed through a network connection by the customer, who usually pays a subscription of some kind instead of the traditional upfront license fee, which costs a great deal more because it gives the customer a permanent right to use the software whereas the subscription does not. The application or set of applications is hosted on a remote system and the customer data is stored by the provider but the customer can access the application or set of applications and the data from various devices and systems. Well-known examples of SaaS are Microsoft Office 365 and Salesforce.

5 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/cloud-computing/183817

Related Content

Action Research: Helping Organizations to Change

Enid Mumford (2001). *Qualitative Research in IS: Issues and Trends* (pp. 46-77).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/action-research-helping-organizations-change/28259

A Multitrait-Multimethod Analysis of the End User Computing Satisfaction and Computer Self-Efficacy Instruments

Michael J. Masterson and R. K. Rainer (2004). *The Handbook of Information Systems Research* (pp. 27-43).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/multitrait-multimethod-analysis-end-user/30341

Clinical Monitoring and Automatic Detection of Venous Air Embolism

Rita Tedim, Pedro Amorim and Ana Castro (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 5515-5522).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/clinical-monitoring-and-automatic-detection-of-venous-air-embolism/113005

Delivery Garbage Behavior Detection Based on Deep Learning

Zhengqing LU, Jiajie Zhou, ChaoWei Wang, Zhihong Zhou, Guoliang Shi and Ying Yin (2024). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach* (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/article/delivery-garbage-behavior-detection-based-on-deep-learning/343632

Knowledge Mapping and Evolutionary Analysis of Artificial Intelligence Research in Higher Education: A Systematic Approach Using CiteSpace

Jianbo Huang and Kunpeng Cui (2025). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach* (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/article/knowledge-mapping-and-evolutionary-analysis-of-artificial-intelligence-research-in-higher-education/377176