

Meta-Analysis Research on Virtual Team Performance

Ying-Chieh Liu

Chaoyang University of Technology, Taiwan

Janice Burn

Edith Cowan University, Australia

Susan Stoney

Edith Cowan University, Australia

ABSTRACT

The results from prior studies on the effectiveness of virtual teams (VTs) are ambiguous and show that few studies have built upon previous findings to build a substantiated body of work. In this article, the authors attempt to remedy this by performing a meta-analysis on VT research. Powell et al.'s (2004) framework of VTs is used as a prototype and twelve variables and their relationships identified as the basis for this study. Twenty empirical studies are identified and used to validate the variables statistically. From this, seven variables are preserved and form the final framework. Future research directions and managerial implications are explained.

INTRODUCTION

Computer networks are changing the way that people and organizations work and communicate (Anderson & Shane, 2002). This has led to a trend where increasingly teams do not work face-to-face but interact via a computer-mediated communication system (Driskell & Radtke, 2003). The trend is towards virtual teams (VTs)—a different way of working.

A VT is a temporary gathering of individuals who are connected through information technologies working across time and space to finish a goal (Geyskens et al., 1996). VT members are typically “geographically dispersed,” “lack shared social context” and “lack face-to-face encounters” (Sarker et al., 2003). Morris et al. (2002) defined “VTs” as “the creation of a team to meet a specific objective or complete a specific task. They

are goal-oriented, temporary and disbanded once the goal has been achieved” (p. 23). Lipnack and Stamps (2000) defined VTs as “a group of people who work interdependently with a shared purpose across space, time, and organization boundaries using technology” (p. 18). For the purpose of this research, “VTs” are defined as “teams with a small group of people who work through computer communication technology for a specific purpose without face-to-face meetings.”

VTs can improve business performance by reducing cost, shortening cycle time, increasing innovation, and facilitating leveraged learning (Lipnack & Stamps, 2000). With the growing complexity of global business activities, VTs are an obvious solution, but, when comparing the effectiveness of VTs and traditional face-to-face teams, the effectiveness (i.e., performance and satisfaction) of VTs have been found to be comparatively lower (Warkentin et al., 1997; Valacich & Sarker, 2002). Therefore, improving the effectiveness of VTs is a crucial issue for global managers.

However, past literature shows “poor cumulation” (Rosenthal, 1991) of effectiveness of studying VTs. For example, Ancona and Caldwell (1992) explored the relationships between diversity and performance in VTs. Anderson et al. (2002) found that net-centricity contributes to the performance of VTs. Driskell and Radtke (2003) studied the relationships between constructs, such as cohesiveness, status processes, counter-normative behaviour and communication against the performance of VTs. Balthazard et al. (2004) examined the relationships between performance of VTs and expertise, extraversion and group interaction styles. Rarely has newer work built upon older and hence, there is a real need to aggregate previous results and

show a holistic view of variables which impact on the effectiveness of VTs.

Accordingly, the main aim of this study is to integrate the results from past studies about VTs and propose a framework for evaluating the effectiveness of VTs through the use of meta-analysis. In response to the constant pressures of change in the business environment, this framework is able to provide the potential means for organizations to evaluate the effectiveness of VTs. In addition, the framework will assist organizations in considering the issues surrounding the design, implementation, and management of VTs.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Meta-analysis is a research method that combines many results of individual studies and applies statistical analysis to retrieve the quantitative and general conclusions (Hunter & Schmidt, 1990). It is suitable for examining causal relationships and theories, and can be used to build theoretical frameworks.

Meta-analysis has several potential strengths. Firstly, meta-analysis is able to represent a “big picture” by increasing the sample size to strengthen statistical power. Thus, the results of analysis could yield more generalizable conclusions than individual studies. Secondly, meta-analysis enables the researchers to become familiar with a specific topic quickly and efficiently. Finally, meta-analysis can identify the inconsistencies between different studies and test hypotheses about factors that may be moderators or mediators.

THE BASIC PRINCIPLE OF META-ANALYSIS

The basic principle of meta-analysis is to calculate the effect size for each study, transform these to a common metric and integrate them to obtain an average effect size. Once the mean effect size is calculated, it can be expressed in terms of a standard normal distribution by dividing by the standard error of the mean. A significance value (P-Value) can also be retrieved. Alternatively, the significance of the mean effect size can be judged by the confidence interval constructed around the mean effect size.

Fixed versus Random Effects Models

Meta-analysis is used as a way of determining the population effect size by combining the effect size of individual studies. One of two assumptions must be made: a fixed effects model is selected where the effect size in the population is assumed to be the same in all studies, hence “homogenous;” a random effects model is selected where effect size varies from study to study and hence “heterogeneous” (Hunter & Schmidt, 1990). The standard error associated with fixed effects models is smaller than that associated with random effects models.

Heterogeneity Test

Heterogeneity test is a method to determine whether a series of sample effect size is more varied than would be expected on the basis of sample variability if all studies had the same population. The test can decide whether a fixed effects or random effects model can be used (Hedges & Olkin, 1985).

PROCESSES OF META-ANALYSIS

The aim of this study is to develop a framework to evaluate the effectiveness of VTs. For this purpose, the best way is to find a broad and existing framework and then assess the relationships between variables. Correlation coefficients have been used extensively as an index of the relationship between two normally distributed variables. The correlation coefficient is therefore a natural candidate as an index of effect magnitude suitable for accumulation across studies (Hedges & Olkin, 1985). The following meta-analysis steps of this study combine the guidelines proposed by Hedges and Olkin (1985) and Hunter and Schmidt (1990).

1. **Nominate the variables:** Powell et al. (2004) reviewed 43 articles (1988-2002) about VTs and proposed a detailed framework of VTs. The framework includes four general constructs: “inputs,” “socio-emotional processes,” “task processes,” and “outputs.” “Inputs” focuses on the pattern and composition of VTs, such as team design, culture, technical competencies and

9 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/meta-analysis-research-virtual-team/17709

Related Content

Human Resources and Knowledge Management Based on E-Democracy

Niki Lambropoulos (2006). *Encyclopedia of Virtual Communities and Technologies* (pp. 238-242).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/human-resources-knowledge-management-based/18078

Zero-Trust Security Architecture in the Metaverse Era

Basim Najim Al-Din Abedand Salam Abdulkhaleq Noaman (2027). *Next-Generation Security Frameworks for the Metaverse* (pp. 239-284).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/zero-trust-security-architecture-in-the-metaverse-era/413515

Sense of Community in Professional Virtual Communities

Anita Blanchard, David A. Askayand Katherine A. Frear (2010). *Communication, Relationships and Practices in Virtual Work* (pp. 161-176).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/sense-community-professional-virtual-communities/44420

Using Web for Delivery of Open and Distance Learning Programmes: A Case Study for Introspection

Kumar Suniland M. K. Salooja (2013). *Cases on Online Learning Communities and Beyond: Investigations and Applications* (pp. 239-265).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/using-web-delivery-open-distance/68124

AI and VR-Powered Interventions for Social Anxiety: A Review

Dennis Opoku Boadu, Fredrick Boafo, Lilian Ama Owusu-Ansahand Solomon Mensah (2025). *International Journal of Virtual and Augmented Reality* (pp. 1-27).

www.irma-international.org/article/ai-and-vr-powered-interventions-for-social-anxiety/367871