

# Fair Distribution of Collaboration Benefits



**António Abreu**

*New University of Lisbon, Portugal*

**Luis M. Camarinha-Mato**

*New University of Lisbon, Portugal*

## INTRODUCTION

The participation in a collaborative network of enterprises is commonly assumed to bring valuable benefits to the involved entities (Afsarmanesh, Marik, & Camarinha-Matos, 2004; Axelroad, 1984; Dussauge & Garrette, 1999; Nemes & Mo, 2004; Penã & Arroyabe, 2002; Pfeffer & Salancik, 1978; Tuomi, 2003). These benefits include an increase of the “survival capability” in a context of market turbulence but also the possibility of better achieving common goals (Camarinha-Matos & Abreu, 2004; Richter, 2000; Saveri, Rheingold, & Pang, 2004). On the basis of these expectations are, among others, the following factors: acquisition of a (virtual) higher dimension, access to new/wider markets and new knowledge, sharing of risks and resources, joining of complementary skills and capacities, and so forth. But it is also easily recognizable that collaboration introduces high overheads due to the transaction costs (Williamson, 1975, 1985, 1998) which induce higher coordination costs and also due to the diversity of working methods and corporate culture.

How will my organization benefit from embarking on a collaborative network? Will the benefits compensate for the extra overhead and even the risks that collaboration implies? These are some questions that many small- to medium-sized enterprise (SME) managers ask when the issue of collaboration is brought in (Camarinha-Matos, 2003; Camarinha-Matos & Abreu, 2005; Seifert & Eschenbaecher, 2004; Vallejos & Gomes, 2004). In order to address this problem, the issue of benefit analysis in collaborative networks needs special attention. This article illustrates and assesses the applicability of the Shapley (1953) value in determining a fair distribution of benefits from collaboration.

## SOME BACKGROUND

The Shapley value is a result of the collaborative game theory that has practical usefulness as an index for distribution of benefits in collaborative networks. In other words, the Shapley value can be seen as a measure of the *utility* of players in a collaborative or cooperative game.

The basic assumption is that the benefits obtained by a certain number of enterprises will be lower than the benefits obtained when incorporating a new element in the coalition. The Shapley value determines the average value of each enterprise’s contribution to the coalition (Shapley, 1953). In order to better understand the concept, let us consider the following metaphor (Myerson, 1997):

Suppose that we plan to assemble a coalition of three partners ( $a_1, a_2, a_3$ ) in a room, but the door to the room is only large enough for one actor to enter at a time, so the actors randomly line up in a queue at the door. There are  $|A|!$  ( $3!$  in this example, as illustrated in Figure 1) different ways that the actors might be ordered in this queue.

For any set  $S$  that does not contain the actor ( $a_i$ ),

$$A = \left\{ \underbrace{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i-1}}_{|S|}, a_i, \underbrace{a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n}_{|A|-|S|-1} \right\}$$

there are

$$|S|!(|A|-|S|-1)!$$

different ways of ordering the actors so that  $S$  is the set of actors who are ahead of actors  $a_i$  in the queue.

Thus, if the various orderings are equally likely, the following equation,

$$\frac{|S|!(|A|-|S|-1)!}{|A|!}$$

gives the probability that, when actor ( $a_i$ ) enters the room, he will find the coalition S there ahead of him.

If ( $a_i$ ) finds S ahead of him when he enters the room, then his marginal contribution to the worth of the coalition in the room is:

$$(v(S \cup \{a_i\}) - v(S))$$

The Shapley value of any actor is the expected marginal contribution of that actor when it enters the coalition. This metaphor also helps in implementing a

practical algorithm for computing the Shapley value, as illustrated in Figure 3.

The Shapley value,  $\phi_{a_i}(v)$ , for an actor  $a_i$  in a coalition of value  $v$  is given by the following equation:

$$\phi_{a_i}(v) = \sum_{S \subset A \setminus \{a_i\}} \frac{|S|!(|A|-|S|-1)!}{|A|!} \times (v(S \cup \{a_i\}) - v(S))$$

where:

$\phi_{a_i}(v)$  - Shapley value for actor  $a_i$  in a coalition of value  $v$

$A$  - Set of actors members of the coalition

$S$  - All subsets of  $A$  that do not contain the actor  $a_i$

### Benefits Concept

The actual meaning of a benefit depends on the underlying value system that is used in each context. It is

Figure 1. Different ways of ordering the three partners in a queue





Figure 2. Example of benefit as a combined abstract value



5 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/fair-distribution-collaboration-benefits/17665](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/fair-distribution-collaboration-benefits/17665)

## Related Content

---

### Collaboration of Rehabilitation Robot With Virtual Reality Development Engine

Yogendra Patil, Guilherme Galdino Siqueira, Iara Brandao and Fei Hu (2018). *Virtual and Augmented Reality: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1377-1392).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/collaboration-of-rehabilitation-robot-with-virtual-reality-development-engine/199746](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/collaboration-of-rehabilitation-robot-with-virtual-reality-development-engine/199746)

### Smart Classroom-Based Innovative Solution Toward Uninterrupted Education: Perspective

Sudhir K. Routray and Sasmita Mohanty (2022). *International Journal of Virtual and Augmented Reality* (pp. 1-14).

[www.irma-international.org/article/smart-classroom-based-innovative-solution-toward-uninterrupted-education/306689](http://www.irma-international.org/article/smart-classroom-based-innovative-solution-toward-uninterrupted-education/306689)

### Beyond the Screen: Ethical Dilemmas in the XR Frontier

Zeeshan Asim, Asokan Vasudevan, Shad Ahmad Khan, Mudassar Mahmood, Yusri Yosof and Devarajanayaka Muniyanayaka (2024). *Multidisciplinary Applications of Extended Reality for Human Experience* (pp. 15-43).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/beyond-the-screen/352632](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/beyond-the-screen/352632)

### REVERIE Virtual Hangout: An Immersive Social and Collaborative VR Experience

Ioannis Domanis and Daphne Economou (2021). *International Journal of Virtual and Augmented Reality* (pp. 18-39).

[www.irma-international.org/article/reverie-virtual-hangout/298984](http://www.irma-international.org/article/reverie-virtual-hangout/298984)

### A Virtual-Reality Approach for the Assessment and Rehabilitation of Multitasking Deficits

Otmar Bock, Uwe Drescher, Wim van Winsum, Thomas F. Kesnerus and Claudia Voelcker-Rehage (2018). *International Journal of Virtual and Augmented Reality* (pp. 48-58).

[www.irma-international.org/article/a-virtual-reality-approach-for-the-assessment-and-rehabilitation-of-multitasking-deficits/203067](http://www.irma-international.org/article/a-virtual-reality-approach-for-the-assessment-and-rehabilitation-of-multitasking-deficits/203067)