

Strategies for Successful Implementation of Innovation

Neeta Baporikar, Namibia University of Science and Technology, Windhoek, Namibia & University of Pune, Pune, India

ABSTRACT

Innovations are vital to most organizations especially in this competitive and globalized scenario. Nevertheless, the results of innovations are in many cases far from satisfactory. Several studies have shown that an organization's failure to benefit from an adopted innovation can often be attributed to a deficient implementation process rather than to the innovation itself. Thus, the implementation process is a critical interface between the decision to adopt and the routine usage of an innovation. Ways and methods to implement innovation effectively have been under scholarship for some time now. Despite the number of studies which identify multiple causes of unsuccessful implementation processes, literature is lacking regarding the crucial aspects of innovation implementation. Building on the derived knowledge of the underlying dynamics of innovation processes, through grounded theory and in-depth literature review, the present study aims to contribute to existing implementation literature by examining the strategic facets of innovation implementation.

KEYWORDS

Adoption, Critical, Evolution, Knowledge, Organization, Process, Radical, Strategic, Technology

INTRODUCTION

Due to rapid evolution of technology, innovations are vital to most organizations (Choi and Chan, 2009, p. 245). In addition, a growing number of customers are expecting organizations to act ecologically and socially responsible. Those circumstances force enterprises to adopt and implement innovations even beyond their core businesses. Nevertheless, the results of innovations such as improvements in efficiency due to total quality management, statistical process control, and manufacturing resource planning are in many cases not satisfying (Klein, Conn, and Sorra, 2001, p. 811). Several studies have shown that an organization's failure to benefit from an adopted innovation can often be attributed to a deficient implementation process rather than to the innovation itself (Klein and Sorra, 1996, p. 1055; Aiman-Smith and Green, 2002, p. 421; Gary, 2005, p. 644; Karimi, Somers, and Bhattacharjee, 2007, p. 123). The implementation process, as the critical interface between the decision to adopt and the routine usage of an innovation (Klein and Sorra, 1996, p. 1057), has received increasing attention by scholars. The degree of implementation success is considered a better indicator for innovation quality than the degree of adoption success due to the fact that not all adopted innovations get ultimately implemented (Karimi et al., 2007, p. 103). Despite the growing number of studies which identify multiple causes of unsuccessful implementation processes, literature is lacking multidimensional models that explain the difference between successful and unsuccessful implementation efforts. Such models should take into account multiple and to some extent interrelated drivers of implementation success (Dean Jr. and Bowen, 1994, p. 393; Klein and Sorra, 1996, p. 1056; Klein et al., 2001, p. 811; Repenning, 2002, p. 110). In addition, Choi and Chan (2009, p. 245) point out that existing implementation studies tend to focus either on employee-related aspects, mostly on an individual level, or on organizational aspects such as management support, structure, and resources of the

DOI: 10.4018/IJIDE.2017040102

Copyright © 2017, IGI Global. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

implementing organization. By combining these two approaches, Choi and Chan (2009, p. 251) show that management support significantly improves the implementation effectiveness as well as the innovation effectiveness by strengthening the collective innovation confidence and the collective innovation acceptance of employees.

The present study aims to contribute to existing implementation literature by examining the crucial aspects of innovation implementation. In contrast to Choi and Chan (2009), this study does not focus on the strength of causal relationships between factors of influence and implementation success. Instead, the crucial aspects within the organizations, which affect implementation success over time, are of particular interest. The paper begins with an introduction, followed by literature review. Then, barriers to innovation and obstacles to implementation of innovation are discussed. Before providing strategies for successful innovation implementation, drivers of innovation and organizational challenges are also briefly brought out. Strategic implications and conclusion form the last part of the paper.

LITERATURE REVIEW

In defining innovation, there is a need to distinguish the subtle difference between an “invention” and “innovation.” According to Merriam-Webster On-Line Dictionary, invention is “a device, contrivance, or process originated after study and experiment”.¹ However, the same source defines innovation as “the *introduction* (emphasis is ours) of something new, a new idea, method, or device.” Schumpeter (1996, p. 81-86) describes innovation as a process of creative destruction which is continuously revolutionizing macro level markets and structures. The widespread sub-categorization of the innovation process into the consecutive phases of invention, innovation, as well as diffusion and imitation can also be attributed to Schumpeter (1939, p. 84-102; Milling and Maier, 1996, p. 17). The invention phase is characterized by the discovery of a previously unknown solution to a problem. In form of an innovation, the invention is economically used for the first time during the innovation phase. In the subsequent diffusion and imitation phase, the innovation spreads through the market, thereby increasingly realizing the potential technological progress (Milling and Maier, 1996, p. 17-18).

On a micro level, innovations diffuse between actors of a social system or an organization through an existing or emerging set of relationships (Allen, 1977, p. 234-265; Roger, 2003, p. 5). Rogers (2003, p. 5-6) defines diffusion in the standard work *Diffusion of Innovations* as a process by which information is exchanged over certain communication channels between members of a social system. He differentiates between the five stages knowledge, persuasion, decision, implementation, and confirmation. The knowledge stage is initiated by the first encounter with the innovation and ends after a general understanding of the innovation has been acquired. In the following persuasion stage, an affirmative or negative attitude towards the innovation emerges. Next, decision stage, the innovation is at least partially tested before it is decided whether the innovation will be adopted or disregarded. In case of a positive adoption decision, the innovation will be used for the first time during the implementation stage. Within the final confirmation stage, the adoption decision is continuously challenged and where appropriate revoked based on newly acquired information about the innovation (Roger, 2003, p. 168-169). Within an organizational context, the innovation process is subdivided into two main processes: the initiation process and the implementation process (Zaltman, Duncan, and Holbeck, 1973, S. 58; Roger, 2003, p. 420), which is similar to the stages mentioned. Figure 1, gives the generic innovation process.

11 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/article/strategies-for-successful-implementation-of-innovation/176630

Related Content

Web Accessible Adoption of Instructional Website, Application and Online Material Development

Loreen M. Powell, Carl M. Rebman, Chaza Abdula and Michalina Hendon (2019). *International Journal of E-Adoption* (pp. 41-46).

www.irma-international.org/article/web-accessible-adoption-of-instructional-website-application-and-online-material-development/234296

How Online Consumer Reviews Influence Purchase Intention in Virtual Communities?

Yi-Fen Chen, Chia-Wen Tsai and Shih-Mei Hsu (2014). *International Journal of Innovation in the Digital Economy* (pp. 40-50).

www.irma-international.org/article/how-online-consumer-reviews-influence-purchase-intention-in-virtual-communities/116880

Wiki Interaction Tracks in Geometry Learning

Wajeeh Daher (2010). *International Journal of E-Adoption* (pp. 15-34).

www.irma-international.org/article/wiki-interaction-tracks-geometry-learning/50302

A Study on the Role of Open Education Faculty, the Leader of Distance Learning in Turkey, in Higher Education and its Educational-Social Dynamics

Togan Karatas and Elif Kartal Karatas (2013). *Adoption of Virtual Technologies for Business, Educational, and Governmental Advancements* (pp. 196-208).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/study-role-open-education-faculty/72409

Next Generation Networks: A New Digital Divide?

Rohan Kariyawasam (2012). *Digital Economy Innovations and Impacts on Society* (pp. 184-204).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/next-generation-networks/65877