

# Theoretical and Practical Aspects of Knowledge Management

**Frank Land**

*London School of Economics and Political Science, UK*

**Sevasti-Melissa Nolas**

*London School of Economics and Political Science, UK*

**Urooj Amjad**

*London School of Economics and Political Science, UK*

## INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The last decade of the 20<sup>th</sup> century saw the emergence of a new discipline within the realm of information systems, which became known as *knowledge management* (KM). As such, it has become one of the most discussed issues amongst academics and practitioners working in the information systems and human resource management arenas (Prusack, 2001). Amongst academics it has become an area of specialisation with research projects, journals, conferences, books, encyclopaedias, and numerous papers devoted to the topic. Businesses are investing heavily in buying or developing KM supportive systems. However, predominately researchers and practitioners in this area have tended to see (see for example, Alavi & Leidner, 2001; Baskerville, 1998):

1. consider the context in which knowledge management takes place as teams of knowledge workers in communities of practice, whose performance and the performance of their organisation, can be enhanced by knowledge sharing;
2. focus on the process—the creation and application of knowledge management programmes and systems as an organisational *resource*—neglecting, with some exceptions (Alvesson & Karreman, 2001; Swan & Scarborough, 2001; Schultze, 1999), the wider context in which knowledge management takes place and the fact that resources can be used in ways that can be both creative and destructive, facilitating and manipulative; and
3. stress the role of technology as the enabling agent for KM.

## OBJECTIVES AND FOCUS

This article proposes to broaden the KM discourse by re-examining some of the foundations of knowledge manage-

ment in order to show that much of the current discussion—including by those who are critical of the conceptual basis of KM—neglects or underplays some otherwise well-known aspects of the topic. Two aspects in particular need to be considered:

- a. the notion that knowledge is managed for a purpose—it is used as an instrument to achieve some objective, sometimes explicit, but often hidden or tacit. We note that the purpose is not necessarily benign, and that this, the darker side of knowledge management, includes knowledge and information manipulation.
- b. that knowledge has been managed since mankind invented speech, and that few purposeful activities do not include some elements of knowledge management. Examples are provided to illustrate the point.

The article is presented from the perspectives of the IS scholar in particular, and organisational studies in general, as both face KM as a new area of study. The article is intended to act as a warning to both scholars and practitioners interested in KM, and suggests that the rhetoric emerging from the KM field should be treated with caution.

The article concludes with some reflections on the ethical dimensions stemming from KM practices.

## Outline Argument

The argument presented in the article may be briefly summarised as follows: knowledge is not some benign resource which is only managed for the good of the individual, the team, and the organisation. Knowledge can be, and is, used instrumentally to achieve a range of objectives, ranging from the criminal, the mischievous, to the constructive and benign. The argument focuses on the *relationship* between the provision, acquisition, and

dissemination of information, and the formation and creation of knowledge. It is argued that information, as a building block of knowledge, can be, and in practice is, guided, managed, controlled, or manipulated for a desired outcome. The practice of research is cited in order to demonstrate the argument. Finally, by drawing on some familiar institutional canons of our culture such as education, marketing, (scientific) management, and law, the article takes the first steps in broadening the KM discourse looking at control, instrumentality, and power relations.

## The Argument Expanded

There is almost complete unanimity in the academic literature that KM is an essential activity for a modern enterprise to flourish in a global competitive economy, and many practicing managers share this view. Two assumptions underlie the KM literature:

- that there is a positive relationship between knowledge and truth; and
- that knowledge is the sum of beliefs, values, insights, and experiences.

Both assumptions serve to hide the instrumentality of knowledge. The article notes that underlying the management of knowledge are the notions of purpose and control. One purpose of providing software to facilitate knowledge sharing may be to improve the productivity of the group. But a second purpose may be to control the way the group works, for example, by determining who can share in the knowledge. Again the purpose of a marketing campaign may ostensibly be to provide knowledge for the consumer, but also to mislead the consumer and ultimately to control the consumer's behaviour.

Both assumptions raise questions about the ethical implications and the power dynamics of knowledge management. How do these assumptions work and what are their implications?

## Assumptions

KM, as a concept, is a good example of "reification" (Thompson, 1990)—the use of various ideological strategies for the purpose of maintaining a particular order of things—of what is essentially a very old idea, that we can work/live together much more harmoniously and productively, if only we communicate better (and more) with each other. Naturalisation takes place by supporting that it is always *good* and desirable to communicate and *exchange knowledge* with each other. The notion has been provided with an agenda: that through technological development, we can create an infrastructure that enables us to

*communicate* and *exchange* (share) knowledge more effectively. Finally, the ideas are given a label—KM—and presented as a natural development of historical events, such as the emphasis on knowledge work and the capabilities provided by ICT.

Nevertheless, it is well known that the above does not completely capture reality. The tools provided by the technology and by organisational architecture are as much used to manipulate or hide knowledge, as to reveal and share it. The activity of exclusion and inclusion, of amplification and distortion of *data*, can be strategic, and no amount of technical sophistication can prevent that. Indeed, the technology can be harnessed to assist in these activities. White-collar crime is a good case in point. The literature on white-collar crime does not use the terms 'knowledge management' or 'knowledge manipulation' in describing the various manifestations of the crime, but it is clear that these activities are central to the expansion taking place in that type of crime and ICT can play major role in facilitating criminal activity.

In the process of reifying knowledge as something to be harnessed and exploited, there is also a tendency in the literature to reify the organisation, and therefore to think about *organisational knowledge* in terms of the benefit derived by the organisation, where organisation usually refers to top management. The ensuing power dynamics and the politics of knowledge production and use are all too clear. Knowledge and organising are not the privilege of the few, but the "processes" (Hosking & Morley, 1991) that occur in spaces where individuals form and un-form social relations, and carry out formal and informal practices across the organisation. Entering into this space, whether as a researcher or as a KM "manager," or indeed any other stakeholder, has implications for the dynamics of that space.

## Implications

The implications are explored by examining the practice of research looking at the notions of bias, involvement, and lay/expert knowledge.

An individual approaching anything will be doing so from a particular position, be that theoretical, methodological, historical, or political, consciously or unconsciously. Past experiences tend to inform future actions by constraining as well as enabling one's movements. As such, the assumption about the positive relationship between knowledge and truth is unfounded because of the natural *bias*—by virtue of our unique experiences—that we all have. For example, in the context of organisational research, the study may be intended to gain understanding (knowledge) of some aspect of the organisation's activity. The choice of research methodology, a facet of knowledge management, guides and constrains the researcher.

5 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/theoretical-practical-aspects-knowledge-management/17037](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/theoretical-practical-aspects-knowledge-management/17037)

## Related Content

---

### From Data to Wisdom in the Global and Civilizational Context: The Cognitive Perspective

Andrew Targowski (2014). *International Journal of Knowledge-Based Organizations* (pp. 56-70).

[www.irma-international.org/article/from-data-to-wisdom-in-the-global-and-civilizational-context/117734](http://www.irma-international.org/article/from-data-to-wisdom-in-the-global-and-civilizational-context/117734)

### A Study on the Impact of Age Factors on Knowledge Sharing and Management in Big Data-Driven English Teaching

Xi-en Gao (2025). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 1-20).

[www.irma-international.org/article/a-study-on-the-impact-of-age-factors-on-knowledge-sharing-and-management-in-big-data-driven-english-teaching/384594](http://www.irma-international.org/article/a-study-on-the-impact-of-age-factors-on-knowledge-sharing-and-management-in-big-data-driven-english-teaching/384594)

### An Exploratory Analysis of Information and Knowledge Management Enablers in Business Contexts

Karen Nelson and Michael Middleton (2008). *Knowledge Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 2660-2669).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/exploratory-analysis-information-knowledge-management/25288](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/exploratory-analysis-information-knowledge-management/25288)

### Educational Development in Hadhramaut

John M. Mulholland and Sultana Feroze al-Qu'aiti (2022). *Cases on Applying Knowledge Economy Principles for Economic Growth in Developing Nations* (pp. 108-126).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/educational-development-in-hadhramaut/296222](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/educational-development-in-hadhramaut/296222)

### Knowledge Management Systems for Emergency Preparedness: The Claremont University Consortium Experience

Murali Raman, Terry Ryan and Lorne Olfman (2006). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 33-50).

[www.irma-international.org/article/knowledge-management-systems-emergency-preparedness/2686](http://www.irma-international.org/article/knowledge-management-systems-emergency-preparedness/2686)