

System Dynamics Based Learning Environments: A New Type of Decision Support Technology for Public Sector Management

Hassan Qudrat-Ullah
York University, Canada

INTRODUCTION

Managing a public sector organization is a highly complex task involving multiple stakeholders coupled with informational and resource material flows. Decision making in such complex tasks, for example health-care system, presents challenges. On one hand, the complexity of public sector organizations does not lend itself well to real-world trial and error approach. Practical, political, and/or ethical constraints often restrict any experimentation with many real-world phenomena such as medical decision-making, hazard-waste management, climate change, and so forth. On the other hand, most of the real-world “decisions and their consequences” are hardly related in both time and space, which makes learning even harder to occur (Hogarth, 1981; Sterman, 1989).

Recent advancements in computer technology, together with developments in system dynamics simulation methods, provide a potential solution that involves design and development of the decision support systems to aid decision making in complex public sector systems (Qudrat-Ullah, 2005). In this paper we argue that system-dynamics-based interactive learning environments (SDILEs) could serve as an effective decision support system for public sector management.

BACKGROUND

The term ILE (interactive learning environment) refers to the computer-simulation-based decision support systems aimed at improving users’ decision-making capabilities. In an ILE, the learning goals are clearly made explicit to the decision makers. Therefore, the computer games played for fun will not count as ILEs. An ILE consists of three components: (i) a computer simulation model to adequately represent the domain or issue on hand (Davidsen, 2000; Homer and Hirsch,

2006; Kriz, 2003), (ii) a user interface capable of allowing the decision makers to make decisions and access the feedback on interactive basis, (iii) a human facilitator or coach responsible for conducting a debriefing session (Davidsen, 1996, 2000; Davidsen and Spector, 1997; Ledrman, 1992). When an ILE’s underlying simulation model is based on system dynamics methodology (Forrester, 1961) we term that ILE as SDILE. Some popular SDILEs are People Express (Sterman, 1988), FishBankILE (Qudrat-Ullah, Saleh, & Bahaa, 1997) and Healthcare Microworld (Hirsch, Immediato, & Kemeny, 1997).

DECISION MAKING AND LEARNING WITH SDILE

The Role of a System Dynamics Simulation Model

The core of SDILE is a system-dynamics-based simulation model. System-dynamics-based simulation models have strengths to map (i) the multiple stakeholders’ interests, (ii) available but limited resources, and (iii) decisions at different levels in the organization, a general characterization of most of the task systems (e.g., health care system, education system, energy system, etc.) in the public sector. The key capabilities of a system dynamics model are:


- Over time feedback processes and interaction between the system variables in and across various functional entities (e.g., human resource, demand sector, supply sector, financials, etc.) are explicitly represented. (see examples in the work of Bunn and Dyner (1996) and/or Qudrat-Ullah (2005a)).

- Both physical (e.g., equipment, machines, infrastructure, etc.) as well as non-physical resources (e.g., knowledge and know-how, moral of employee, satisfaction level, etc.) are distinguished and explicitly modeled. (see examples in the work of Bunn and Dyer (1996) and/or Qudrat-Ullah (2005a)).
- Delays and distortions in real-world public policy decisions and their outcomes are clearly repre-

sented. (see examples in the work of Bunn and Dyer (1996) and/or Qudrat-Ullah (2005a)).

Learning begins to happen during model building process itself: decisions and policies are represented through the consultation with the actual decision makers and the organization logs and data. Once a simulation model is built to adequately represent the real task system then it is subjected to both structural

Figure 1: The “Decision Panel” of FishBankILE



2 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/system-dynamics-based-learning-environments/16800

Related Content

Making the Move: Supporting Faculty in the Transition to Blended or Online Courses

Cynthia S. Gautreau, Kristin K. Stang, Chris Street and Andrea Guillaume (2014). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 27-42).

www.irma-international.org/article/making-the-move/106814

E-Tutor Perceptions towards the Star Rural Area E-Learning Project

Chiung-Wei Huang and Eric Zhi Feng Liu (2015). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 20-29).

www.irma-international.org/article/e-tutor-perceptions-towards-the-star-rural-area-e-learning-project/120662

Enabling Trust in an E-Learning Ontology Through Provenance

Rajiv Pandey, Nidhi Srivastava and Amit Kumar Bajpai (2024). *Architecture and Technological Advancements of Education 4.0* (pp. 380-425).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/enabling-trust-in-an-e-learning-ontology-through-provenance/334406

Preservice Teachers in Distance Learning: Mitigating the Impact on Social and Emotional Learning

Jessica C. Decker and Valerie Beltran (2021). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 49-61).

www.irma-international.org/article/preservice-teachers-in-distance-learning/279101

Key Success Factors in an Online English Learning Environment From Tertiary Learners' Perspectives

Atima Kaewsard (2022). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/article/key-success-factors-in-an-online-english-learning-environment-from-tertiary-learners-perspectives/311436