

# Computer Supported Collaborative Learning Scenarios: And External Representations for Promoting Them

**Bernhard Ertl**

*Universität der Bundeswehr München, Germany*

## INTRODUCTION

There are many ways in which information technology (IT) can be integrated into the curriculum. IT can, for example, enable access to learning material and resources, it can feature learners' communication, and also provide instructional elements for the learners. The exact method by which IT is applied to the learning situation is however dependant upon the scenario in which it is required. This article is about computer-supported collaborative learning scenarios. These are characterised by the fact that two or more learners work together to acquire knowledge about a particular topic. Learners may sit together in front of the same computer screen and work in a learning environment, or they may be spatially or temporally separated and use IT for their communication as well as for access to the learning environment. This communication may use chatrooms, newsgroups, or one of the forms of audio-visual communication, such as videoconferencing. The method of communication should be adapted to best fit the learning scenario for which it is being applied (Ertl, Kopp, & Mandl, 2007). Whether or not the collaboration partners are in the same place, the computer screen and its contents are always the central element in the computer supported learning environment. The information displayed on the screen is used to focus the collaborative learning process on particular aspects of the learning task, for example, on ontologies and argumentation moves (Ertl, Fischer, & Mandl, 2006; Suthers & Hundhausen, 2003). Consequently, the design of the screen is of great importance, and an improvement in this area can be an improvement in the instructional make up of a learning environment. It must be noted that the term 'design' in this case is not used to mean the particular aspects of usability, but refers to development of an

instructional prestructure of the shared screen (Ertl et al., 2006; Fischer, Bruhn, Gräsel, & Mandl, 2002; Suthers & Hundhausen, 2003). This structure can be seen as an external representation of the instructor's knowledge about the topic at hand, and is given to the learners as instructional support.

## BACKGROUND

The term 'external representations' is very broad and can be described as knowledge and structure which are displayed by physical symbols, objects, or dimensions (Zhang, 1997). External representations comprise of text information, such as a book, visualisations, or structure and guidelines (e.g. in the style of templates) (Löhner & van Joolingen, 2001; Zhang, 1997). External representations offer different features for varying scenarios of collaborative learning. They provide a permanent *display* of knowledge and structures (Larkin, 1989; Pächter, 1996) and allow learners a permanent *access* to contents (Dennis & Valacich, 1999).

External representations may also guide the learning process if they provide an instructional prestructure to the learners; for example, verbal guidelines or visual structure for aspects that are of particular importance to their task. This representational structure focuses learners' attention on aspects that might otherwise be neglected. Suthers and Hundhausen (2003) call this 'representational guidance.' The creator of the structure or guideline decides upon which aspects the learners should focus. The existence of this kind of structure may influence learners' perception of a task (Zhang & Norman, 1994), and this may in turn influence the learners' ability to solve the task. When provided with a beneficial representation, learners may perceive the problem in a different manner, enabling them to deal

with its content more swiftly (Zhang & Norman, 1994). Their studies showed that learners experience benefits to learning if they receive a supportive task structure (Zhang, 1997; Zhang & Norman, 1994). This mechanism can be used for providing instructional support for the learners.

## INSTRUCTIONAL SUPPORT BY EXTERNAL REPRESENTATIONS

When external representations are applied as a means of instructional support, they are mainly directed towards the conceptual level of a task. They aim at facilitating learners' understanding of a particular problem. For this purpose, content specific facilitation highlights central characteristics of the learning material by representing important content structures. Such prestructuring of the shared screen can make important task characteristics salient and can thereby function as a representational guide to learners' content specific negotiations (Suthers & Hundhausen, 2003). The broad variety of structures for external representation (Löhner & van Jouligen, 2001) lead to a wide variety of facilitation methods, differing in the degree of freedom that learners have, and in the degree of support they receive when working with them. In general, one can distinguish between three different classes of support: *simulations*, *conceptualisation tools*, and *templates*. All three classes have the fact that learners interact with the external representations and that external representations guide the learning processes in common.

**Simulations** (e.g., Roschelle & Teasley, 1995) allow learners to simulate scientific processes; learners work with simulation software, which models the respective processes dependent on specific parameters. Roschelle and Teasley (1995), for example, provided learners an 'envisioning machine'. This machine simulated Newton's Law in respect to the concepts of velocity and acceleration. Learners were able to modify the vectors of velocity and acceleration in the Newtonian world and could directly see the effects of their changes within the simulation. Thus, the general principle of simulations is that an external representation provides parameters for learners to modify. Based on these modifications, the learners get direct feedback on this change within the simulation. In this way, simulations aim at understanding the influence of particular factors on a whole (simulated) system.

In contrast to simulations, **conceptualisation tools** allow the modeling of relations by the learners. In this case, the tool provides objects of different styles and different relations important for the content area and the learners can create their own representation of the structure of a particular content (Fischer et al., 2002; Suthers & Hundhausen, 2003). Fischer et al. (2002) presented a tool for structured visualisation. Learners were given the assignment to make a lesson plan for a class and to take different motivational issues into consideration. The tool provided cards for the learners to visualise lesson elements and other cards to visualise motivational aspects. Furthermore, they had different kind of lines to visualise relations between the lesson elements and the motivational issues. The tool enabled learners to get an image of the pros and cons of different lesson elements and to decide which lesson elements to use. Thus, conceptualisation tools aim at deeper understanding of structures within particular content area.

**Templates** prestructure a content domain (Brooks & Dansereau, 1983; Ertl et al., 2006; Suthers & Hundhausen, 2003). They are mainly in the style of tables and provide categories, which are particularly important for content specific negotiation. Learners fill the empty spaces in the template and thereby focus on important categories (see Table 1). However, learners cannot change the structure of the template and model new relations. Therefore, templates aim to help learners to understand important aspects of a content area. In the following, this article provides an example of a content scheme, which is related to the class of templates, to illustrate the possible application of external representations for computer supported collaborative learning.

## CONTENT SCHEMES

Content schemes provide templates for learners that comprise of placeholders for important aspects. They often provide tabular structures (e.g., Brooks & Dansereau, 1983; Ertl, Reiserer, & Mandl, 2005; Suthers & Hundhausen, 2003). This structure of the scheme remains salient during collaboration and focuses learners on the aspects introduced by the placeholders (Suthers & Hundhausen, 2003). This style of guidance can be important for promoting important aspects of a task implicitly, which means that learners use this structure without being directly told to do so. Therefore, such

4 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/computer-supported-collaborative-learning-scenarios/16693](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/computer-supported-collaborative-learning-scenarios/16693)

## Related Content

---

### Industrial Technology Pedagogy: Need for Human Relations Skills

Heshium Lawrence (2008). *Encyclopedia of Information Technology Curriculum Integration* (pp. 400-405). [www.irma-international.org/chapter/industrial-technology-pedagogy/16737](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/industrial-technology-pedagogy/16737)

### Multi-Messaging and Multimodalities for Online Learning Contents and Digital Leave Behinds

(2021). *Visual Approaches to Instructional Design, Development, and Deployment* (pp. 116-136). [www.irma-international.org/chapter/multi-messaging-and-multimodalities-for-online-learning-contents-and-digital-leave-behinds/255993](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/multi-messaging-and-multimodalities-for-online-learning-contents-and-digital-leave-behinds/255993)

### Cooperation and Collaboration in Higher Education: An Exploratory Study on the Cognitive, Affective, and Moral Dimensions of Online Argumentation

Milton N. Campos, Lia B. de L. Freitas and Cristina Grabovschi (2013). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 1-16). [www.irma-international.org/article/cooperation-collaboration-higher-education/75538](http://www.irma-international.org/article/cooperation-collaboration-higher-education/75538)

### The Forgotten Teachers in K-12 Online Learning: Examining the Perceptions of Teachers Who Develop K-12 Online Courses

Michael Kristopher Barbour, David Adelstein and Jonathan Morrison (2014). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 18-33). [www.irma-international.org/article/the-forgotten-teachers-in-k-12-online-learning/117454](http://www.irma-international.org/article/the-forgotten-teachers-in-k-12-online-learning/117454)

### Preliminary Study on Exploring Students' Perceptions of Instant Response Systems in Pre-Service Teacher Courses: University Level in Taiwan

Hsin-Tzu Tommy Chen (2023). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 1-13). [www.irma-international.org/article/preliminary-study-on-exploring-students-perceptions-of-instant-response-systems-in-pre-service-teacher-courses/322781](http://www.irma-international.org/article/preliminary-study-on-exploring-students-perceptions-of-instant-response-systems-in-pre-service-teacher-courses/322781)