

Packet Inter-Arrival Distributions in Computer Network Workloads

Dennis Guster

St. Cloud State University, USA

David Robinson

St. Cloud State University, USA

Paul Safonov

St. Cloud State University, USA

INTRODUCTION

The past decade could be classified as the “decade of connectivity”; in fact it is commonplace for computers to be connected to a LAN, which in turn is connected to a WAN, which provides an Internet connection. On an application level this connectivity allows access to data that even 5 years earlier were unavailable to the general population.

This growth has not occurred without problems, however. The number of users and the complexity/size of their applications continue to mushroom. Many networks are over-subscribed in terms of bandwidth, especially during peak usage periods. Often network growth was not planned for, and these networks suffer from poor design. Also the explosive growth has often necessitated that crisis management be employed just to keep basic applications running. Whatever the source of the problem, it is clear that proactive design and management strategies need to be employed to optimize available networking resources (Fortier & Desrochers, 1990).

BACKGROUND

Obviously, one way to increase network bandwidth is to increase the speed of the links. However, this may not always be practical due to cost or implementation time. Furthermore, this solution needs to be carefully thought out because increasing speed in one part of a network could adversely effect response time in another part of that network. Another solution would be to optimize the currently available bandwidth through programming logic. Quality of service (QOS) and reservation bandwidth (RB) are two popular methods currently being utilized. Implementation of these optimization methods is rarely simple and often requires a high degree of experimentation if they are to be effectively configured (Walker, 2000). This

experimentation can have detrimental effects on a live network, often taking away resources from mission critical applications.

THE BENEFITS OF SIMULATION

Therefore, the most efficient way to ascertain the potential benefit and derive baseline configuration parameters for these optimization methods is through simulation or mathematical modeling. Simulation can be very effective in planning a network design. For example, what if network link #3 was increased to 100Mbps? Would workstations on that link experience an improvement in response time? What would happen to workstations on the other part of the total network? Another approach to ascertain if a given network will exceed its capacity is based on network calculus (Cruz, 1991; Le Boudec, 1998). In this method the characteristics (such as speed, maximum packet size, peak rate) of the network architecture are analyzed and performance bounds are defined. The goal then is to devise control/management programs (such as QOS and RB) that will keep the workload within those defined bounds. There are numerous applications of this control/management logic, such as Cruz (1995), Cruz and Tsai (1996), Firoiu, Le Boudec, Towsley and Zhang (2002), and Vojnovic and Le Boudec (2002). These control/management programs have proved very effective under a variety of circumstances, but are influenced by the packet inter-arrival rate as well. So therefore, if a network designer is contemplating invoking one of these options, simulation could be used to test how the option in question would improve performance on his/her system, provided an adequate method could be found to describe the distribution within that network.

Simulation has been used for many years in network design; however, the time and cost of its use have often been prohibitive. In recent years, new windows-based

point and click products such as Comnet III (and its successors Network & Simscript) have eliminated the drudgery and the cost of writing simulations via a command line interface (CACI, 1998). Under Comnet III the appropriate devices are selected, connected together and their characteristics defined. There is still a limiting factor in this process: the definition of the distribution of the packet inter-arrival rates.

The theoretical model often used to describe computer networking is the Poisson. This model may have been adequate for some of the first single tier, single protocol networks. However, it lacks validity in today's hierarchically complex multi-protocol networks. In the classical Poisson process model (such as M/M/1), when the number of arrivals follows a Poisson probability distribution, then the time between arrivals (inter-arrival time) follows a decaying exponential probability distribution. A number of studies confirm that the actual inter-arrival distribution of packets is not exponential as would be expected in the classical model (Guster, Robinson & Richardson, 1999; Krzenski, 1998; Partridge, 1993; Vandolore, Babic & Jain, 1999).

The inter-arrival distribution selected can have a major impact on the results of the simulation (Guster, Safonov, Hall & Sundheim, 2003; Guster, Sohn, Robinson & Safonov, 2003). In a study by Krzenski (1999) that analyzed the simulated performance on a shared Ethernet network, 12 different inter-arrival distributions were tried within the same simulation problem. These included the gamma distribution, which is a generalization of the exponential distribution, allowing for a modal inter-arrival time (the most commonly occurring time between arrivals) to be moved out away from the very short, nearly instantaneous time occurring with the exponential distribution. Another distribution among the 12 was an integer distribution, whereby equal probabilities are assigned to different values that are equally spaced throughout the possible inter-arrival times. Among the 12 distributions, there were vast discrepancies in the results. For example, the number of collision episodes varied from 310 with a gamma distribution to 741 with an integer distribution. These results further support the need to have the correct distribution in simulations designed to provide design and management feedback about computer networks.

The frustration of the past work and the need for additional research is best summarized by Partridge (1993):

"... We still do not understand how data communication traffic behaves. After nearly a quarter of a century of data communication, researchers are still struggling to develop adequate traffic models. Yet daily we make decisions about how to configure networks and configure network devices based on inadequate models of data traffic. There is a serious need for more research work on non-Poisson queuing models."

A number of different strategies have been employed in the development of models used to describe packet inter-arrival rates (Van Slooten, 2002). Perhaps the most valid is to record all of the packet arrival times for the time period desired and use that to generate the distribution. The advantage of this strategy is accuracy, but it often requires massive amounts of data to be recorded and processed. To lessen this burden, often a representative sample from the time period is used. However, validating the sample period is often difficult, especially if the file size is not large. Known distributions have been used with limited success (Guster & Robinson, 1994, 2000; Robinson & Juckel, 2000). For simple networks exponential distributions provide some promise; however, they fail to deal with the intricacies of complex multi-protocol networks. Tabular distributions, in which one column describes the interval and a second column describes the probability of a value from that interval occurring, offer a moderate degree of accuracy, but they take time to derive and their sophistication is related to the number of rows included. Regression and ANOVA have been used in some cases, but lack the ability to describe the peaks and valleys associated with packet arrival data. Time series deals with these variations better but still lacks the sophistication needed and requires relatively complex models to even come close (Guster & Robinson, 1993). Packet trains are very effective in describing packet traffic from a single session (such as telnet), but lack the complexity to deal with multiple concurrent sessions on the same network.

FUTURE TRENDS

Two non-Poisson queuing models have offered a degree of promise. One method involves viewing the observation interval as containing several independent Poisson processes rather than as a single exponential distribution. In a study by Guster, Robinson and Richardson (1999), actual data were analyzed and shown to contain three Poisson processes of differing characteristics. During the first phase activity was increasing. During the second phase activity was decreasing. The last phase followed a classical Poisson model. For each phase, a power law process model was fit to the data, indicating the nature of the changing traffic intensity ($b > 1$ – increasing intensity, $b < 1$ – decreasing intensity, $b = 1$ – constant intensity). These data were taken over a 24-hour period. The three phases had widely different levels of traffic intensity. In a shorter time frame, for example 10 minutes, one is less likely to see differences in intensity that dramatic. Thus, the power law process model is most appropriate for longer time frames.

A second strategy focuses on the influence any given data point has on later data points. In other words, does

3 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/packet-inter-arrival-distributions-computer/14595

Related Content

Energy Management in Wireless Networked Embedded Systems

G. Manimaran (2009). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Second Edition* (pp. 1381-1386).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/energy-management-wireless-networked-embedded/13756

The Intricacies of Trust in Technology: A Study of the Clash Between Apple and the FBI

Aditya Mishra (2020). *Journal of Cases on Information Technology* (pp. 31-43).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-intricacies-of-trust-in-technology/263290

E-Technology Challenges to Information Privacy

Edward J. Szewczak (2009). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Second Edition* (pp. 1438-1442).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/technology-challenges-information-privacy/13765

Inter-Organizational Knowledge Sharing System in the Health Sector: Physicians' Perspective

Kamla Ali Al-Busaidi (2020). *Information Diffusion Management and Knowledge Sharing: Breakthroughs in Research and Practice* (pp. 658-675).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/inter-organizational-knowledge-sharing-system-in-the-health-sector/242156

Information Resources Management: Improving the Focus

Tor Guimaraes (1988). *Information Resources Management Journal* (pp. 10-21).

www.irma-international.org/article/information-resources-management/50904