

# Chapter 19

## Inquiry-Based Learning on the Cloud:

**Alexander Mikroyannidis**  
The Open University, UK

**Andre Correa**  
Universidade Estadual Paulista, Brazil

**Alexandra Okada**  
The Open University, UK

**Peter Scott**  
The Open University, UK

### ABSTRACT

*Cloud Learning Environments (CLEs) have recently emerged as a novel approach to learning, putting learners in the spotlight and providing them with the cloud-based tools for building their own learning environments according to their specific learning needs and aspirations. Although CLEs bring significant benefits to educators and learners, there is still little evidence of CLEs being actively and effectively used in the teaching and learning process. This chapter addresses this issue by introducing a European initiative called weSPOT (Working Environment with Social, Personal and Open Technologies for Inquiry-based Learning) for supporting and enhancing inquiry-based learning in STEM education via a cloud-based inquiry toolkit. The chapter presents evidence of using this toolkit within a case study that investigates how a secondary education community of students / co-learners selects information sources on the web and identifies factors associated with the reliability of information sources during their collaborative inquiry (co-inquiry) project in online environments.*

### INTRODUCTION

Learning Management Systems have dominated e-learning for several years. They have been widely used by academic institutions for delivering their distance learning programmes, as well as for supporting their students outside the classroom. They have also been established in the business sector as the mainstream platform for delivering training services to employees. A Learning Management

System (LMS) is an online software application offering facilities for student registration, enrolment into courses, delivery of learning materials to participants, student assessment and progress monitoring. Popular examples of LMS used by the academic as well as the business world include Blackboard<sup>1</sup>, Moodle<sup>2</sup>, and CLIX<sup>3</sup>.

However, the advent of Web 2.0 has altered the landscape in e-learning. Learners nowadays have access to a variety of learning tools and services

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-9924-3.ch019

on the web. These tools and services are usually provided by different vendors and in many cases are open and free. Repositories like Wikipedia<sup>4</sup>, YouTube<sup>5</sup>, SlideShare<sup>6</sup> and iTunes U<sup>7</sup> offer access to a wide range of learning materials for free. Augmenting and configuring the diverse and distributed Web 2.0 tools and services in order to address the needs and preferences of individual learners are a significant challenge for modern online learning environments.

The transition from the traditional e-learning approach of LMS to Web 2.0 e-learning solutions bears significant benefits for learners. It puts emphasis to their needs and preferences, providing them with a wider choice of learning resources to choose from. The European project ROLE (Responsive Open Learning Environments)<sup>8</sup> has explored this transition within a variety of learning contexts and test-beds (Kroop, Mikroyannidis, & Wolpers, 2015). One of these test-beds has been provided by the Open University<sup>9</sup> and concerns the transition from formal learning, where courses are exclusively prepared and delivered by educators, towards informal learning, where the learner is in control of the whole learning process. This transition is being implemented within the Open University test-bed as a transition from the LMS towards the Personal Learning Environment (Mikroyannidis & Connolly, 2015).

The Personal Learning Environment (PLE) is a facility for an individual to access, aggregate, configure and manipulate digital artefacts of their ongoing learning experiences. The PLE follows a learner-centric approach, allowing the use of lightweight services and tools that belong to and are controlled by individual learners. Rather than integrating different services into a centralised system, the PLE provides the learner with a variety of services and hands over control to her to select and use these services the way she deems fit (Chatti, Jarke, & Frosch-Wilke, 2007; Mikroyannidis, Kroop, & Wolpers, 2015).

The Cloud Learning Environment (CLE) extends the PLE by considering the cloud as a

large autonomous system not owned by any educational organisation. In this system, the users of cloud-based services are academics or learners, who share the same privileges, including control, choice, and sharing of content on these services. This approach has the potential to enable and facilitate both formal and informal learning for the learner. It also promotes the openness, sharing and reusability of learning resources on the web (Malik, 2009).

The CLE is enabled by the technological infrastructure of Web 2.0, employing popular and established technologies such as HTTP, XML, and SOAP. This makes it an ideal platform for the easy sharing of online resources, thus benefiting not only learners, but also those who design, produce, and publish creative digital works for educational purposes. This is a critical requirement for achieving a sustainable knowledge community, as not only consumers but also active producers are essential (Hu & Chen, 2010).

The web services employed by the CLE have made a significant impact on the design and delivery of e-learning resources (Vossen & Westerkemp, 2003). Unlike the traditional approach to courseware delivery followed by the LMS, where the focus is on the aggregation of learning objects, the CLE supports composition. Courseware units can be represented by cloud services and invoked within a workflow model (Anane, Bordbar, Fanyu, & Hendley, 2005). The composition and invocation of these services offer greater flexibility in designing and delivering learning paths.

Inquiry-based Learning (IBL) brings together the PLE and CLE paradigms by enabling learners to take the role of an explorer and scientist as they try to solve issues they came across and that made them wonder, thus tapping into their personal feelings of curiosity (Bell, Urhahne, Schanze, & Ploetzner, 2010). IBL supports the meaningful contextualization of scientific concepts by relating them to personal experiences. It leads to structured knowledge about a domain and to more skills and competences about how to

18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:  
[www.igi-global.com/chapter/inquiry-based-learning-on-the-cloud/144100](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/inquiry-based-learning-on-the-cloud/144100)

## Related Content

---

### Security Issues Related to Cloud Applications in STEM Education

Yong Chen (2016). *Handbook of Research on Cloud-Based STEM Education for Improved Learning Outcomes* (pp. 277-289).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/security-issues-related-to-cloud-applications-in-stem-education/144098](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/security-issues-related-to-cloud-applications-in-stem-education/144098)

### Blend the Lab Course, Flip the Responsibility

Mark A. Gallo (2015). *STEM Education: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1483-1505).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/blend-the-lab-course-flip-the-responsibility/121913](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/blend-the-lab-course-flip-the-responsibility/121913)

### Better Visualization through Better Vision

Michael Eisenberg (2016). *Knowledge Visualization and Visual Literacy in Science Education* (pp. 77-86).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/better-visualization-through-better-vision/154378](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/better-visualization-through-better-vision/154378)

### Analysis of Discourse Practices in Elementary Science Classrooms using Argument-Based Inquiry during Whole-Class Dialogue

Matthew J. Benus, Morgan B. Yarker, Brian M. Handand Lori A. Norton-Meier (2015). *STEM Education: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 880-901).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/analysis-of-discourse-practices-in-elementary-science-classrooms-using-argument-based-inquiry-during-whole-class-dialogue/121879](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/analysis-of-discourse-practices-in-elementary-science-classrooms-using-argument-based-inquiry-during-whole-class-dialogue/121879)

### Science Educator Professional Development: Big Data and Inquiry Learning

Anna Lewisand George Matsumoto (2017). *Optimizing STEM Education With Advanced ICTs and Simulations* (pp. 219-244).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/science-educator-professional-development/182604](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/science-educator-professional-development/182604)