

An Overview of Enterprise Resource Planning for Intelligent Enterprises

Jose M. Framinan

University of Seville, Spain

Jose M. Molina

University of Seville, Spain

INTRODUCTION

Enterprise resource planning systems can be defined as customizable, standard application software which includes integrated business solutions for the core processes and administrative functions (Chan & Rosemann, 2001). From an operative perspective, ERP systems provide a common technological platform unique for the entire corporation allowing the replacement of mainframes and legacy systems. This common platform serves to process automation as well as to simplify current process either by an explicit reengineering process or by the implicit adoption of the system “best practices” (Markus & Tanis, 2000). Finally, the common centralized platform allows the access to data that previously were physically or logically dispersed. The automation of the processes and the access to data allows the reduction of operating times (thus reducing operating costs) while the latter serves to a better support of business decisions (see e.g., Umble, Haft & Umble, 2003 for a detailed review of ERP benefits). ERP is considered to provide businesses with new opportunities to acquire knowledge (Srivardhana & Pawlowski, 2007), being the sources of knowledge the aforementioned best practices from the ERP, and the ERP software company’s staff during the implementation phase.

At present, ERP systems are either used or implemented in a large number of enterprises. According to Genoulaz and Millet (2006), up to 74% of manufacturing companies and up to 59% of service companies use an ERP system. In addition, more than 70% of Fortune 1000 companies have implemented core ERP applications (Bingi, Sharma, Godla, 1999; Yen, Chou & Chang, 2002). The objectives for implementing an ERP system can be classified as operational, strategic, dual (operational plus strategic), or without objective (Law & Ngai, 2007). The adoption of an ERP system with operational objectives is aimed at improvement operating efficiency together with the reduction of costs, while companies implementing ERP with a strategic objective would experience a change in business processes, improving sales and market expansion.

A widespread critique to ERP systems is their high total cost of ownership (Al-Mashari, Al-Mudimigh & Zairi,

2003) and hidden costs in implementation (Kwon & Lee, 2001). Besides, ERP systems impose their own logic on an organization’s strategy and culture (Davenport, 1998), so ERP adopters must adapt their business processes and organization to these models and rules. Consequently, organizations may face difficulties through this adaptation process which is usually carried out without widespread employee involvement. This may cause sore employees, sterile results due to the lack of critical information usually provided by the employees; and also late delivery, with reduced functionality, and/or with higher costs than expected (Kraemmergaard, Moeller & Boer, 2003). Additionally, some analysts have speculated that widespread adoption of the same ERP package in the same industry might lead to loss of competitive advantage due to the elimination of process innovation-based competitive advantage (Davenport, 1998). This has been observed, for instance, in the semiconductor manufacturers sector (Markus & Tanis, 2000).

The early stage of ERP was carried out through Materials Requirement Planning (MRP) systems (Umble, Haft & Umble, 2003). The next generation of these systems, MRP II (Manufacturing Resources Planning), crossed the boundaries of the production functionality and started supporting not only manufacturing, but also finance and marketing decisions (Ptak & Schragenheim, 2000). Current ERP systems appeared in the beginning of the 1990’s as evolved MRP II, incorporating aspects from CIM (Computer Integrated Manufacturing) as well as from EDP (Electronic Data Processing). Therefore, ERP systems become enterprise-wide, multilevel decision support systems. ERP systems continue evolving, incorporating Manufacturing Execution Systems (MES), Supply Chain Management (SCM), Product Data Management (PDM), or Geographic Information Systems (GIS), among others (Kwon & Lee, 2001).

BACKGROUND

Most enterprise resource planning systems share a number of common characteristics, both from a technological as well as a business perspective. These include:

- **Client/server, open systems architecture.** Most ERP packages adopt an open systems architecture that separates data (database server), application (ERP server), and presentation (user interface/ERP client) layers, guaranteeing cross-platform availability and systems integration (Basoglu, Daim & Kerimoglu, 2007). In order to interoperate with existing business applications or information systems, most ERP adhere to the majority of common standards for data exchange or distributing processing.
- **Enterprise-wide database.** One of the most distinguishable characteristics of ERP is the strong centralization of all relevant data for the company (Al-Mashari, Al-Mudimigh & Zairi, 2003). When physical centralization is not possible, communication and/or replication protocols among the different databases should be implemented in order to ensure data consistency and accessibility throughout the entire enterprise.
- **Kernel architecture.** Some ERP systems support more than 1,000 different business functionalities (Bancroft, Seip & Sprengel, 1998), covering nearly all-relevant business aspects for most of the enterprises. As all these functionalities cannot be loaded in the ERP server at the same time, the majority of ERP systems employ a so-called “kernel architecture”. In this architecture, most functionalities are stored in the ERP database, usually in the form of source code of a proprietary, fourth generation, programming language. When certain functionality is required by an ERP client, the ERP server loads it from the database and compiles the corresponding code so the functionality is made available for the clients. Once it is not required, the functionality is removed from the ERP server. Note that this mechanism also allows for an easy enhancement/updating of existing functionalities as well as for the construction of new ones.
- **Process-oriented, business reference model.** ERP is process-oriented software that has been developed starting from an implicit or explicit business reference model in order to appropriately describe the relevant business functions covered by the ERP system. For most ERP vendors, this model is explicit and takes the form of the best practices extracted from the ERP vendor experience (Markus & Tanis, 2000). This can be used to analyze and evaluate current business processes in the enterprise prior to the implementation of the ERP package, serving thus as benchmark processes for business process reengineering (BPR).
- **Adaptation to the enterprise.** In order to meet the specific requirements of different enterprises, ERP systems are highly configurable. This potential for customization is considered to be one of the main differences between ERP and other standard software

packages (Kraemmeraard, Moeller & Boer, 2003). The customization process may take several months, or even years, depending on the enterprise.

- **Modularity.** Although the term “ERP system” is usually employed to design a system covering all corporate functions (Slater, 1998), generally an ERP system is composed of a set of ERP modules. An ERP module is a group of function-oriented, tightly integrated, functionalities which in many cases can be separately purchased and installed. Typical ERP modules are the financial-accounting module, production-manufacturing module, sales-distribution module, or human resources module. This allows enterprises to purchase only these modules strictly required as well as the possibility of integrating them with existing information systems.

An intelligent enterprise is an organization which acts effectively in the present and is capable to deal effectively with the challenges of the future (Wiig, 1999). Since most enterprises operate today in a complex and dynamic environment characterized by increasing competition and continuous changes in products, technology and market forces, an intelligent enterprise should be proactive, adaptable, knowledgeable, and well-resourced (Kadayam, 2002). In order to achieve this behavior, it is expected that all employees in the intelligent enterprises not only deliver the work products that are directly associated with their functions, but that they also innovate to improve customer relationships, enterprise capabilities, and envision opportunities for new products and services (Wiig, 1999). Therefore, it is clear that an intelligent organization should have timely access to all critical information in order to gain insight into its performance and should be able to provide effective decision support systems. Hence, one of the requisites for the intelligent enterprise is the availability of all relevant data in the organization. Indeed, access to the right information is considered to be one of the key characteristics of intelligent enterprises (Smirnov, Pashkin, Chilov & Levashova, 2003).

FUTURE TRENDS

One of the main problems presented by ERP systems is the large amount of time needed to carry out their implementation. The length of these periods tends to be reduced even to a period of weeks and 2-3 months at most (Jacobs & Weston, 2007), as the majority of companies have realized the benefits gained from short implementation cycles. This reduction of the implementation period is also achieved by means of the so-called “pre-customized” packages, which essentially include tailored modules with default values usually depending on the different sectors of activity.

4 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/overview-enterprise-resource-planning-intelligent/14011

Related Content

Strategic Vision for Information Technology

Mary Elizabeth Brabston (2005). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, First Edition* (pp. 2643-2647).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/strategic-vision-information-technology/14668

An Exploratory, Longitudinal Study of Factors Influencing Development of a Networked Company

Deborah Hardy Bednarand Lynn Godkin (2009). *Information Resources Management Journal* (pp. 59-72).

www.irma-international.org/article/exploratory-longitudinal-study-factors-influencing/1365

Bundling Processes Between Private and Public Organizations: A Qualitative Study

Armin Sharafi, Marlen Jurisch, Christian Ikas, Petra Wolfand Helmut Krcmar (2013). *Managing Information Resources and Technology: Emerging Applications and Theories* (pp. 91-108).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/bundling-processes-between-private-public/74502

The Trends and Problems of Virtual Schools

Glenn Russell (2009). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Second Edition* (pp. 3795-3800).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/trends-problems-virtual-schools/14143

Research on Cross-Border Integration and Strategy of Digital Media Technology in Artistic Creation and Communication

Wenyan Zhongand Yaqian Zhang (2025). *Journal of Cases on Information Technology* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/research-on-cross-border-integration-and-strategy-of-digital-media-technology-in-artistic-creation-and-communication/383083