

Chapter 49

Use and Reuse of Electronic Health Records: Building Information Systems for Improvement of Health Services

Michele Ceruti

University of Turin, Italy

Silvio Geninatti

Catholic University “Sacro Cuore”, Italy & Statistics and Epidemiological Research, Italy

Roberta Siliquini

University of Turin, Italy

ABSTRACT

Electronic Health Record (EHR) is a term with several meanings, even if its very definition allows distinguishing it from other electronic records of healthcare interest, such as Electronic Medical Records (EMR) and Personal Health Records (PHR). EMR is the electronic evolution of paper-based medical records, while PHR is mainly the collection of health-related information of a single individual. All of these have many points in common, but the interchangeable use of the terms leads to several misunderstandings and may threaten the validity and reliability of EHR applications. EHRs are more structured and conform to interoperability standards, and include a huge quantity of data of very large populations. Thus, they have proven to be useful for both theoretical and practical purposes, especially for Public Health issues. In this chapter, the authors argue that the appropriate use of EHR requires a realistic comprehensive concept of e-health by all the involved professions. They also show that a change in the “thinking” of e-health is necessary in order to achieve tangible results of improvement in healthcare services through the use of EHR.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-8756-1.ch049

INTRODUCTION

Electronic records are being produced in several health contexts, mainly hospital, laboratory services, imaging services, insurance claims.

The aim of this chapter is to understand the nature of electronic health records, their subtypes, their expectations, the grey areas, those that need more clarification and disambiguation, the concerns about misuse and misinterpretation, highlighting the real potential for the ethical development of Information technology in healthcare.

As it has been stated (Habib, 2010), EHR technology is an important piece of health information technology infrastructure and is a cornerstone for reform health care systems across the world. EHR is an important tool for the improvement of health care quality, efficiency, and patient safety, diminishing research costs, decreasing the inefficiencies associated with paper based research and aligning research with implementation of new health technology devices. (Weiskopf & Weng, 2012).

Most countries are now focusing healthcare delivery through a health informatics strategy. EHR has been focused as the central power tool in most of the national e-health and HIT strategies, including telemedicine, personalized medicine, and large-scale genome analysis projects (Ruch, 2010).

DEFINITION OF EHR

A semantic problem that researchers, engineers and developers in the field of Health Technology will encounter if they intend to extend the research on the topic both in Biomedical and in Engineering literature is the confusion between EHR and other electronic records. We believe that the core of the correct evolution of such a vision with the patient at the centre of care delivery needs a clear definition of what is and what is not an “electronic health record”.

According to the current literature, the definition of EHR is evolving and still confusing (Hayrinen, Saranto & Nykanen, 2008). Electronic health records may include all health-related information on electronic support: Electronic medical record (EMR), Personal health record (PHR) and Electronic personal record (EPR). All these terms are often used interchangeably, although there are huge differences between each of them. This confusion has been propagated not only by the scientific community but by governments, consultants and vendors as well. (Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society [HIMSS] 2006).

It is conceivable to consider “widely” all these as health electronic records but scientists, developers and users are confused by these interchangeable meanings. Electronic health records need first of all to be defined as clearly as possible, in order to avoid any confusion in its interpretation and application. This is the reason for which we call the attention to the following definitions and clarifications.

In 2008 the US National Alliance for Health Information Technology defined EHR, EMR and PHR as separate concepts (National Alliance for Health Information Technology, 2008):

Electronic Medical Record: *An electronic record of health-related information on an individual that can be created, gathered, managed, and consulted by authorized clinicians and staff within one healthcare organization.*

Electronic Health Record: *An electronic record of health-related information on an individual that conforms to nationally recognized interoperability standards and that can be created, managed, and consulted by authorized clinicians and staff across more than one healthcare organization.*

Personal Health Record: *An electronic record of health-related information on an individual that conforms to nationally recognized interoperability standards and that can be drawn from multiple sources while being managed, shared, and controlled by the individual.*

13 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/use-and-reuse-of-electronic-health-records/138441

Related Content

Transformation of Markov Models for Cost-Effectiveness Analysis into the System Dynamics Methodology: Analysis and Case Study

Patrick Einzinger, Ruth Leskovarand Claudia Wyrzens (2013). *International Journal of Privacy and Health Information Management* (pp. 115-131).

www.irma-international.org/article/transformation-of-markov-models-for-cost-effectiveness-analysis-into-the-system-dynamics-methodology/102633

Impact of Trust and Technology on Interprofessional Collaboration in Healthcare Settings: An Empirical Analysis

Ramaraj Palanisamy, Nazim Taskinand Jacques Verville (2018). *Health Care Delivery and Clinical Science: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1325-1364).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/impact-of-trust-and-technology-on-interprofessional-collaboration-in-healthcare-settings/192733

Antiepileptic Drugs for Preventing Seizures Following Acute Traumatic Brain Injury: Appraisal of the Cochrane Commission Systematic Review

Amy Price (2012). *International Journal of User-Driven Healthcare* (pp. 1-5).

www.irma-international.org/article/antiepileptic-drugs-preventing-seizures-following/68392

Factors Influencing Adoption of E-detailing as a Communication Tool: Views of Physicians of India

Saikat Banerjeeand Sampada Kumar Dash (2014). *International Journal of E-Health and Medical Communications* (pp. 29-39).

www.irma-international.org/article/factors-influencing-adoption-of-e-detailing-as-a-communication-tool/118220

Evidence on the Efficacy of Integrated Care

Torben Larsen (2009). *Handbook of Research on Information Technology Management and Clinical Data Administration in Healthcare* (pp. 230-246).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/evidence-efficacy-integrated-care/35780