

# Contactless Payment with RFID and NFC

**Marc Pasquet**

GREYC Laboratory (ENSICAEN – Université Caen Basse Normandie - CNRS), France

**Delphine Vacquez**

ENSICAEN, France

**Joan Reynaud**

GREYC Laboratory (ENSICAEN – Université Caen Basse Normandie - CNRS), France

**Félix Cuzzo**

ENSICAEN, France

## INTRODUCTION

The radio frequency identification (RFID) reading technology enables the transfer, by radio, of information from electronic circuit to a reader, opened up some interesting possibilities in the area of e-payment (Domdouzis, Kumar, & Anumba, 2007). Today, the near field communication technology (NFC) opens up even more horizons, because it can be used to set up communications between different electronic devices (Eckert, 2005).

Contactless cards, telephones with NFC capacities, RFID tag have been developed in industry and the services (Bendavid, Fosso Wamba, & Lefebvre, 2006). They are similar, but, some major differences explain the specificity of these three applications and the corresponding markets. The label, or marker, is a small size electronic element that transmits, on request, its numerical identification to a reader.

The RFID identification makes it possible to store and recover data at short distance by using these miniature markers or labels (see Figure 1) associated to the articles to identify. The cost of the label is only few centimes. An RFID system is made of labels, readers connected to a fixed network, adapted software (collection of information, integration, confidential-

ity...), adapted services, and management tools that allow the identification of the products through packing.

Contactless smartcards (see Figure 2) contain a micro-processor that can communicate under a short distance with a reader similar to those of RFID technology (Khu-smith & Mitchell, 2002).

The originality of NFC is the fact that they were conceived for the protected bilateral transmission with other systems. NFC respects the standard<sup>a</sup> ISO-14443 (Bashan, 2003) and thus, can be used as a contactless card. It can be used as a contactless terminal communicating with a contactless card or another NFC phone (ISO-18092). Services available through NFC are very limited today, but many experiments are in progress and electronic ticketing experiences (subways and bus) started in Japan<sup>b</sup>.

There are two types of NFC phones:

- The mono chip composed of only one chip for GSM services (called the SIM) and NFC services. In that case, an NFC service is dependent of the phone operator.
- The dual chip shows a clear separation of the two functions within two different chips. That completely

Figure 1. Some examples of RFI label




Figure 2. Example of a contactless bank card



isolates the operator and allows independent NFC services...

We define the technology standards, the main platforms and actors in the background section. The main trust develops some contactless payment applications, and analyses the benefits and constraints of the different solutions. The future trends section concerns the research and technology evolution in contactless payment applications.

**BACKGROUND**

The major interest of contactless cards is to facilitate access control, micropayment... Another interest refers to the usury of card; it is insensible to contact oxidation. We detail briefly the international standards that are involved in RFID and NFC.

**Standards**

**ISO-14443**

This standard is the international one for contactless smart-cards operating at 13.56 MHz in close proximity of a reader antenna. This ISO norm sets communication standards and transmission protocols between a card and a reader to create interoperability for contactless smartcard products. Two main communication protocols are supported under the ISO-14443 standard: Type A and B. Other protocols were only formalized: Type C (Sony/Japan), Type D (OTI/Israel), Type E (Cubic/USA), Type F (Legic/Switzerland).

This norm is divided in four parts and treats Type A and Type B cards:

- ISO-14443-1 defines the size and physical characteristics of the antenna and the microchip;
- ISO-14443-2 defines the characteristics of the fields to be provided for power and bi-directional communication between coupling devices and cards;
- ISO-14443-3 defines the initialization phase of the communication and anticollision protocols;
- ISO-14443-4 specifies the transmission protocol.

ISO-14443 uses different terms to name its components:

- PCD: proximity coupling device (or reader);
- PICC: proximity integrated circuit card (or contactless card).


**ISO-18092**

NFC is a short-range (10 to 20 centimeters) wireless communication technology that enables the exchange of data between devices over a short distance. Its primal goal is the mobile phones usage. This open platform technology is standardized in ISO-18092 norm NFC Interface protocol-1°. In NFC technology, two communication modes exist: passive and active communication modes of NFC interface protocol to realize a communication network using NFC devices for networked products and also for consumer equipments (see Figure 3).

**ISO-21481**

The ISO-21481 standard (NFC interface protocol-2°) is derived from Ecma-356 (interconnection) standard. It specifies the selection mechanism of communication mode in order to not disturb communication between devices using ISO-

Figure 3. The two NFC communication modes



5 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/contactless-payment-rfid-nfc/13654](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/contactless-payment-rfid-nfc/13654)

## Related Content

---

### Ethics of Electronic Health Record Systems

Brian J. Galli (2018). *International Journal of Information Systems and Social Change* (pp. 53-69).

[www.irma-international.org/article/ethics-of-electronic-health-record-systems/205492](http://www.irma-international.org/article/ethics-of-electronic-health-record-systems/205492)

### Towards a Reference Model and a Web-Based Framework for eParticipation Services Design

Federica Paganelli and Francesca Pecchi (2013). *Information Resources Management Journal* (pp. 1-19).

[www.irma-international.org/article/towards-reference-model-web-based/76878](http://www.irma-international.org/article/towards-reference-model-web-based/76878)

### Big-Bang ERP Implementation at a Global Company

Nava Pliskin and Marta Zarotski (2000). *Annals of Cases on Information Technology: Applications and Management in Organizations* (pp. 233-248).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/big-bang-erp-implementation-global/44637](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/big-bang-erp-implementation-global/44637)

### Boosting the Social Development of the Majority Through the Creation of a Wireless Knowledge Society

Danilo Piaggese (2019). *Advanced Methodologies and Technologies in Library Science, Information Management, and Scholarly Inquiry* (pp. 319-332).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/boosting-the-social-development-of-the-majority-through-the-creation-of-a-wireless-knowledge-society/215935](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/boosting-the-social-development-of-the-majority-through-the-creation-of-a-wireless-knowledge-society/215935)

### Academic Major Decidedness: A Study of First-Generation and Non-First Generation Undergraduate Business Students

Jason M. Riley and Jamie D. Collins (2016). *International Journal of Information Systems and Social Change* (pp. 47-62).

[www.irma-international.org/article/academic-major-decidedness/148638](http://www.irma-international.org/article/academic-major-decidedness/148638)