Combination of Forecasts in Data Mining

Chi Kin Chan

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong

INTRODUCTION

The traditional approach to forecasting involves choosing the forecasting method judged most appropriate of the available methods and applying it to some specific situations. The choice of a method depends upon the characteristics of the series and the type of application. The rationale behind such an approach is the notion that a "best" method exists and can be identified. Further that the "best" method for the past will continue to be the best for the future. An alternative to the traditional approach is to aggregate information from different forecasting methods by aggregating forecasts. This eliminates the problem of having to select a single method and rely exclusively on its forecasts.

Considerable literature has accumulated over the years regarding the combination of forecasts. The primary conclusion of this line of research is that combining multiple forecasts leads to increased forecast accuracy. This has been the result whether the forecasts are judgmental or statistical, econometric or extrapolation. Furthermore, in many cases one can make dramatic performance improvements by simply averaging the forecasts.

BACKGROUND OF COMBINATION OF FORECASTS

The concept of combining forecasts started with the seminal work 37 years ago of Bates and Granger (1969). Given two individual forecasts of a time series, Bates and Granger (1969) demonstrated that a suitable linear combination of the two forecasts may result in a better forecast than the two original ones, in the sense of a smaller error variance. Table 1 shows an example in which two individual forecasts (1 and 2) and their arithmetic mean (combined forecast) were used to forecast 12 monthly data of a certain time series (actual data).

The forecast errors (i.e., actual value – forecast value) and the variances of errors are shown in Table 2.

From Table 2, it can be seen that the error variance of Individual Forecast 1, Individual Forecast 2, and the Combined Forecast are 196, 188, and 150, respectively. This shows that the error variance of the combined forecast is smaller than any one of the individual forecasts and hence demonstrates an example how combined forecast may work better than its constituent forecasts.

Actual data (monthly data)	Individual Forecast 1	Individual Forecast 2	Combined Forecast (Simple Average of Forecast 1 and Forecast 2)
196	195	199	197
196	190	206	198
236	218	212	215
235	217	213	215
229	226	238	232
243	260	265	262.5
264	288	254	271
272	288	270	279
237	249	248	248.5
211	220	221	220.5
180	192	192	192
201	214	208	211

Table 1. Individual and combined forecasts

Note: The individual forecasts are rounded to the nearest integers as the actual data are in integers.

C

Errors of Individual Forecast 1	Errors of Individual Forecast 2	Errors of Combined Forecast
1	-3	-1
6	-10	-2
18	24	21
18	22	20
3	-9	-3
-17	-22	-19.5
-24	10	-7
-16	2	-7
-12	-11	-11.5
-9	-10	-9.5
-12	-12	-12
-13	-7	-10
Variance of errors = 196	Variance of errors = 188	Variance of errors = 150

Table 2. Forecast errors and variances of errors

Bates and Granger (1969) also illustrated the theoretical base of combination of forecasts. Let X_{1t} and X_{2t} be two individual forecasts of Y_t at time t with errors

$$e_{jt} = Y_t - X_{jt}, \quad j = 1,2$$

such that

$$E[e_{i}] = 0$$
, $E[e_{ii}^{2}] = \sigma_{i}^{2}$, $j = 1,2$

and

$$E[e_{1t}e_{2t}] = \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2$$

where σ_j^2 is the error variance of the *j*th individual forecast and ρ is the correlation coefficient between the errors in the first set of forecasts and those in the second set.

Consider now a combined forecast, taken to be a weighted average of the two individual forecasts,

 $X_{ct} = kX_{1t} + (1-k)X_{2t}.$

The forecast error is

$$e_{ct} = Y_t - X_{ct} = ke_{1t} + (1-k)e_{2t}$$

Hence the error variance is

$$\sigma_c^2 = k^2 \sigma^2 + (1-k)^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2k(1-k)\rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2.$$
(1)

This expression is minimized for the value of k given by

$$k_0 = \frac{\sigma_2^2 - \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2} \quad .$$

and substitution into equation (1) yields the minimum achievable error variance as

$$\sigma_{c0}^{2} = \frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}\sigma_{2}^{2}(1-\rho^{2})}{\sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2} - 2\rho\sigma_{l}\sigma_{2}} \ . \label{eq:scalar}$$

Note that $\sigma_{c^0}^2 < \min(\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2)$ unless either ρ is exactly equal to σ_1 / σ_2 or σ_2 / σ_1 . If either equality holds, then the variance of the combined forecast is equal to the smaller of the two error variances. Thus, a priori, it is reasonable to expect in most practical situations that the best available combined forecast will outperform the better individual forecast—it cannot, in any case, do worse.

Makridakis et al. (1982), Makridakis and Hibon (2000), Makridakis and Winkler (1983), Newbold and Granger (1974), Terui and Van Dijk (2002), and Winkler and Makridakis (1983) have also reported empirical results that show that combinations of forecasts outperformed individual methods. However, Koning, Franses, Hibon, and Stekler 3 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/combination-forecasts-data-mining/13634

Related Content

Changing the Old Order: Sequencing Organizational and Information Technology Change to Achieve Successful Organizational Transformation

Chris Sauer (2006). *Cases on Information Technology Planning, Design and Implementation (pp. 197-207).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/changing-old-order/6369

Knowledge Management for Information Querying System in Education via the Combination of Rela-Ops Model and Knowledge Graph

Hien D. Nguyen, Duc Truong, Sang Vu, Diem Nguyen, Hung Nguyenand Nha Thanh Tran (2023). *Journal of Cases on Information Technology (pp. 1-17).*

www.irma-international.org/article/knowledge-management-for-information-querying-system-in-education-via-thecombination-of-rela-ops-model-and-knowledge-graph/324113

Strategic Intent and E-Business in SMEs: Enablers and Inhibitors

Margi Levy, Philip Powelland Les Worrall (2005). *Information Resources Management Journal (pp. 1-20).* www.irma-international.org/article/strategic-intent-business-smes/1278

Rural Face and Sustainable Urban Liveability

Ramisa Shafqat (2021). International Journal of Information Systems and Social Change (pp. 12-22). www.irma-international.org/article/rural-face-and-sustainable-urban-liveability/274971

Effect of Tasks, Salaries, and Shocks on Job Satisfaction Among MIS Professionals

Fred Niedermanand Mary Sumner (2006). Advanced Topics in Information Resources Management, Volume 5 (pp. 184-210).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/effect-tasks-salaries-shocks-job/4648