

Chapter 21

Study Design and Data Gathering Guide for Serious Games' Evaluation

Jannicke Baalsrud Hauge

*Bremer Institut für Produktion und Logistik
(BIBA), Germany*

Elizabeth Boyle

University of the West of Scotland, UK

Igor Mayer

Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

Rob Nadolski

*Open University of The Netherlands, The
Netherlands*

Johann C. K. H. Riedel

Nottingham University, UK

Pablo Moreno-Ger

Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Francesco Bellotti

Università degli Studi di Genova, Italy

Theodore Lim

Heriot-Watt University, UK

James Ritchie

Heriot-Watt University, UK

ABSTRACT

The objective of this chapter is to provide an overview of the different methods that can be used to evaluate the learning outcomes of serious games. These include Randomised Control Trials (RCT), quasi-experimental designs, and surveys. Case studies of a selection of serious games developed for use in higher education are then presented along with evaluations of these games. The evaluations illustrate the different evaluation methods, along with an assessment of how well the evaluation method performed. Finally, the chapter discusses the lessons learned and compares the experiences with the evaluation methods and their transferability to other games.

INTRODUCTION

In the last decade higher education has taken a digital turn in the use of games and simulations for learning and training. The long and well-established tradition of using teacher-led, no-technology or low-technology simulation games in higher education is 'under the spell' of online simulations, 3-D virtual worlds and digital Serious Games (SGs). So, what have we gained and/or possibly lost with this digital turn to Game-based Learning (GBL)? To answer this question we need to have ways of evaluating the learning impact of games. This chapter sets out to review and provide examples of the different evaluation methods that can be applied to serious games.

Considerable efforts and resources are now being put into the evaluation and assessment of game-based learning. As a result, both the number and the quality of evaluations of games for learning are increasing (see for a recent overview Connolly et al., 2012). However, there are still considerable weaknesses, for example, the absence of tools for unobtrusive, 'stealth' data gathering and assessment, and good research designs other than randomized controlled trials. Here, we wish to make a contribution by looking at how different evaluation methods have been applied to some serious games and to see what has been measured and how.

This chapter will present several case studies of serious games and their evaluation methodologies. It will identify the differences in the evaluation methods, and also discuss what this means for the transferability of the evaluation methods to other types of games.

EVALUATION METHODS FOR SG LEARNING OUTCOMES

The evaluation of games is complex and multidimensional since it involves evaluation not just of whether there is an improvement in performance on

the targeted learning outcomes, but also evaluation of the user acceptance of, engagement with, and satisfaction with the game. The introduction of a serious game into the curriculum raises similar issues to any other educational intervention, since the aim of a game is to improve performance on a specific learning outcome. Woolfson (2011) proposes a hierarchy of evidence for evaluating educational interventions:

1. Meta-analyses
2. Randomised controlled trials (RCT)
3. Quasi-experimental designs
4. Single case experimental designs – pre & post test
5. Non experimental designs – surveys, correlational, qualitative

Meta-Analyses: At the top of the hierarchy of evidence for the effectiveness of interventions are meta-analyses. Meta-analysis combines the results from previous studies to identify patterns in research findings, especially with respect to whether games are effective methods in learning. Meta-analysis requires a reasonable number of empirical studies as input to compare – in serious games we still have a way to go to produce the needed studies, hence it has not been included in this chapter.

Randomised Control Trials (RCT): The Randomised Control Trial (RCT) is considered to be the gold standard for evaluating educational interventions. In a RCT participants are randomly allocated to an experimental (game) group or a control (non-game) group and their performance on the target skill/behaviour before and after the game intervention is tested. Ideally pre-testing should confirm no existing difference between the groups, while post-testing should show whether the experimental group performs better than the control group. Improvements in the target skill/behaviour for the experimental compared with the control group in a follow-up study would

25 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/study-design-and-data-gathering-guide-for-serious-games-evaluation/126071

Related Content

The Design and Development of Educational Immersive Environments: From Theory to Classroom Deployment

Collin B. Price and Miss J.S. Moore (2010). *Gaming for Classroom-Based Learning: Digital Role Playing as a Motivator of Study* (pp. 24-43).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/design-development-educational-immersive-environments/42685

Design and Development of a Simulation for Testing the Effects of Instructional Gaming Characteristics on Learning of Basic Statistical Skills

Elena Novak and Tristan E. Johnson (2015). *International Journal of Gaming and Computer-Mediated Simulations* (pp. 38-57).

www.irma-international.org/article/design-and-development-of-a-simulation-for-testing-the-effects-of-instructional-gaming-characteristics-on-learning-of-basic-statistical-skills/125445

Games and Other Training Interventions to Improve Cognition in Healthy Older Adults

Elizabeth M. Zelinski (2017). *Transforming Gaming and Computer Simulation Technologies across Industries* (pp. 192-205).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/games-and-other-training-interventions-to-improve-cognition-in-healthy-older-adults/172370

Researching and Developing Serious Games as Interactive Learning Instructions

Christian Sebastian Loh (2009). *International Journal of Gaming and Computer-Mediated Simulations* (pp. 1-19).

www.irma-international.org/article/researching-developing-serious-games-interactive/37536

Gamified Cognitive Training to Prevent Cognitive Decline

Musian Daniele and Antonio Ascolese (2016). *Handbook of Research on Holistic Perspectives in Gamification for Clinical Practice* (pp. 193-220).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/gamified-cognitive-training-to-prevent-cognitive-decline/137829