

Decision Making and Support Tools for Design of Machining Systems

A. Dolgui

Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Mines de Saint-Etienne, France

O. Guschinskaya

Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Mines de Saint-Etienne, France

N. Guschinsky

United Institute of Informatics Problems, Belarus

G. Levin

United Institute of Informatics Problems, Belarus

INTRODUCTION

The *design of manufacturing systems* is a wide open area for development and application of decision making and decision support technologies. This domain is characterized by the necessity to combine the standard decision making methods, sophisticated operational research techniques, and some specific rules based on expert knowledge to take into account principal technological constraints and criteria.

A promising trend in this area deals with the development of integrated software tools (Brown, 2004; Grieves, 2005; Stark, 2005). Their main idea consists in integrating product and manufacturing data into a common database. This enables product designers to consider the manufacturing processes constraints at the early product design stage. At the same time, all data of product design should be used directly for optimizing the corresponding manufacturing system. That is why the core of these software tools is a powerful extendable database, supported by a user friendly software environment. This database normally contains digital models of product and processes. In order to find an optimal manufacturing system configuration, a set of advanced decision making and decision support methods are used for data processing.

In this work we present the main principles and ideas of decision making by the example of decision and support solution for machining systems used for mass production. The used approach is based on several techniques:

- Powerful database of standard solutions and efficient mechanisms to search the existing elements
- Expert system to choose better solutions from database;
- Line balancing model based on shortest path approach;
- Set of problem oriented rules; and
- User friendly software environment.

These techniques are combined in the decision support software tool for optimal process planning, line balancing, and equipment selection for optimal design of a transfer machine with rotary or mobile table.

BACKGROUND

The studying of line design problems began by considering the simple *assembly line balancing problem* (SALBP) (Baybars, 1986; Scholl & Klein, 1998). The SALBP consists in assigning a set of operations to identical consecutive stations minimizing the number of stations required, subject to *precedence constraints* between operations and *cycle time* constraints. Many exact and heuristic approaches for SALBP were suggested in literature: lagrange relaxation techniques (Aghezzaf & Artiba, 1995), branch and bound algorithms (van Assche & Herroelen, 1998; Ugurdag, Papachristou, & Rachamadugu, 1997; Scholl & Klein, 1998), and heuristics and meta-heuristics (Arcus, 1966; Helgeson

& Birnie, 1961; Rekiek, De Lit, Pellichero, L'Eglise, Fouda, Falkenauer *et al.*, 2001). This list is not exhaustive. A state-of-the-art can be found in (Baybars, 1986; Becker & Scholl, 2006; Erel & Sarin, 1998; Ghosh & Gagnon, 1989; Rekiek, Dolgui, Delchambre, & Bratcu, 2002).

The problem where line balancing is combined with equipment selection is often called *simple assembly line design problem* (SALDP) (Baybars, 1986; Becker & Scholl, 2006) or *single-product assembly system design problem* (SPASDP) (Gadidov & Wilhelm, 2000). SALDP considers the “high-level” logical layout design with equipment selection (one per station) from a set of alternatives. There are several equipment alternatives for each operation, and often a particular piece of equipment is efficient for some operations, but not for others (Bukchin & Tzur, 2000; Bukchin & Rubinovich, 2003). There is a given set of equipment types; each type is associated with a specific cost. The equipment cost is assumed to include the purchasing and operational cost. The duration of an operation depends on the equipment selected. An operation can be performed at any station, provided that the equipment selected for this station is appropriate and that precedence relations are satisfied. The total station time should not exceed the predetermined cycle time. The problem consists of selecting equipment and assigning operations to each station. The objective is to minimize the total equipment cost.

The *balancing of transfer lines and machines* (Dolgui, Guschinsky, & Levin, 2000) deals with grouping operations into a number of blocks (sets of operations performed by a spindle head) and assigning these blocks to stations. Each block requires a piece of equipment (a multi-spindle head), which incurs a purchase cost. Therefore, it is necessary to minimize both the number of stations and the number of blocks. To do it, all possible operations assignments to blocks and stations must be considered; otherwise the optimality of a solution cannot be guaranteed. The set of alternative blocks is not known in advance and the parameters of a block depend on the set of operations assigned to it. Therefore, the balancing of machining systems, as it was demonstrated in (Dolgui, Finel, Guschinsky, Levin, & Vernadat, 2006), is more complex than SALBP and SALDP.

MACHINE TOOL ENGINEERING

Transfer machines are dedicated to the mass production of a family of similar products and widely used in the automotive industry (Hitomi, 1996). Designing and balancing such machines is a very complex problem due to necessity to take into account the specific machining constraints. At the same time, to acquire a machine sell order, the manufacturers must provide their customers with the results of the preliminary design (general plans of the machine and an estimation of the price) as quickly as possible. Therefore, it is vitally important for manufacturers to have a decision making methodology and decision support system (Dolgui, Guschinskaya, Guschinsky, & Levin, 2005).

Thus, in order to help designers to find a high-quality (and if possible an optimal) architecture of transfer machine corresponding to the customer demand, we developed and implemented a methodology and a prototype of decision support system named “*machine tools engineering*” (MTE).

This decision support system deals with the optimization of the logical layout design of *transfer machines* and provides designers with an integrated design environment. We depicted the different design stages as well as the implemented in MTE software tool operational research and decision-aid methods.

A decision support system devoted to the design of transfer machines has to provide users with graphical tools to make the design process fast and easy. In addition, it must be capable to help designers to make decisions based on expert knowledge and technological requirements. To reach these objectives, MTE was developed in the design environment of popular commercial CAD system *AutoCad Mechanical Desktop 2006*. Its conceptual environment makes the creation, editing, and navigation of solids and surfaces simple and intuitive. The modules containing the developed optimization methods are plug-ins embedded to *AutoCad Mechanical Desktop 2006*. This system configuration enables designers to use the common data format at all preliminary design stages.

MTE is dedicated to the preliminary design of transfer unit head machines (machines composed from standard units) with the following configurations:

1. *Multi-positional machines with rotary table.*
A part is sequentially machined on m working positions and is moved from one position to the

8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/decision-making-support-tools-design/11251

Related Content

Decision Support Systems For Forest Management In Mexico: Their Characteristics and Context for Their Creation and Evolution

Rafael Moreno-Sánchez and Juan Manuel Torres-Rojo (2010). *Decision Support Systems in Agriculture, Food and the Environment: Trends, Applications and Advances* (pp. 74-100).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/decision-support-systems-forest-management/44756

The Full Knowledge of Big Data in the Integration of Inter-Organizational Information: An Approach Focused on Decision Making

Thiago Poleto, Victor Diogho Heuer de Carvalho and Ana Paula Cabral Seixas Costa (2017). *International Journal of Decision Support System Technology* (pp. 16-31).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-full-knowledge-of-big-data-in-the-integration-of-inter-organizational-information/173475

R&D Productivity in the Pharmaceutical Industry: Scenario Simulations Using a Bayesian Belief Network

F.W. (Ward) van Vierssen Trip, Nam C. Nguyen and Ockie J.H. Bosch (2017). *Decision Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 302-320).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/rd-productivity-in-the-pharmaceutical-industry/176759

Decision Support System for Sanitary Teams Activities

Radosaw Pytlak, Wojciech Stecz, Damian Suski and Tomasz Zawadzki (2014). *International Journal of Decision Support System Technology* (pp. 65-87).

www.irma-international.org/article/decision-support-system-for-sanitary-teams-activities/124322

Games of Strategy

Geraldine Ryan and Seamus Coffey (2008). *Encyclopedia of Decision Making and Decision Support Technologies* (pp. 402-409).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/games-strategy/11279