Survival Data Mining

Qiyang Chen
Montclair State University, USA

Ruben Xing
Montclair State University, USA

Richard Peterson
Montclair State University, USA

Dajin Wang
Montclair State University, USA

INTRODUCTION

Survival analysis (SA) consists of a variety of methods for analyzing the timing of events and/or the times of transition among several states or conditions. The event of interest can only happen at most once to any individual or subject. Alternate terms to identify this process include *Failure Analysis* (FA), *Reliability Analysis* (RA), *Lifetime Data Analysis* (LDA), *Time to Event Analysis* (TEA), *Event History Analysis* (EHA), and *Time Failure Analysis* (TFA) depending on the type of application the method is used for (Elashoff, 1997). Survival Data Mining (SDM) is a new term being coined recently (SAS, 2004). There are many models and variations on the different models for SA or failure analysis. This chapter discusses some of the more common methods of SA with real life applications. The calculations for the various models of SA are very complex. Currently, there are multiple software packages to assist in performing the necessary analyses much more quickly.

BACKGROUND

The history of SA can be roughly divided into four periods. The four periods are the Grauntian, Mantelian, Coxian and Aalenian paradigm (Harrington, 2003). The first paradigm dates back to the 17th century with Graunt's pioneering work which attempted to understand the distribution for the length of human life (Holford, 2002) through life tables. During World War II, early life table's analysis led to reliability studies of equipment and weapons and was called TFA.

The *Kaplan-Meier method,* a main contribution during the second paradigm, is perhaps the most popular means of SA. In 1958, a paper by Kaplan and Meier in the Journal of the American Statistical Association "brought the analysis of right-censored data to the attention of mathematical statisticians..." (Oakes, 2000, p.282). The Kaplan-Meir'*product limit method* is a tool used in SA to plot survival data for a given sample of a survival study. Hypothesis testing continued on these missing data problems until about 1972. Following the introduction by Cox of the *proportional hazards model*, the focus of attention shifted to examine the impact of survival variables (covariates) on the probability of survival through the period of third paradigm. This survival probability is known within the field as the "hazard function."

The fourth and last period is the Aalenian paradigm as Statsoft (2003) claims. Aalen used a martingale approach (exponential rate for counting processes) and improved the statistical procedures for many problems arising in randomly censored data from biomedical studies in the late seventies of last century.

MAIN FOCUS

The two biggest pitfalls in SA is the considerable variation in the risk across the time interval which demonstrates the need for shorter time intervals and censoring. Censored observations occur when there is a loss of observation. This most often arises when subjects withdraw or are lost from follow-up before the completion of the study. The effect of censoring often

renders a bias within studies based upon incomplete data or partial information on survival or failure times.

There are four basic approaches for the analysis of censored data: complete data analysis; the imputation approach; analysis with dichotomized data; and the likelihood-based approach (Leung et al., 1997). The most effective approach to censoring problems is to use methods of estimation that adjust for whether or not an individual observation is censored. These "likelihood-based approaches" include the *Kaplan-Meier estimator* and the *Cox-regression*, both popular methodologies. The *Kaplan-Meier estimator* allows for the estimation of survival over time even for populations that include subjects who enter at different times or drop out.

Having discovered the inapplicability of multiple regression techniques due to the distribution (exponential vs. normal) and censoring, Cox assumed "a multiplicative relationship between the underlying hazard function and the log-linear function of the covariates" (Statsoft, 2003) and arrived at the assumption that the underlying hazard rate (rather than survival time) is a function of the independent variables (covariates) by way of a nonparametric model.

As SA emerged and became refined through the periods, it is evident even from the general overview herein that increasingly more complex mathematical formulas were being applied. This was done in large measure to account for some of the initial flaws in the research population (i.e. censoring), to provide for the comparison of separate treatments, or to take entirely new approaches concerning the perceived distributions of the data. As such, the calculations and data collection for the various models of SA became very complex requiring the use of equally sophisticated computer programs.

In that vein, software packages capable of performing the necessary analyses have been developed and include but are not limited to: SAS/STAT software (compares survival distributions for the event-time variables, fits accelerated failure time models…and performs regression analysis based on the proportional hazards model) (SAS, 2003). Also available is software from NCSS 2004 Statistical Analysis System (NCSS, 2003) and SPSS (Pallant, 2007).

Multiple-Area Applications

The typical objective of SA in demography and medical research centers on clinical trials designed to evaluate the effectiveness of experimental treatments; the modeling of disease progression in an effort to take preemptive action; and also for the purpose of estimating disease prevalence within a population. The fields of engineering and biology found applicability of SA later. There is always a need for more data analysis. The information gained from a successful SA can be used to make estimates on treatment effects, employee longevity, or product life. As SA went through more advanced stages of development it started to be also used in business related fields like economics and social sciences. With regards to a business strategy, SA can be used to predict, and thereby improve upon, the life span of manufactured products or customer relations. For example, by identifying the timing of "risky behavior patterns" (Teredata, 2003) that lead to reduced survival probability (ending the business relationship) in the future, a decision can be made to select the appropriate marketing action and its associated cost. Lo, MacKinlay and Zhang (2002) of MIT Sloan School of Management developed and estimated an econometric model of limit-order execution times. They estimated versions for time-to-first-fill and time-to-completion for both buy and sell limit orders, and incorporated the effects of explanatory variables such as the limit price, limit size, bid/offer spread, and market volatility. Through SA of actual limit-order data, they discovered that execution times are very sensitive to the limit price, but are not sensitive to limit size. Hypothetical limit-order executions, constructed either theoretically from first-passage times or empirically from transactions data, are very poor proxies for actual limit-order executions.

Blandón (2001) investigated the timing of foreign direct investment in the banking sector which, among other things, leads to differential benefits for the first entrants in a foreign location, and to problem of reversibility. When uncertainty is considered, the existence of some ownership–location–internalization advantages can make foreign investment less reversible and/or more delayable. Such advantages are examined and a

Related Content

Privacy Preserving OLAP and OLAP Security

Alfredo Cuzzocreaand Vincenzo Russo (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition (pp. 1575-1581).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/privacy-preserving-olap-olap-security/11029

Physical Data Warehousing Design

Ladjel Bellatrecheand Mukesh Mohania (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition (pp. 1546-1551).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/physical-data-warehousing-design/11025

Data Driven vs. Metric Driven Data Warehouse Design

John M. Artz (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition (pp. 382-387).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/data-driven-metric-driven-data/10848

Path Mining and Process Mining for Workflow Management Systems

Jorge Cardosoand W.M.P. van der Aalst (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition (pp. 1489-1496).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/path-mining-process-mining-workflow/11017

Multidimensional Modeling of Complex Data

Omar Boussaidand Doulkifli Boukraa (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition (pp. 1358-1364).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/multidimensional-modeling-complex-data/10998