388 Section: Privacy

# Data Mining and Privacy

Esma Aïmeur

Université de Montréal, Canada

Sébastien Gambs

Université de Montréal, Canada

#### INTRODUCTION

With the emergence of Internet, it is now possible to connect and access sources of information and databases throughout the world. At the same time, this raises many questions regarding the privacy and the security of the data, in particular how to mine useful information while preserving the privacy of sensible and confidential data. *Privacy-preserving data mining* is a relatively new but rapidly growing field that studies how data mining algorithms affect the privacy of data and tries to find and analyze new algorithms that preserve this privacy.

At first glance, it may seem that data mining and privacy have orthogonal goals, the first one being concerned with the discovery of useful knowledge from data whereas the second is concerned with the protection of data's privacy. Historically, the interactions between privacy and data mining have been questioned and studied since more than a decade ago, but the name of the domain itself was coined more recently by two seminal papers attacking the subject from two very different perspectives (Agrawal & Srikant, 2000; Lindell & Pinkas, 2000). The first paper (Agrawal & Srikant, 2000) takes the approach of randomizing the data through the injection of noise, and then recovers from it by applying a reconstruction algorithm before a learning task (the induction of a decision tree) is carried out on the reconstructed dataset. The second paper (Lindell & Pinkas, 2000) adopts a cryptographic view of the problem and rephrases it within the general framework of secure multiparty computation.

The outline of this chapter is the following. First, the area of privacy-preserving data mining is illustrated through three scenarios, before a classification of privacy-preserving algorithms is described and the three main approaches currently used are detailed. Finally,

the future trends and challenges that await the domain are discussed before concluding.

## **BACKGROUND**

The area of privacy-preserving data mining can still be considered in its infancy but there are already several workshops (usually held in collaboration with different data mining and machine learning conferences), two different surveys (Verykios *et al.*, 2004; Výborný, 2006) and a short book (Vaidya, Clifton & Zhu, 2006) on the subject. The notion of privacy itself is difficult to formalize and quantify, and it can take different flavours depending on the context. The three following scenarios illustrate how privacy issues can appear in different data mining contexts.

- Scenario 1: A famous Internet-access provider wants to release the log data of some of its customers (which include their personal queries over the last few months) to provide a public benchmark available to the web mining community. How can the company anonymize the database in such a way that it can guarantee to its clients that no important and sensible information can be mined about them?
- Scenario 2: Different governmental agencies (for instance the Revenue Agency, the Immigration Office and the Ministry of Justice) want to compute and release some joint statistics on the entire population but they are constrained by the law not to communicate any individual information on citizens, even to other governmental agencies. How can the agencies compute statistics that are sufficiently accurate while at the same time, safeguarding the privacy of individual citizens?

• Scenario 3: Consider two bioinformatics companies: Alice Corporation and Bob Trust. Each company possesses a huge database of bioinformatics data gathered from experiments performed in their respective labs. Both companies are willing to cooperate in order to achieve a learning task of mutual interest such as a clustering algorithm or the derivation of association rules, nonetheless they do not wish to exchange their whole databases because of obvious privacy concerns. How can they achieve this goal without disclosing any unnecessary information?

When evaluating the potential privacy leak caused by a data mining process, it is important to keep in mind that the adversary may have some side information that could be used to infringe this privacy. Indeed, while the data mining process by itself may not be directly harmful, it is conceivable that associated with the help of linking attacks (derived from some *a priori* knowledge), it may lead to a total breakdown of the privacy.

# **MAIN FOCUS**

The privacy-preserving techniques can generally be classified according to the following dimensions:

- The distribution of the data. During the data mining process, the data can be either in the hands of a single entity or distributed among several participants. In the case of distributed scenarios, a further distinction can be made between the situation where the attributes of a single record are split among different sites (vertical partitioning) and the case where several databases are situated in different locations (horizontal partitioning). For example, in scenario 1 all the data belongs to the Internet provider, whereas in scenario 2 corresponds to a vertical partitioning of the data where the information on a single citizen is split among the different governmental agencies and scenario 3 corresponds to an horizontal partitioning
- The data mining algorithm. There is not yet a single generic technique that could be applied to any data mining algorithm, thus it is important to decide beforehand which algorithm we are interested in. For instance, privacy-preserving

variants of association rules, decision trees, neural networks, support vector machines, boosting and clustering have been developed.

D

• The privacy-preservation technique. Three main families of privacy-preserving techniques exist: the perturbation-based approaches, the randomization methods and the secure multiparty solutions. The first two families protect the privacy of data by introducing noise whereas the last family uses cryptographic tools to achieve privacy-preservation. Each technique has his pros and cons and may be relevant in different contexts. The following sections describe and explicit these three privacy-preservation techniques.

## PERTURBATION-BASED APPROACHES

The perturbation-based approaches rely on the idea of *modifying the values of selected attributes using heuristics in order to protect the privacy of the data*. These methods are particularly relevant when the dataset has to be altered so that it preserves privacy before it can be released publicly (such as in scenario 1 for instance). Modifications of the data can include:

- Altering the value of a specific attribute by either perturbing it (Atallah et al., 1999) or replacing it by the "unknown" value (Chang & Moskowitz, 2000)
- Swapping the value of an attribute between two individual records (Fienberg & McIntyre, 2004).
- Using a coarser granularity by merging several possible values of an attribute into a single one (Chang & Moskowitz, 2000).

This process of increasing uncertainty in the data in order to preserve privacy is called *sanitization*. Of course, introducing noise in the data also decreases the utility of the dataset and renders the learning task more difficult. Therefore, there is often a compromise to be made between the privacy of the data and how useful is the sanitized dataset. Moreover, finding the optimal way to sanitize the data has been proved to be a NP-hard problem in some situations (Meyerson & Williams, 2004). However, some sanitization procedures offer privacy guarantees about how hard it is to pinpoint a particular individual. For instance, the

4 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <a href="www.igi-global.com/chapter/data-mining-privacy/10849">www.igi-global.com/chapter/data-mining-privacy/10849</a>

# Related Content

### Interest Pixel Mining

Qi Li, Jieping Yeand Chandra Kambhamettu (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition (pp. 1091-1096).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/interest-pixel-mining/10957

# Integrative Data Analysis for Biological Discovery

Sai Moturu (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition (pp. 1058-1065).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/integrative-data-analysis-biological-discovery/10952

#### Cluster Analysis with General Latent Class Model

Dingxi Qiuand Edward C. Malthouse (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition* (pp. 225-230).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/cluster-analysis-general-latent-class/10825

#### Data Mining in the Telecommunications Industry

Gary Weiss (2009). Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition (pp. 486-491). www.irma-international.org/chapter/data-mining-telecommunications-industry/10864

# Legal and Technical Issues of Privacy Preservation in Data Mining

Kirsten Wahlstrom, John F. Roddick, Rick Sarre, Vladimir Estivill-Castroand Denise de Vries (2009). Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition (pp. 1158-1163). www.irma-international.org/chapter/legal-technical-issues-privacy-preservation/10968