701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033-1240, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.igi-pub.com

This paper appears in the publication, Emerging Information Resources Management and Technologies edited by Mehdi Khosrow-Pour, DBA © 2007, IGI Global

Chapter V

Determinant of Information Quality and Use of Executive Information Systems (EIS) in UK

Omar E. M. Khalil, Kuwait University, Kuwait

Manal M. Elkordy, Alexandria University, Egypt

Abstract

This chapter reports on the findings of research investigating the influence of information quality on EIS information use as well as the possible impact of ease of use, user involvement, IS maturity, and system sophistication on EIS information quality. To test the research hypotheses, data were collected from 216 U.K.-based executives. A structural equation modeling (SEM) technique for data analysis and model measurement was applied. Information quality was found to influence EIS information use. Also, ease of use, user involvement, the IS integration dimension of IS maturity, and EIS sophistication were found to influence executives' perception of information quality. Further findings, limitations, and implications for researchers and practitioners are discussed.

Introduction

Information use is important for organizational learning and competitive advantages, and an understanding of the factors that affect such usage is critical (Low & Mohr, 2001). Managers receive information in various forms (e.g., printed, graphics, verbal, visual, etc.) and from different internal and external sources (e.g., memos and letters, scheduled and unscheduled meetings, telephone, office visits, computer reports, periodicals, conventions, social/civic activities, etc.). Earlier research findings indicated that executives relied more heavily on informal sources of information, compared to formal sources. Of the written media, memos and noncomputer reports were considered more valuable than computer reports (Jones & McLeod, 1986; McLeod, Jones, & Poitevent, 1984). However, later research findings show an improvement in managers' ranking of computer-based information sources, compared to noncomputer-based sources, and more emphasis on external sources, compared to internal resources (e.g., Benard & Satir, 1993; Lan & Scott, 1996).

Executive information systems (EIS) are systems that provide executives with information that is relevant to their work (Amott & Pervan, 2005; Basu, Poindexter, Drosen, & Addo, 2000; Oggier, 2005; Walstrom, Kent, & Wilson, 1997, p. 77). EIS are assumed to provide improvements in the quantity and quality of information made available to executives. This includes providing timelier, concise, relevant, and accessible information. However, since an EIS is one of many information sources available to managers to support their work activities, the extent to which managers use this source is expected to vary. Among other factors, EIS use may be influenced by its users' perceptions of information quality (Leidner, 1996, 1998; Seddon & Kiew, 1994). In earlier investigations, EIS information quality was ranked as the most important characteristic of an executive information system (Bergeron, Raymond, & Lagorge, 1991), and the frequency of EIS use was best explained by the quality of information (Leidner, 1996).

Understanding the factors that possibly influence EIS information quality is crucial to EIS development and organizational information management. However, most of the prior EIS research focused on the reasons and methods of EIS development and implementation (e.g., Bergeron et al., 1991; Poor & Wagner, 2001; Rainer & Watson, 1995; Rockart & Delong, 1988; Watson & Carte, 2000; Watson, Rainer, & Houdeshel, 1997). In addition, much of the limited prior research on EIS use focused on the mode, benefits, and impact of use on decision making (e.g., Elam & Leidner, 1995; Frolick, Parzinger, Rainer, & Ramarapu, 1997; Nord & Nord, 1995). In addition, the literature on information quality is generally prescriptive and empirical evidence that links information quality to EIS information use is rather limited.

Little is known about the factors that influence systems-related perceptions (Agarwal, Prasad, & Zanino, 1996; Igbaria, Guimaraes, & Davis, 1995; Venkatesh & Davis, 1994), including the perception of EIS information quality. This study investigates

32 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/determinant-information-quality-use-executive/10096

Related Content

Technology Acceptance and Performance: An Investigation Into Requisite Knowledge

Thomas E. Marshall, Terry Anthony Byrd, Lorraine R. Gardinerand R. Kelly Rainer Jr. (2002). *Advanced Topics in Information Resources Management, Volume 1 (pp. 90-115).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/technology-acceptance-performance/4580

The Benefits of Using Technology in Human Resource Management

Emma Parry (2010). Information Resources Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications (pp. 522-529).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/benefits-using-technology-human-resource/54499

E-Mail Usage in South Pacific Distance Education

Jonathan Frank, Janet Tolandand Karen D. Schenk (2005). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, First Edition (pp. 1034-1039).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/mail-usage-south-pacific-distance/14382

Information Technology Project Outcomes: An Exploratory Study of Project Managers' Viewpoints

Muhammed A. Badamas (2013). Perspectives and Techniques for Improving Information Technology Project Management (pp. 25-38).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/information-technology-project-outcomes/73225

Politics Hinders Open Standards in the Public Sector: The Massachusetts Open Document Format Decision

Adenekan Dedeke (2009). *Journal of Cases on Information Technology (pp. 65-82).* www.irma-international.org/article/politics-hinders-open-standards-public/3239