

Chapter 5.19

Modelling Stages of Behaviour in Social Virtual Communities

Lynne D. Roberts

University of Western Australia, Australia

Leigh M. Smith

Curtin University of Technology, Australia

Clare M. Pollock

Curtin University of Technology, Australia

INTRODUCTION

Once connected to the Internet, there is a myriad of virtual communities that an individual can connect to, interact within and become a member of. However, little is known about the processes individuals employ in identifying, selecting, and interacting within these virtual communities. How does an individual decide which type of virtual environment they will use? What are the stages that individuals go through in their use of virtual communities? Do the stages of use vary across types of virtual communities? In this article, we examine these questions, focusing on stages of use of individual virtual communities within the larger context of patterns of Internet use over time. First, we examine predictors of the type of virtual environments that an individual might use, highlighting the impact of demographic factors,

personality, history, and location of Internet use. Then we draw on our own research to examine stages of use of two types of synchronous text-based virtual environments. Finally, we develop a generic model of stages of use of virtual environments.

INTERNET USAGE PATTERNS

An individual's interactions within one or more virtual communities exist within the pattern of their overall use of the Internet. Research has established that there are differences in Internet usage patterns by sex, age, and Internet experience (Howard, Rainie, & Jones, 2001). In addition, there may be differences in Internet use and the benefits of Internet use by personality type (Hamburger & Ben-Artzi, 2000; Kraut, Patterson,

Lundmark, Kiesler, Mukhopadhyay, & Scherlis, 2002; Roberts, Smith, & Pollock, 2000). However, regardless of these differences, the best predictors of the type of activities individuals engage in is the length of time they have been Internet users and their frequency in logging on to the Internet from home (Howard et al., 2001).

Early adopters of the Internet were predominantly young, male, Caucasian, relatively affluent, well educated, and technologically oriented (Sultan, 2002). Howard et al. (2001) identified two groups of these early adopters. "Netizens" (16% of adult Internet users) log on to the Internet from home every day and engage in a range of financial and social activities over the Internet, incorporating life online into their work and home lives. In contrast, "Utilitarians" (28% of adult Internet users) adopt a functional attitude toward the Internet and spend less time online, engage in fewer activities, and have a less positive attitude toward the Internet.

Over time, the demographics of new Internet users have changed. The percentages of Internet users who are female, non-Caucasian, have lower incomes, and have lower educational attainments than early adopters of the Internet have increased (Horrigan, 2000; Katz, Rice, & Aspden, 2001), lessening, but not removing, the "digital divide." Howard et al. (2001) identified two groups of these more recent Internet users. "Experimenters" (26% of adult Internet users) typically have been online for one to three years, log onto the Internet from home every day, and engage in fun activities and information retrieval. "Newcomers" (30% of adult Internet users) have used the Internet for less than a year and typically have access from either home or work, but not both. Newcomers predominantly engage in fun activities on the Internet. These recent Internet adopters are not a homogenous group in terms of their Internet use. Horrigan (2000) distinguished between two groups of new Internet users: "Instant Acolytes" (enthusiastic new users) and "Cautious Adopters."

New users' patterns of Internet use did not match that of more experienced Internet users until they began conducting transactions online, crossing the "transactions divide" (Horrigan, 2000).

The population of Internet users is not stable. In addition to the continued influx of new Internet users, some individuals use the Internet intermittently and other users may cease using the Internet altogether (Lenhart, Horrigan, Rainie, Allen, Boyce, Madden, & O'Grady, 2003). Based on a series of population surveys, Katz et al. (2001) estimated that between 8 to 11.5% of the population have ceased using the Internet, most commonly because they had lost access as a result of changes in employment or completing education.

These typologies of Internet users provide useful insights in how different groups of people use the Internet, but do not provide information on how an individual's Internet use may change over time. A body of research is emerging that addresses this issue.

Roberts (2001) examined Internet use for 70 new Internet users over their first six months online. While hours spent online remained stable across the first six months, the time spent in specific virtual environments was subject to change. E-mail use significantly increased over time, while World Wide Web (WWW) use did not significantly differ. New Internet users explored a range of virtual environments in their first few months online before settling to use e-mail, the WWW, and typically one or two other virtual environments.

Two projects provided Internet users with computers and Internet access and tracked their Internet use over time. In the HomeNet project (Kraut, Scherlis, Mukhopadhyay, Manning, & Kiesler, 1996; Kraut et al., 1998) Internet use was characterised by strong initial use followed by fluctuations over time. Internet use declined during school vacations and increased during the school year. E-mail use was stable over time, while WWW use declined after the first few weeks on-

8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/modelling-stages-behaviour-social-virtual/8853

Related Content

Learning Outcomes Design Authoring Tool: The Educator is Not Alone!

Tania Al. Kerkiriand Spyros Papadakis (2012). *International Journal of e-Collaboration* (pp. 22-34).

www.irma-international.org/article/learning-outcomes-design-authoring-tool/73658

Legal Issues Associated with Emerging Social Interaction Technologies

Robert D. Sprague (2010). *Handbook of Research on Social Interaction Technologies and Collaboration Software: Concepts and Trends* (pp. 351-362).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/legal-issues-associated-emerging-social/36043

Designing Collaborative Activities to Promote Understanding and Problem-Solving

Barbara M. Hall (2014). *International Journal of e-Collaboration* (pp. 55-71).

www.irma-international.org/article/designing-collaborative-activities-to-promote-understanding-and-problem-solving/114173

Using Virtual Worlds to Assist Distributed Teams

Clint Bowers, Peter A. Smith, Jan Cannon-Bowersand Denise Nicholson (2009). *E-Collaboration: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 505-521).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/using-virtual-worlds-assist-distributed/8809

GSS Research for E-Collaboration

Sathasivam Mathiyalakan (2008). *Encyclopedia of E-Collaboration* (pp. 337-342).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/gss-research-collaboration/12447