Chapter 5.17 Using Computer Mediated Communication as a Tool to Facilitate Intercultural Collaboration of Global Virtual Teams

Norhayati Zakaria Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia

INTRODUCTION

Many multinational companies (MNCs) have inevitably assembled and employed global virtual teams (GVTs) to leverage their work performance. GVTs are considered as an innovative and flexible work structure to achieve competitiveness in the era of globalization. The emergence of this structure is also due to the heavy reliance on computer-mediated communication technology and, as such, geographical boundaries and time zones are no longer considered as a hindrance to collaboration and communication. Yet, cultural differences remain challenging when team members work together in a non-collocated environment when they are engaged in managerial tasks such as problem-solving, negotiations, decisionmaking, and coordination.

Thus, this new distributed collaborative phenomenon suggests that one of the key challenges in working together apart is the ability to adapt and acculturate to different cultural values that exist among team members. People need to be fully aware, understand, and be sensitive to the impact of cultural differences by exploiting appropriate online behaviors in order to reduce its detrimental influence on work performance.

The purpose of this article is to present and understand the dynamics of intercultural collaboration within global virtual teams and how culture impacts their work performance in MNCs. Individuals from all over the world with diverse cultural backgrounds are increasingly collaborating using computer-mediated communication (CMC) technologies such as e-mail, Web, chat and videoconferencing, and others. Existing literature shows that when people with different cultural values communicate, it is not unusual for miscommunication, misunderstanding, and misinterpretations to occur (Chen, 2001; Gudykunst, 2003). Problems are intensified in CMC environment because of its limitation such as the absence of body language, facial expressions, tone of voice, and many others (Sproull & Kiesler, 1986; Walther, 1996).

However, little research has been conducted on the ways in which different intercultural communication styles and cultural values affect people working in a distributed or virtual environment, particularly on team members' performance. Thus, in this article, first, I will introduce the phenomenon of GVTs and its crucial function in MNCs. Second, I will present the background of the phenomenon by highlighting the gaps as identified between two research fields--crosscultural management and computer-mediated communication. Next, the main focus of the article will be a discussion of the issue of intercultural collaboration. In this section, I will first provide a definition of GVTs, followed by several arguments on cultural challenges of GVTs. In the subsequent section, I will discuss the different types of CMC that are available to GVTs and the impact of culture on its utilization. Then, I will provide a brief direction of the future research agenda comprising of both the practical as well as theoretical perspectives. In conclusion, the article will highlight the significance of using GVTs in MNCs when people engage more prominently in intercultural collaboration, using CMC in order to promote and expand international business.

BACKGROUND

Without a doubt, GVTs have become the prevalent work structure for many MNCs. In a report by Gartner Group Survey, it was projected that 60% of the professional and management tasks at Global 2000 companies would be done via virtual teams by 2004 (Biggs, 2000). Unfortunately, the same survey projected that 50% of virtual teams would fail to meet either strategic or operational objectives due to an inability to manage the distributed workforce implementation risks. To be effective, GVTs require innovative communication and learning capabilities among different team members across organizational and geographical boundaries. As a result, the intra- and inter-team social interactions and work processes of GVTs cannot be compared to collocated team structures or treated as such by global managers.

GVTs have many potential advantages: They can create culturally-synergistic solutions, enhance creativity and cohesiveness among team members, promote a greater acceptance of new ideas, and thus provide a competitive advantage for the company. On the other hand, GVTs also present major challenges. In fact, findings from Dube and Pare (2001) suggest that global virtual teams face more challenges than localized virtual teams or collocated teams. Possible disadvantages include: Decision-making tends to be more timeconsuming, negotiation styles are more divergent, coping mechanisms are complicated to implement, and communication styles and preferences are distinctively unique. People thus encounter misunderstandings and misinterpretations that lead to heightened uncertainties, stress, and conflict among and within team members, all less easily or quickly dissolved and managed.

Several studies were conducted to understand the impact of culture on globally-distributed collaboration. For example, Shachaf (2005) stated that the use of e-mail by GVTs helped alleviate intercultural miscommunication stemming from language factors (less accuracy, slower speech, and translations), while the non-verbal cues are totally eliminated. In her qualitative study, Shachaf interviewed GVT members from multinational corporations (41 participants from nine different countries). On the other hand, Paul, Samarah, Seetharaman, and Mykytyn (2004) studied collaborative conflict management styles by comparing homogenous and heterogeneous 7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/using-computer-mediated-communicationtool/8851

Related Content

Digital Identity and Reputation in a Virtual Practice Network - Insights Using Linguistic Features Salam Abdallah (2018). International Journal of e-Collaboration (pp. 1-23). www.irma-international.org/article/digital-identity-and-reputation-in-a-virtual-practice-network---insights-using-linguisticfeatures/238036

Tools and Applications for Reasoning Communities

(2012). Approaches for Community Decision Making and Collective Reasoning: Knowledge Technology Support (pp. 210-259).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/tools-applications-reasoning-communities/67328

Hypothesis Testing with Confidence Intervals and P Values in PLS-SEM

Ned Kock (2016). *International Journal of e-Collaboration (pp. 1-6).* www.irma-international.org/article/hypothesis-testing-with-confidence-intervals-and-p-values-in-pls-sem/163226

Participant-Driven Approach to Autonomously Cluster Brainstorming Ideas

Joel H. Helquist, John Krusand Jay Nunamaker (2011). *E-Collaboration Technologies and Organizational Performance: Current and Future Trends (pp. 332-346).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/participant-driven-approach-autonomously-cluster/52355

Research on the Influential Factors of Bilingual Teaching Based on Colin Baker Model Case Study of Macroeconomics

Wen-Jing Fanand Pan Xian (2023). *International Journal of e-Collaboration (pp. 1-15).* www.irma-international.org/article/research-on-the-influential-factors-of-bilingual-teaching-based-on-colin-baker-modelcase-study-of-macroeconomics/316823