Chapter 7 Experimental Study on Recent

Advances in Differential Evolution Algorithm

G. Jeyakumar Amrita School of Engineering, India

C. Shanmugavelayutham *Amrita School of Engineering, India*

ABSTRACT

The Differential Evolution (DE) is a well known Evolutionary Algorithm (EA), and is popular for its simplicity. Several novelties have been proposed in research to enhance the performance of DE. This paper focuses on demonstrating the performance enhancement of DE by implementing some of the recent ideas in DE's research viz. Dynamic Differential Evolution (dDE), Multiple Trial Vector Differential Evolution (mvDE), Mixed Variant Differential Evolution (mvDE), Best Trial Vector Differential Evolution (btvDE), Distributed Differential Evolution (diDE) and their combinations. The authors have chosen fourteen variants of DE and six benchmark functions with different modality viz. Unimodal Separable, Unimodal Nonseparable, Multimodal Separable, and Multimodal Nonseparable. On analyzing distributed DE and mixed variant DE, a novel mixed-variant distributed DE is proposed whereby the subpopulations (islands) employ different DE variants to cooperatively solve the given problem. The competitive performance of mixed-variant distributed DE on the chosen problem is also demonstrated. The variants are well compared by their mean objective function values and probability of convergence.

INTRODUCTION

Differential Evolution (DE), proposed by Storn and Price (1995, 1999), is a simple yet powerful evolutionary algorithm (EA) for global optimization in the continuous search domain (Price, 1999). DE has shown superior performance in

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-3628-6.ch007

both widely used benchmark functions and realworld problems (Price et al., 2005; Vesterstrom & Thomsen, 2004). Like other EAs, *DE* is a population-based stochastic global optimizer employing mutation, recombination and selection operators and is capable of solving reliably nonlinear and multimodal problems. However, it has some unique characteristics that make it different from other members of the EA family. DE uses a differential mutation operation based on the distribution of parent solutions in the current population, coupled with recombination with a predetermined parent to generate a trial vector (offspring) followed by a one-to-one greedy selection scheme between the trial vector and the parent. The algorithmic description of a classical *DE* is depicted in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Algorithmic description of a classical DE

```
Population Initialization X(0) \leftarrow \{x_1(0), ..., x_{NP}(0)\}
g ←0
 Compute { f(x_1(g)),...,f(x_{NP}(g)) }
 while the stopping condition is false do
   for i = 1 to NP do
      y_i \leftarrow generatemutant(X(g))
      z_i \leftarrow crossover(x_i(g), y_i)
      if f(z_i) \le f(x_i(g)) then
           x_i(g+1) \leftarrow z_i
      else
            x_i(g+1) \leftarrow x_i(g)
      end if
   end for
    g \leftarrow g+1
   Compute { f(x_1(g)), \dots, f(x_{NP}(g)) }
end while
```

Nomenclature	Variant
rand/1	$V_{i,c} = X_{\frac{1}{r^{2},c}} + F(X_{\frac{1}{r^{2},c}} - X_{\frac{1}{r^{2},c}})$
best/1	$V_{i,c} = X_{best,c} + F(X_{r_{\overline{2}}^1,c} - X_{r_{\overline{2}}^1,c})$
rand/2	$V_{i,c} = X_{r\frac{1}{2},c} + F(X_{r\frac{1}{2},c} - X_{r\frac{1}{2},c} + X_{r\frac{1}{4},c} - X_{r\frac{1}{2},c})$
best/2	$V_{i,c} = X_{best,c} + F(X_{r_{\overline{2}}^{1},c} - X_{r_{\overline{2}}^{1},c} + X_{r_{\overline{2}}^{1},c} - X_{r_{\overline{4}}^{1},c})$
current-to-rand/1	$V_{i,c} = X_{i,c} + K(X_{r\frac{1}{2},c} - X_{i,c}) + F(X_{r\frac{1}{2},c} - X_{r\frac{1}{4},c})$
current-to-best/1	$V_{i,c} = X_{i,c} + K(X_{best,c} - X_{i,c}) + F(X_{r\frac{1}{2},c} - X_{r\frac{1}{2},c})$
rand-to-best/1	$V_{i,c} = X_{r\frac{1}{2},c} + K(X_{best,c} - X_{r\frac{1}{2},c}) + F(X_{r\frac{1}{2},c} - X_{r\frac{1}{2},c})$

Table 1	. Dif	ferential	mutation	strategies
<i>Iuvic</i> I	· Dy	jerennun	manunon	sindicgies

Depending on the way the parent solutions are perturbed to generate a trial vector, there exist many trial vector generation strategies and consequently many DE variants. With seven commonly used differential mutation strategies (Montes et al., 2006), as listed in Table 1, and two crossover schemes (binomial and exponential), we get fourteen possible variants of DE viz. rand/1/ bin, rand/1/exp, best/1/bin, best/1/exp, rand/2/ bin, rand/2/exp, best/2/bin, best/2/exp, currentto-rand/1/bin, current-to-rand/1/exp, current-tobest/1/bin, current-to-best/1/exp, rand-to-best/1/ *bin* and *rand-to-best/l/exp*. So far, no single DE variant has turned out to be best for all problems which is quiet understandable with regard to the No Free Lunch Theorem (David et al., 1997).

The conceptual simplicity, high convergence characteristics and robustness of *DE* has made it one of the popular techniques for real-valued parameter optimization. The algorithmic simplicity of *DE* has attracted many researchers who are actively working on its various aspects. Dynamic differential evolution, adaptive mixing of perturbation techniques, multi-objective optimization, 21 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/experimental-study-recent-advancesdifferential/74925

Related Content

Adding Context into an Access Control Model for Computer Security Policy

Shangping Ren, Jeffrey J.P. Tsaiand Ophir Frieder (2007). Advances in Machine Learning Applications in Software Engineering (pp. 439-456).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/adding-context-into-access-control/4869

Computational Intelligence for Information Technology Project Management

Robert J. Hammell, Julie Hoksbergen, James Woodand Mark Christensen (2012). *Machine Learning: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications (pp. 1601-1624).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/computational-intelligence-information-technology-project/56216

Motivational Gratification: An Integrated Work Motivation Model with Information System Design Perspective

Sugumar Mariappanadar (2012). Software and Intelligent Sciences: New Transdisciplinary Findings (pp. 403-418).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/motivational-gratification-integrated-work-motivation/65141

Comprehensive Learning Particle Swarm Optimization for Structural System Identification

Hesheng Tang, Xueyuan Guo, Lijun Xieand Songtao Xue (2018). *Incorporating Nature-Inspired Paradigms in Computational Applications (pp. 51-75).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/comprehensive-learning-particle-swarm-optimization-for-structural-systemidentification/202191

Software Architecture during Release Planning: Release Planning

(2022). International Journal of Software Science and Computational Intelligence (pp. 0-0). www.irma-international.org/article//300366