

Chapter 40

Interaction Mining: Making Business Sense of Customers Conversations through Semantic and Pragmatic Analysis

Vincenzo Pallotta

Webster University, Switzerland

Lammert Vrieling

Webster University, Switzerland

Rodolfo Delmonte

Università “Ca Foscari,” Italy

ABSTRACT

In this chapter we present the major challenges of a new trend in business analytics, namely Interaction Mining. With the proliferation of unstructured data as the result of people interacting with each other using digital networked devices, classical methods in text business analytics are no longer effective. We identified the causes of their failure as being related to the inadequacy of dealing with conversational data. We propose then to move from Text Mining towards Interaction Mining, and we make several cases for this transition in areas such as marketing research, social media analytics, and customer relationship management. We also propose a roadmap for the future development of Interaction Mining by challenging the current practices in business intelligence and information visualization.

1 INTRODUCTION

Via the Web a wealth of information for business research is ready at our fingertips. Analyzing this—unstructured—information, however, can be very difficult. *Analytics* has become the business buzzword distinguishing traditional competitors

from ‘analytics competitors’ who have dramatically boosted their revenues. The latter competitors distinguish themselves through “expert use of statistics and modeling to improve a wide variety of functions” (Davenport, 2006, p. 105). However, not all information lends itself to statistics and models. Actually, most information on the Web is made for, and by, people communicating through ‘rich’ language. This richness of our language is

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-2625-6.ch040

typically missed or not adequately accounted for in (statistical) analytics (e.g. Text-mining)—and so is its real meaning—because it is hidden in *semantics* rather than form (e.g. syntax). In our efforts of turning unstructured data into structured data, important information—and our ability to distinguish ourselves from competitors—gets lost.

Search engines (Büttcher, Clarke, & Cormack, 2010) have exploited statistical (frequency-based, TF-IDF²) methods to its extreme, but building indexes of Web content with keywords is not enough for understanding beyond keyword-based search. The use of semantics in search would be a great improvement and new generation search engines (Grimes, 2010) are starting to address this. Semantic search can be approached from several perspectives. The most common one is to go beyond word forms and consider concepts with their semantic relationships. Concepts can be extracted implicitly or explicitly. In the first case, the contexts of words in a document base determine the concept (Landauer, Foltz, & Laham, 1998). In the second case, concepts are assigned to word forms through a semantic lexicon or ontology such as WordNet³.

However, semantics is not only necessary for search, it is necessary for any processing of information from the Web. After all, semantics simply means “making sense” and we would like to argue that sophisticated semantic analysis of content is a necessary tool for quality business research of Web data.

For instance, any business analyst in *fast moving consumer goods* (FMCG) is looking for so much more than just text when analyzing online focus group interview data. A FMCG analyst would analyze interaction, which would reveal shared language, beliefs and myths, argumentative reasoning, justifications, and changes of opinion or (re)interpretation of experiences (Catterall & Maclaran, 1997).

Focus group interview data is both qualitative and interactive. It is not just text; it is conversational data as people are responding to one another.

As such traditional (manual) analysis of focus group data is labor intensive, complex, analyst dependent, inconsistent and subjective⁴.

The key problem is that good analysis of unstructured data is costly, complex and time-consuming

However, the power of current state-of-the-art NLU⁵ systems makes automated analysis of these—and other—types of unstructured data feasible and possible (Delmonte, Bristot, & Pallotta, 2010).

This chapter will put to rest the myth that computers cannot extract rich information from unstructured data⁶ even from conversational data. We will put forward a new generation of “Interaction Mining” technology that is analyst independent, consistent regardless of the quantity of data, ‘Machine-like’ precision in its analysis in multiple languages and—compared to manual analysis—a quantum leap faster.

First, we will conduct first a survey of current technology for Interaction Mining by assessing the strengths, weaknesses and limits of current approaches such as Text Mining. Additionally, we will present a study in eliciting business requirements for Interaction Mining in different domains. We will present a new approach, which exploits information extracted from automatic analysis of conversational data, which solves some of the challenges highlighted in the requirements section. We will conclude the chapter by outlining a roadmap for research in Interaction Mining.

2 INTERACTION MINING

In this section we review some current approaches to Business Analytics such as Data Mining and Text Mining by assessing their benefit, strengths, weaknesses and limits to deal with conversational data. We then propose a novel paradigm that we advocate being more suitable for the analysis of interactions between customers. We call the new paradigm Interaction Mining and it stems from and

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/interaction-mining-making-business-sense/73364

Related Content

Performance Management

S. Srinivas (2007). *E-Supply Chain Technologies and Management* (pp. 261-282).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/performance-management/9184

A Simulation Model for LTL Trucking Network

Fubin Qian and Yue Xu (2012). *International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management* (pp. 78-87).

www.irma-international.org/article/simulation-model-ltl-trucking-network/68424

China's Environmental Issues, a Domestic Challenge with Regional and International Implications

Christian Ploberger (2013). *International Journal of Applied Logistics* (pp. 47-61).

www.irma-international.org/article/chinas-environmental-issues-a-domestic-challenge-with-regional-and-international-implications/83467

Optimizing Supply Chain Management Indicators for Sustainable Supply Chain Integration and Customer Loyalty: Potential Role of Environmentally Responsible Practices

Bhanupriya Khatri, Raj Kumar Singh, Shaina Arora, Shad Ahmad Khan and Arshi Naim (2024). *Strategies for Environmentally Responsible Supply Chain and Production Management* (pp. 156-181).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/optimizing-supply-chain-management-indicators-for-sustainable-supply-chain-integration-and-customer-loyalty/341520

An Exploratory Study on Product Lifecycle Management in the Fashion Chain: Evidences from the Italian Leather Luxury Industry

Romeo Bandinelli and Sergio Terzi (2012). *Fashion Supply Chain Management: Industry and Business Analysis* (pp. 270-285).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/exploratory-study-product-lifecycle-management/55216