



## **Chapter XXIV**

# **Managing Knowledge to Improve Healthcare Quality in Banner Health**

Twila Burdick, Banner Health, USA

John Hensing, Banner Health, USA

Bradford Kirkman-Liff, Arizona State University, USA

Pamela Nenaber, Banner Health, USA

Howard Silverman, Banner Health, USA

Maire Simington, Banner Health, USA

## **ABSTRACT**

*In 2001 Banner Health launched Care Management and Organizational Performance as a system-wide, collaborative and team-based effort to improve the performance consistency of the organization's core product: patient care. Functional Teams are composed of recognized knowledgeable clinical leaders who were viewed by peers as being experts with profound knowledge. Functional Teams have created more than 36 Work Groups that cut across organizational boundaries.*

## **INTRODUCTION**

The mission of Banner Health is to make a difference in people's lives through excellent patient care. Banner Health's core product is, in fact, patient care, and patients and other customers have increasing expectations of the healthcare they receive.

This chapter appears in the book, *Creating Knowledge-Based Healthcare Organizations*, edited by Nilmini Wickramasinghe, Jatinder N.D. Gupta and Sushil Sharma. Copyright © 2005, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

Delivering an excellent product and service is of paramount importance. One way to gauge the quality of the product is to measure its performance. Performance (clinical, financial, service) within every healthcare organization varies and can be described as “normally” distributed: some bad, some good, but most in the middle. Meeting changing demands requires ongoing improvement and different improvement approaches are required for different situations: bad performance or outcomes need to be reviewed and understood so that they can be avoided in the future. Outstanding performance or outcomes need a similar review to determine how such results can be consistently achieved. Performance in the middle can be improved by reducing variation (narrowing the area under the bell curve) and by improving processes (moving the curve). The Care Management and Organizational Performance Department at Banner Health is built on this premise. The mission of Care Management is to support the Banner Health mission by providing oversight and improvement of clinical care and patient safety that is coordinated across the system.

Banner Health is a new healthcare organization, formed as the result of a merger of Lutheran Health Systems and Samaritan Health System in September 1999 (see *Figure 1*). Banner Health is one of the nation’s largest, nonprofit healthcare organizations in the US, with 19 hospitals, six long-term care centers and an array of other services, including family clinics, home care services and home medical equipment. Banner Health operates in nine Western and Midwestern states, with 21,500 employees, 2,569 acute care and 1,037 long-term care and rehabilitation beds. In addition to basic emergency and medical services, Banner Health medical centers offer a variety of specialized services, including heart care, cancer treatment, delivery of high-order multiple births, organ transplants, bone marrow transplants, rehabilitation services, and behavioral health services. Banner Health is nationally recognized for research in spinal cord injuries and Alzheimer’s disease.

Improving quality of care has been a critical issue for healthcare institutions for decades. The Joint Commission for the Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO) has always had a focus on healthcare quality, and in recent years continuous process improvement has become a requirement for accreditation (JCAHO, 2003). The Institute of Medicine in recent years has issued a number of reports on the need to improve healthcare quality (Donaldson, 2000; Kohn et al., 2000; Adams & Corrigan, 2001; Hurtado et al., 2001). Following the approaches of TQM, CQI and Six Sigma, these efforts include measurement, analysis, prioritization, and process improvement, including implantation of proven practices (Besterfield et al., 2003; Endres, 2000; Evans & Lindsay, 2002; Barney et al., 2003; McLaughlin & Kaluzny, 1994; Wan & Connell, 2003). A number of journals (IJQHC, JCJQS, QSHC, IJHCQA, JQCP)<sup>1</sup> present numerous peer-reviewed case studies of various quality improvement and quality assurance activities in specific institutions, and a number of literature reviews examining this field have appeared (Counte & Meurer, 2001; Maguerez et al., 2001). In recent years several experts have recognized that current quality improvement and evidence-based practice efforts are not sufficient to significantly improve the outcomes of care (Haynes & Andrew, 1998; Plochg & Klazinga, 2002; Grol, 2000). While the potential for gains from using knowledge management in this area has been recognized, (Stefanelli, 2002; Burns, 2001; Malone, 2001; Zazzara, 2001; Horak, 2001; Stefanelli, 2001) detailed reports on the use of knowledge management concepts to support quality improvement efforts are difficult to

14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/managing-knowledge-improve-healthcare-quality/7245](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/managing-knowledge-improve-healthcare-quality/7245)

## Related Content

---

### Digital Technologies as a Catalyst for Change towards Integrated Care Delivery: Hype or Reality?

Lutz Kubitschke, Ingo Meyer, Sonja Müller, Kira Stellato and Andrea Di Lenarda (2016). *International Journal of Reliable and Quality E-Healthcare* (pp. 31-49). [www.irma-international.org/article/digital-technologies-as-a-catalyst-for-change-towards-integrated-care-delivery/152175](http://www.irma-international.org/article/digital-technologies-as-a-catalyst-for-change-towards-integrated-care-delivery/152175)

### Assessment of Reference Architectures and Reference Models for Ambient Assisted Living Systems: Results of a Systematic Literature Review

Lina Garcés, Flavio Oquendo and Elisa Yumi Nakagawa (2020). *International Journal of E-Health and Medical Communications* (pp. 17-36). [www.irma-international.org/article/assessment-of-reference-architectures-and-reference-models-for-ambient-assisted-living-systems/240204](http://www.irma-international.org/article/assessment-of-reference-architectures-and-reference-models-for-ambient-assisted-living-systems/240204)

### Health in the Digital World: Transformational Trends

Kendall Ho (2010). *Healthcare and the Effect of Technology: Developments, Challenges and Advancements* (pp. 1-12). [www.irma-international.org/chapter/health-digital-world/42701](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/health-digital-world/42701)

### Engineering Dependable Health Information Systems

Khin Than Win and Peter Croll (2005). *Creating Knowledge-Based Healthcare Organizations* (pp. 91-109). [www.irma-international.org/chapter/engineering-dependable-health-information-systems/7229](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/engineering-dependable-health-information-systems/7229)

### The Telematics Infrastructure: The Backbone of the German e-Health Card

Manuel Zwicker, Juergen Seitz and Nilmini Wickramasinghe (2011). *International Journal of Healthcare Delivery Reform Initiatives* (pp. 16-26). [www.irma-international.org/article/telematics-infrastructure-backbone-german-health/80232](http://www.irma-international.org/article/telematics-infrastructure-backbone-german-health/80232)